Skin Tone Matters: Racial Microaggressions and Delayed Prenatal Care
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Introduction: Literature posits that discrimination can be a barrier to racial and ethnic minorities’ healthcare use. This study examines the relationship between perceived discrimination in the form of racial microaggressions and delayed prenatal care in African American women. It also investigates whether this relationship is modified by women’s shade of skin color owing to societal attitudes and beliefs tied to colorism (also known as skin-tone bias).

Methods: Data were collected from a cohort of 1,410 black, African American women in metropolitan Detroit, Michigan, enrolled in 2009–2011 (analyzed between August 2017 and July 2018). Perceived racial microaggressions were assessed using the 20-item Daily Life Experiences of Racism and Bother scale. Logistic regression modeled the relationship between the Daily Life Experiences of Racism and Bother scale and delayed prenatal care, defined as third trimester or no prenatal care entry.

Results: Nearly a quarter (24.8%) of women had delayed prenatal care. Logistic regression models showed that a Daily Life Experiences of Racism and Bother score above the median was associated with delayed prenatal care (AOR=1.31, 95% CI=1.00, 1.71). This association was moderated by self-reported maternal skin tone (interaction p=0.03). A higher Daily Life Experiences of Racism and Bother score was associated with delayed prenatal care among African-American women at either end of the color continuum (light brown: AOR=1.64, 95% CI=1.02, 2.65; dark brown: AOR=2.30, 95% CI=1.20, 4.41) but not in the middle (medium brown women).

Conclusions: Skin tone–based mistreatment in tandem with racial discrimination in the form of racial microaggressions may influence African American women’s use of prenatal care. These findings have implications related to the engagement of women of color, particularly African American women, in healthcare systems and maternal and child health programs.


INTRODUCTION

Prenatal care (PNC) remains a critical anchor in the continuum of women’s health care given that nearly 3 of every 4 women will give birth at least 1 time in their lifetime.1 For many women, PNC represents their first encounter with the healthcare system since childhood.1 As such, PNC presents the opportunity for healthcare providers to offer health education1−3 and identify or monitor underlying chronic medical conditions and pregnancy complications.2,4 Unfortunately, utilization of PNC by African-American (AA) women, in general, tends to be lower than that by white women.5

From the 1Division of Epidemiology and Community Health, School of Public Health, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota; 2Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Dornsife School of Public Health, Drexel University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; 3Department of Health, Behavior, and Society, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland; 4Department of Sociology, University of Alabama Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama; and 5Department of Family Medicine and Public Health Sciences, Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, Michigan

Address correspondence to: Jaime C. Slaughter-Acey, PhD, MPH, Division of Epidemiology and Community Health, University of Minnesota, School of Public Health, 1300 South 2nd Street, Suite 300, Minneapolis MN 55454. E-mail: jslaughts@gmail.com.
0749-3797/$36.00
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2019.04.014
Although AA women are nearly twice as likely to suffer from pregnancy complications and multiple comorbidities, they are less apt to use health care after delivery than white women.\textsuperscript{1,2} Further, at 40 deaths per 100,000 live births, the maternal mortality rate for AA women is 2 to 3 times greater than that for all other racial or ethnic groups.\textsuperscript{5-7} Poor PNC utilization may be a factor associated with poorer maternal health outcomes, including the aforementioned.\textsuperscript{7,8} It is pertinent to identify factors associated with PNC use for women of color, especially AAs, as such factors can be used to inform culturally relevant engagement strategies.

In the U.S. and other multietnic societies, race is a construct that is socially assigned to individuals often based on physical attributes and represents a social classification system that has bearing on people of color’s daily life experiences, choices, and opportunities.9-11 Literature posits that racism or racial discrimination, in and outside of the healthcare setting, may inhibit minorities’ use of needed health care, including PNC.8-10 Experiences of racism or racial discrimination are stressful and may illicit the adoption of psychological stress responses and coping strategies (e.g., anxiety or hypervigilance) that influence the way members of marginalized groups engage or disengage with institutional environments—like health care—where discrimination, prejudice, and antagonism are perceived to occur.12,13 Empirical evidence suggests differential treatment owing to race or ethnicity within the bounds of the healthcare system is associated with the underutilization of health care by people of color across a broad spectrum of services.10 Yet, few studies have examined racism situated in everyday experiences as a driver of delayed health care or unmet needs.9,10,12

Another aspect of the experience of race that impacts people of color, including AAs, is colorism or skin-tone bias.14,15 Colorism is a phenotype-based continuum that assigns privilege and disadvantage based upon the color of one’s skin;\textsuperscript{15,16} privilege is allocated to individuals with lighter complexion and more Eurocentric features, whereas disadvantage is assigned to those with darker skin tone and more Afrocentric features.\textsuperscript{15,16} Colorism is an insidious outgrowth of cultural racism—defined as conscious or subliminal beliefs in the supremacy of one group over another group that are rooted in the cultural standards of societal institutions, ideology, and everyday actions.17 Colorism is more pervasive in multiracial/multiethnic societies—like the U.S.—with histories of slavery, colonization, or globalization.15,16 Therefore, skin tone is more than a physical trait reflective of value-neutral bodily differences.15 Against the backdrop of colorism and racism, the color continuum of skin tone becomes a social construct that is hierarchical in nature and laden with racial bias, stereotypes, and social standing.\textsuperscript{18}

Skin tone, as a proxy for colorism, has been associated with health outcomes\textsuperscript{19-21} and access to health-promoting resources like higher education, higher income, and presumably residential segregation with preferential treatment given to lighter skin tones.22-24 Women of darker hues might be more apprehensive to seek PNC because of potential mistreatment due to skin tone and previous experiences with racial discrimination. Thus, skin tone—based mistreatment in tandem with racial discrimination may exacerbate AA women’s willingness to seek PNC and other healthcare services for themselves.

To address the aforementioned knowledge gap, this study examines the relationship between the perceived experiences of racial discrimination in the form of racial microaggressions and the receipt of PNC in AA women. Racial microaggressions—chronic or episodic daily race-related hassles that occur in the form of subtle, innocuous degradations and put-downs—are often encountered by people of color with greater frequency than major experiences of racial discrimination.11 They often “create an atmosphere of expectation that something racist will happen.”25 This paper also examines the social significance of shades of skin color by investigating whether maternal skin tone moderates the relationship between experiences of racial discrimination and PNC.

\textbf{METHODS}

\textbf{Study Population}

This study used data from the Life-Course Influences on Fetal Environments (LIFE) study, a retrospective cohort study administered between June 2009 and December 2011. Details regarding the LIFE study have been published elsewhere11,26,27; women were eligible to participate if they self-identified as AA or black, were aged 18-45 years, and gave birth to a singleton at a suburban hospital located in metropolitan Detroit, Michigan. A total of 1,999 eligible women were approached by study staff; 71% (n=1,410) provided written consent and were interviewed 24-48 hours after delivery by trained study staff before hospital discharge. Study participants were similar to U.S. black women who gave birth in 2010.26 Medical information was collected through medical record abstraction. The LIFE study was approved by IRBs from Wayne State University and St. John Providence Health System.

\textbf{Measures}

Racial microaggressions that occurred during and 3 months before the index pregnancy (year before delivery) were operationalized using the 20-item Daily Life Experiences of Racial Discrimination and Bother (DLE-B) instrument.11 Examples of microaggressions include being ignored or overlooked; opinions being minimized, ignored, or devalued; being observed or
followed in public areas; and mistaken for someone else of the same race. The DLE-B assesses the frequency that each microaggression is perceived to have transpired (1, never; 2, less than once a year; ... 5, few times a year; 6, once a week or more) and the extent that the reported racial microaggressions bothered the individual (1, never; 2, not at all; ... 5, a lot; 6, extremely). A summary score was developed by summing each item weighted by the participant’s response to how much the microaggression bothered them; the summary score ranged from 20 (low) to 720 (high). Previous publications, including one from LIFE, showed the DLE-B to be valid and reliable. Per previous literature, the DLE-B was modeled as a dichotomous variable, used to compare women who experienced discrimination with those who report little or no discrimination (score above versus at or below the median, respectively). Delayed PNC was operationalized by categorizing women into 2 groups based on the month of their first recorded PNC visit; no PNC or first PNC visit in the third trimester versus first PNC visit before the third trimester (reference group). As a secondary outcome, a 3-level categorical variable was created based on which trimester of pregnancy PNC began: (1) first trimester (reference group), (2) second trimester, and (3) third trimester or no PNC. Skin tone was assessed using a self-reported measure from the Detroit Area Study and the National Survey of American Life. Women were asked to report their shade of skin color, compared with most black people, using a 5-point Likert-like scale (1, very dark brown; 2, dark brown; 3, medium brown; 4, light brown; 5, very light brown). Women were categorized into 3 groups: (1) dark, (2) medium, and (3) light; the distribution was similar to previous skin-tone studies. Potential confounders, identified a priori from literature, were maternal age, highest grade level, marital status, health insurance (private versus public), current city of residence (Detroit versus outer metropolitan Detroit), employment status during pregnancy, and receipt of Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children or food stamps (yes versus no). Parity was obtained from the medical record. Chronic medical conditions were not included as control variables because they may lie on the causal pathway between racism and health care and data on date of diagnosis or utilization of care related to the condition were not available.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analyses were conducted from August 2017 to July 2018 with Stata, version 14. Univariate and bivariate statistics were used to assess the distribution of sample characteristics by delayed PNC. Binary and multinomial logistic regression to estimate ORs and 95% CIs was used to examine the association between the DLE-B as a dichotomous variable and delayed PNC outcomes and to assess effect modification by maternal skin tone. Models were built using a stepwise approach. Model 1 assessed the main effects of the DLE-B median variable; Model 2 tested the main effects of the DLE-B median variable adjusted for potential confounders (including maternal skin tone). Potential confounders included in the multivariable regression model were those that changed the coefficient for the DLE-B median variable >5% when entered into the model alone or in combination with another covariate. Model 3 assessed interactive effects of the DLE-B median variable and the 3 categories of maternal skin tone on PNC while adjusting for covariates included in Model 2; significance of the interaction term was assessed using the likelihood ratio (LR) test with $p<0.10$. Effect modification by maternal skin tone was also assessed through stratified analyses.

Variables were assessed for missing values; 3.8% of women were missing outcome data and 7.5% of women were missing the DLE-B. Little (<1%) data were missing on covariates. As <10% of analytic variables were missing data, list-wise deletion was employed. Because exposure to racial discrimination is more pervasive over the life course for U.S.-born (versus foreign-born) black women, the sample was restricted to U.S.-born women. Foreign-born women comprised <2% (n=26) of the cohort. The final analytic sample included 1,209 women (85.6% of the cohort).

In the exploration of the DLE-B and delayed PNC, sensitivity analyses were conducted to separate occurrences of discrimination from stress appraisal because of the reported discriminatory event by repeating the above analyses with each DLE-B subscale categorized at the median.

RESULTS

Maternal characteristics are displayed in Table 1. Women had a mean age of 27.2 (SD=6.2) years. Almost half (49.7%) resided in the city of Detroit at the time of enrollment; the remainder resided in outer metropolitan Detroit. Roughly half (47.5%) of women self-ascribed their skin tone as medium brown; women reporting light brown and dark brown skin comprised 31.8% and 20.8%, respectively. The mean DLE-B score was 96.1 (SD=79.9) and ranged from 20 to 637; a higher score indicated greater experiences of racial microaggressions. The mean DLE-B score varied by maternal skin tone with women on either end of the color continuum reporting more experiences of discrimination than women with medium brown skin tone (light brown: 99.3, SD=82.9; medium brown: 92.0, SD=75.8; dark brown: 100.6, SD=83.4). Nearly half (48.3%) of women had their first recorded PNC visit in the first trimester of pregnancy, 26.7% in the second trimester of pregnancy, and the remainder (24.8%) either had no PNC or their first visit occurred in the third trimester. Maternal characteristics stratified by maternal skin tone are in Appendix Table 1, available online.

Results from the binary logistic regression showed that AA women with a DLE-B score above the median were 24% more likely to have delayed PNC than AA women with a DLE-B score at or below the median (unadjusted OR=1.24, 95% CI=0.95, 1.61). After controlling for maternal skin tone, maternal age, city of residence, grade level, health insurance, parity, and receipt of Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children or food stamps, the association was slightly stronger (AOR=1.31, 95% CI=1.00, 1.72). Trimester of PNC initiation presented similar results (Figure 1).
Next, the analyses tested whether maternal skin tone modified the relationship between the DLE-B median and delayed PNC by including 2 skin tone X DLE-B interaction terms into the adjusted main effects model (Figure 2). The global test for the interaction terms was statistically significant (LR test: chi-squared=6.83, degrees
of freedom=2, \( p=0.03 \)). Exploration of the interaction via stratification of the adjusted main effects model by maternal skin tone demonstrated that a DLE-B score above the median was associated with an increased odds of delayed PNC among light brown AA women (AOR=1.67, 95% CI=1.02, 2.71) and dark brown AA women (AOR=2.29, 95% CI=1.18, 4.43). No association was found among medium brown women. Again, similar patterns were observed for delayed PNC when operationalizing it as a 3-level categorical variable with first trimester PNC entry as the reference group (Appendix Table 2, available online). For light brown AA women, results were suggestive of a DLE-B score above the median being associated with the odds of PNC entry in the second trimester (AOR=1.50, 95% CI=0.90, 2.50). A DLE-B score above the median was associated with the odds of third trimester or no PNC entry (AOR=1.90, 95% CI=1.14, 3.17). Among dark brown AA women, a DLE-B score above the median was just associated with the odds of third trimester or no PNC entry (AOR=2.14, 95% CI=1.07, 4.32). No association was found among medium brown AA women. Additional analyses suggested the presence of a 3-way interaction with parity (LR test: chi-squared=4.83, degrees of freedom=2, \( p=0.09 \)), but not maternal age (LR test: chi-squared=2.16, degrees of freedom=2, \( p=0.27 \)).

Results from the sensitivity analyses with the DLE-Bothered and DLE-Occurrence subscales (Appendix Table 3, available online) demonstrated associations similar to the overall DLE-B; however, the strength of association was stronger in the full sample for the DLE-Bothered subscale (AOR=1.39, 95% CI=1.06, 1.82) than for the DLE-Occurrence subscale (AOR=1.21, 95% CI=0.93, 1.68). Results stratified by skin tone showed both subscales were associated with delayed PNC in light and dark brown AA women, but not in medium brown AA women.

**DISCUSSION**

Despite long-standing racial and ethnic disparities associated with PNC and researchers hypothesizing racial discrimination as a potential antecedent of the disparity,\(^{37-40}\) quantitative studies exploring racial discrimination as a barrier to receiving care are few (DeMarco et al.\(^{39}\) and Slaughter-Acey and colleagues\(^{37}\) are exceptions). This study investigated whether exposure to racial discrimination was associated with AA
women either not getting or delaying entry into PNC. Findings suggest that lived experiences in the form of racial microaggressions may influence women’s use of health care, particularly PNC.

Literature posits that experiences of racial discrimination, in general or within the healthcare setting, may govern the way people of color perceive the healthcare system as well as how they access or utilize services.\textsuperscript{10,37,41} Indeed, a 2017 report on AA experiences of discrimination found that one fifth to one third of AAs report that they avoid seeking needed services (e.g., calling the police or medical care) to prevent potential discrimination.\textsuperscript{41} Roman et al.\textsuperscript{40} found that AA women perceived their experiences (provider attitudes, extended wait times, staff facial expressions) across the continuum of clinical and community-based care were influenced by their race and insurance status. It could be that AA women in LIFE who perceived greater experiences of racial microaggressions, in general or in the healthcare setting, develop a sense of hypervigilance and avoid or delay PNC as a way to circumvent potential discrimination.

Studies examining individual characteristics that influence (e.g., enhance, facilitate, or buffer) the nature of the racism or racial discrimination—healthcare use relationship predominantly focus on age, ethnicity, gender, and income.\textsuperscript{42} Skin tone is one of the most notable physical attributes;\textsuperscript{18} as a visible cue, it can illicit racial bias and discrimination by triggering culturally embedded racial stereotypes and prejudice.\textsuperscript{18} In the exploration of skin tone as an effect modifier, this study found maternal skin tone moderated the association between exposure to racial discrimination in the form of racial microaggressions and PNC. Greater amounts of racial discrimination were correlated with delayed PNC for AA women on the ends of the color continuum (i.e., light and dark brown but not medium brown).

At first glance, this finding seems counterintuitive given that colorism assigns privilege to individuals with lighter skin color. Colorism is insidiously complex; the way skin tone moderates the racial discrimination—PNC relationship may be a function of both outgroup colorism (perpetuated by whites) and ingroup colorism (perpetuated by blacks) as well as hyper-residential segregation of metropolitan Detroit.\textsuperscript{43} A recent study based on a nationally representative sample of AA women found that medium brown women perceived ingroup colorism with less

---

**Figure 2.** AORs for the relationship between racial microaggressions (DLE-B score > 71) and delayed PNC, overall and stratified by maternal skin tone, LIFE study, metropolitan Detroit, Michigan, 2009–2011 (N=1,209).

Note: Delayed PNC is operationalized first as a binary variable with first or second trimester entry as the reference group. AORs were controlled for maternal age, city of residence, grade level, health insurance, parity, employment, and receipt of Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children or food stamps. Women who entered PNC <3 months served as the reference group.

DLE-B, Daily Life Experiences of Racial Discrimination and Bother scale; LIFE, Life-Course Influences on Fetal Environments; PNC, prenatal care.
frequency than light or dark brown women; medium brown women also reported fewer encounters of outgroup colorism than their dark brown but not light brown peers. Furthermore, Wilder, in focus groups with AA women, also found ingroup colorism operated in a 3-tiered structure where colorism language and stereotypes attached to medium brown skin were more neutral.

The present study has several strengths. First, the socioeconomically diverse sample was entirely composed of AA women, which allowed for the exploration of ingroup heterogeneity. To the authors’ knowledge, this study is the first epidemiologic study to quantitatively examine skin tone, as a social construct, and how its salience may drive women’s engagement with health care in the U.S., particularly PNC. This study used self-rated skin tone, rather than spectrometer-based or interviewer-rated skin tone; self-ascribed skin tone has been shown to be a better predictor of racialized social interactions. Moreover, self-ascribed skin tone captures the individual’s subjective perceptions of their social hierarchy in society. Still, findings may only be generalizable to black, non-Hispanic or AA women born in the U.S. as foreign-born women were excluded. Future research should consider how colorism may vary across the ethnic groups within the Black Diaspora in America—that is, descendants of Africans brought to the U.S. as slaves, African immigrants, Afro-Latinas, and Caribbean Americans. Furthermore, as colorism is not specific to blacks, future research should consider how the antecedents and sequela of colorism affect other women of color in the U.S. and their engagement with health care.

Limitations
There are limitations to consider. As always, there is potential for measurement error in constructed variables. Timing of PNC visits was ascertained from the medical record, standard of practice for the 2003 revised U.S. birth certificate. It is possible that medical records may not have captured the first visit if the woman changed her prenatal provider. In relation to the exposure, the DLE-B did not consider gendered experiences of racial microaggressions. Future research investigating racial discrimination as a barrier to health care for people of color should incorporate an intersectional framework as a growing body of literature suggests experiences of racial discrimination and other race-related stressors can be gender specific. Finally, this study is not able to infer causality. With that said, recruitment of women during the immediate postpartum period versus prenatal period, likely increased the generalizability of the results because prospective designs skew the probability of recruitment to women with lower-risk profiles.

Conclusions
In summary, this study found maternal skin tone, a proxy for colorism, intersects with racial discrimination in the form of racial microaggressions to influence AA women’s use of PNC. These findings have implications related to the engagement of women of color, particularly AA women, in healthcare systems and maternal and child health programs.
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