

## INDEX

**Boldface** numbers refer to figures and tables.

- Aarøe, Lene, 95
- African Americans: perceived undeservingness of, 91–92, **92**.  
*See also* race
- Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC), 85
- American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, 26
- Bartels, Brandon L., 131*m*16
- Bartels, Larry, 42
- Booker, Cory, 121–22
- Bush, George H. W., 25
- Bush, George W., 26
- charitable contribution deduction, 30
- Child Care Tax Credit, 24
- Claggett, William, 42
- Clinton, Bill, 25
- conservatives/conservatism: experimental results on support for policy and delivery mechanism combinations, 71, **72**, 73, 77, **78–79**, 80; as ideology shaping view of government and government spending, 67–69; perceptions of those in need by, 90; rejection of the concept of tax expenditures, 128*m*2; symbolic and conflicted, 57–58; tax expenditures, attraction to, 83–84
- Coverdell Education Savings Accounts (ESAs), 28
- COVID-19, 125
- delivery mechanisms, experimental approach to popularity of, 61–62; ideology-trust interaction and, 70–71; policy areas, experimental vignettes for, 62–64; political ideology and, 67–69; political trust and, **69**, 69–70; redistributive effects, impact of knowledge of, 132*m*19; results showing impact of ideology-trust interaction, 74, **76**, 77, **78–79**, 80; results showing

- impact of political ideology, 71, **72**, 73–74; results showing impact of political trust, 74, **75**; results showing popularity of policy-delivery mechanism combinations, 64–65, **66–67**, 67
- delivery mechanisms for social programs: ideology and trust in the “real world” and, 80–82, **81**; tax expenditures versus direct spending as, 57, 59–61, 82–84, 113; translating political beliefs into public spending and, 114–17. *See also* social spending mode
- Democratic Party: limitations on efforts to reduce inequality by the, 118; as “owning” social policy issues, 7; social tax expenditures, increasing turn to, 25–27; tax expenditures for lower-income Americans proposed by presidential candidates, 121–22
- deservingness: explaining perceptions of, 89–90; heuristic, 87–89, 100; perceptions of public welfare recipients based on, 88–89, **89**; policy design and, 11, 113, 115; of public welfare recipients versus beneficiaries of social tax expenditures, 31, 86–87; race and perceptions of, 109–10, **110–11**, 112; race and the social construction of, 91–93, **92**; social construction of, 90, **91**; social spending mode and perceptions of, 93–95; of various social groups, perceptions of, **91**
- deservingness, experimental approach to spending mode and perceptions of, 95; limitations of, 112–13; results for deservingness, spending mode and, 100–103; results for deservingness across the vignettes, 98–99, **99–100**; vignettes for, 95–98
- direct spending programs: deservingness and (*see* deservingness); negative connotations associated with, 94; popularity of as a delivery mechanism (*see* delivery mechanisms, experimental approach to popularity of); social spending as a percentage of GDP, international comparison of, **21**; social tax expenditures and/*versus* (*see* tax expenditures and direct spending, comparisons of)
- Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC): cost of, 24; eligible households that do not claim, 121; as the largest federal antipoverty program, 2; number of low-income workers benefitting from, 29; predicting attitudes toward welfare (TANF program) and, **104**; symbolic racism score, expected levels of support for welfare (TANF program) and EITC by, **105**; welfare (TANF program), comparison to, 85–86; welfare (TANF program), race and spending mode in comparison to, 103–6
- education: social tax expenditures for, 28, 118
- egalitarianism: measurement of, 52; support for social tax expenditures and, 50–52, 116
- EITC. *See* Earned Income Tax Credit
- energy: experimental results regarding consumption of green, 65, **66–67**; experimental results showing impact of ideology-political trust interaction regarding consumption of green, **76**, 77, **78–79**; experimental results showing impact of ideology regarding consumption of green, **72**, 73; experimental results showing impact of political trust regarding consumption of green, 75; experimental vignettes regarding consumption of green, 64
- Evans, Jillian, 70
- families: economic security and social tax expenditures, 27–29; social tax expenditures versus direct public spending, usage of, 28–29

- Faricy, Christopher, 22
- federal budget: list of tax expenditures  
 as appendix to, 19; tax expenditures  
 compared to major spending categories  
 in, 4, 4–5
- 529 plans, 28, 118
- General Social Survey (GSS) questions, 59
- Gilens, Martin, 92–94, 110
- Gillibrand, Kirsten, 121
- Goldwater, Barry, 24
- government: attitudes toward, 57–58;  
 gridlock and trust in, 132*n*33; political  
 ideology and attitudes toward, 67–69  
 (*see also* ideology, political); spending,  
 attitudes toward, 57–59; trust in, 69,  
 69–70 (*see also* trust)
- Hacker, Jacob, 60
- Harris, Kamala, 121–22
- Haselswerdt, Jake, 131*n*16
- Hatch, Orrin, 128–29*n*2
- health care insurance: deduction for  
 high medical expenses as social  
 tax expenditure, 28; employment-  
 based, social tax expenditure for, 27;  
 experimental results regarding, 65,  
 66–67; experimental results regarding  
 deservingness of recipients for public  
 support, 99–100; experimental results  
 regarding deservingness of recipients  
 for public support by spending mode,  
 101, 102, 111; experimental results  
 showing impact of ideology, 72, 73;  
 experimental results showing impact of  
 ideology-political trust interaction, 76,  
 78; experimental results showing impact  
 of political trust, 75; experimental  
 vignettes regarding, 64; experimental  
 vignettes regarding deservingness of  
 recipients for public support, 96–98;  
 Health Savings Accounts (*see* Health  
 Savings Accounts (HSAs)); Patient  
 Protection and Affordable Care Act  
 of 2010 (Obamacare), 26, 117–18; two  
 sides of American welfare state and, 20;  
 users of public and employment-based,  
 comparison of, 32, 32–33
- Health Savings Accounts (HSAs):  
 establishment of, 26; as a social tax  
 expenditure, 28
- Henderson, Tammy, 47
- Henry, P. J., 103
- Hetherington, Marc, 69–70, 126
- home mortgage interest deduction (HMID):  
 as a “hidden” social policy, 83; regressive  
 impact of, 27–28; Tax Cuts and Jobs  
 Act of 2017, impact of, 131*n*27. *See also*  
 mortgage interest
- Hope Scholarship Tax Credit, 28
- Howard, Christopher, 59
- humanitarianism: measurement of, 52;  
 support for social tax expenditures and,  
 50–52
- ideology, political: delivery mechanism  
 and impact of, 133*n*38; experimental  
 results showing impact of, 71, 72,  
 73–74; experimental results showing  
 interaction of trust and, 74, 76, 77,  
 78–79, 80; government and government  
 spending, perception of, 67–69; real  
 world results showing interaction of  
 trust and, 80–82, 81; support for social  
 tax expenditures and, 116; trust and,  
 interaction of, 70–71
- income inequality: addressing in the  
 current economic climate, 126; level of  
 in the United States, 1; tax expenditures  
 and, 117–23, 126
- Ingram, Helen, 90
- Internal Revenue Service (IRS):  
 administration of tax expenditures by, 6;  
 audit by, decreased odds of, 19
- Jacoby, William, 58
- Joint Committee on Taxation (JCT), 19
- Jost, John, 99

Klobuchar, Amy, 121

liberals/liberalism: experimental results on support for policy and delivery mechanism combinations, 71, **72**, 73–74, 77, **78–79**, 80; as ideology shaping view of government and government spending, 67–69; operational, 57–58; perceptions of those in need by, 90

Lifetime Learning Credit, 28

low-income citizens: deservingness and, 88 (*see also* deservingness); experimental approach predicting support for public assistance by race and spending mode, 106–9, **109**; experimental results regarding assistance for, 65, **66–67**, 67; experimental results regarding deservingness of public support, **99–100**; experimental results regarding deservingness of public support by spending mode, **101**, 102, **111**; experimental results showing ideology-political trust interaction regarding assistance, **76**, **78**; experimental results showing impact of ideology regarding assistance, **72**, 73; experimental results showing impact of political trust regarding assistance, **75**; experimental vignettes regarding assistance for, 63; experimental vignettes regarding deservingness of public support, 96; tax expenditures as a vehicle for helping the working poor, 119–23; wage subsidies for (*see* wage subsidies)

Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003, 26

Mettler, Suzanne, 6, 29, 48, 60, 130*n*18

mortgage interest: experimental results regarding, 65, **66–67**; experimental results regarding deservingness of public support, **99–100**; experimental results regarding deservingness of

public support by spending mode, **101**, 102, **111**; experimental results showing impact of ideology, 71, **72**, 73; experimental results showing impact of ideology-political trust interaction, **76**, 77, **78–79**; experimental results showing impact of political trust, **75**; experimental vignettes regarding, 62; experimental vignettes regarding deservingness of public support, 98. *See also* home mortgage interest deduction (HMID)

Obama, Barack, 26, 118, 126

operational liberalism, 57–58

partisanship: framing of policies and, 130*n*14; measurement of, 51; social tax expenditures and, 12, 24–27, 46–47, 52, **54**, 116, 130*n*14

Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 (Obamacare), 3, 26, 117–18

Pelosi, Nancy, 118

Petersen, Michael, 95

Piazza, Thomas, 91

policy tool: definition of, 29; tax expenditures as a conservative, 29–31. *See also* delivery mechanisms for social programs

property tax deduction, 27–28

public opinion: Americans' perceptions of their own tax burden, **42**; of deservingness (*see* deservingness); of direct spending and tax expenditures (*see* delivery mechanisms, experimental approach to popularity of); EITC, popularity of, 86 (*see also* Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC)); of government and government spending, 57–59; social spending, future of attitudes toward, 123–26; of social tax expenditures (*see* social

- tax expenditures, popularity of);  
 “soft support” for social spending, 59;  
 taxation, American tolerance for, 41–42;  
 tax burden of the wealthy, 42–45, **43–44**;  
 of welfare (*see* welfare)
- race: the construction of deservingness  
 and, 91–93, **92**; deservingness and,  
 perceptions of, 109–10, **110–11**, 112;  
 experimental approach predicting  
 support for low-income assistance  
 by spending mode and, 106–9, **109**;  
 future research on social welfare  
 policies and, 124; measuring prejudice,  
 135*n*51; spending mode and, 103–6; tax  
 expenditures as a way to limit racial  
 biases, 120; users of public versus private-  
 sector health insurance and, **32**, 32–33
- Rangel, Charles, 8
- Reagan, Ronald, 25
- refundable tax credits, 120–21
- Republican Party: expansion of public  
 welfare, opposition to, 7; social tax  
 expenditures, increasing turn to, 24–26,  
 130*n*14
- retirement/pensions: employment-  
 sponsored social tax expenditures, 27;  
 experimental results regarding, 65,  
**66–67**; experimental results regarding  
 deservingness of public subsidies,  
**99–100**; experimental results regarding  
 deservingness of public subsidies  
 by spending mode, **101**, 102, **111**;  
 experimental results showing impact  
 of ideology, 71, **72**, 73; experimental  
 results showing impact of ideology-  
 political trust interaction, **76**, 77, **78–79**;  
 experimental results showing impact of  
 political trust, **75**; experimental vignettes  
 regarding, 62–63; experimental vignettes  
 regarding deservingness of public  
 support, 97; investment in the stock  
 market, social tax expenditures for, 28
- Rubin, Robert, 8
- Rudolph, Thomas, 70, 77, 126
- Ryan, Paul, 8, 118
- Schafer, Byron, 42
- Schneider, Anne, 90
- Sears, David, 103
- self-interest: measurement of, 51;  
 perceptions of deservingness and,  
 90; recognition of benefit from a  
 government subsidy program versus a  
 government social program, **49**; support  
 for social tax expenditures and, 12–13,  
 47–50, 54
- Sniderman, Paul, 91
- Social Security: percentage of households  
 benefitting from, 29; perceptions  
 of recipients of, 89, **89**; privatizing,  
 unsuccessful effort at, 13, 26
- social spending mode: experimental  
 approach predicting support for low-  
 income assistance by race and, 106–9,  
**109**; perceptions of deservingness and,  
 93–95, 100–103; race and, 103–6. *See also*  
 delivery mechanisms for social  
 programs
- social tax expenditures: administration  
 of, 19, 30; Americans’ use of major, **3**;  
 as a conservative policy tool, 29–31;  
 as a delivery mechanism for funding  
 social goals (*see* delivery mechanisms  
 for social programs); direct social  
 spending and, differences between, 5–6;  
 direct social spending and, differences  
 in beneficiaries of, 31–33 (*see also* tax  
 expenditures and direct spending,  
 comparisons of); distributional impact  
 of, 33–34, **34**; distribution of benefits  
 from, public understanding of, 45, **45**,  
 115–16; family economic security and,  
 27–29; future research on, 123–26;  
 growth of, 22–23; importance of, 3–5;  
 importance of, the divided American

- welfare state and, 20–24, 117; major categories of federal spending, compared to, **4**; meaning and examples of, 18; partisan politics and the growth of, 24–27; political entrenchment of despite distributive implications, 117–19; regressivity/upward distribution of wealth by, 23–24, 28, 31, 33–34, 117–19; social welfare for the working poor, as a vehicle for, 119–23
- social tax expenditures, popularity of, 37–38; direct spending, compared to, 54–55, 59–61 (*see also* tax expenditures and direct spending, comparisons of); modeling factors influencing, 51–52, **53**, 54; partisanship and, 46–47, 52, **54**; puzzle of, 6–9; reasons for, 9–11, 41–45; self-interest and, 47–50, 54; seven major tax expenditures, level of support for, 38–40, **39**; seven major tax expenditures, principal-factor analysis of, **40**; seven major tax expenditures, structure of beliefs regarding, 40–41; values and, 50–52. *See also* delivery mechanisms, experimental approach to popularity of socioeconomic status: users of public versus private-sector health insurance and, **32**, 32–33
- Stonecash, Jeffrey, 29
- student loans: experimental results regarding, 65, **66–67**; experimental results showing impact of ideology, **72**, 73; experimental results showing impact of ideology-political trust interaction, **76**, **78**; experimental results showing impact of political trust, **75**; experimental vignettes regarding, 63
- Summers, Larry, 25
- symbolic conservatism, 57–58
- Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA) of 2017, 8, 118–19, 124–25, 131*n*27
- tax expenditures: conservatives' rejection of the concept of, 128*n*2; cost of most expensive, 23–24, **24**; definition of, 2; as delivery mechanism for social programs (*see* delivery mechanisms for social programs); deservingness and (*see* deservingness); as “hidden” in the budget, 5; list of as appendix to the federal budget, 19; meaning/usage of the term, 18; as percentage of the total federal budget, 22, **23**; political messaging of, 134*n*38; policy process for creating, 5, 19, 60; political polarization of, limited possibilities for, 122–23; refundable tax credits, 120–21; social and nonsocial categories of, 22–23; for social programs (*see* social tax expenditures); translating political beliefs into public spending and, 114–17
- tax expenditures and direct spending, comparisons of: beneficiaries of, 31–33; as a delivery mechanism for social programs (*see* delivery mechanisms for social programs); distinction between, 18–19; family usage of, 28–29; political ideology and, 67–69; public support for, 54–55 (*see also* social tax expenditures, popularity of). *see also* direct spending programs; social tax expenditures; tax expenditures
- Tax Reduction Act of 1975, 85
- Tax Reform Act of 1986, 22, 86
- TCJA. *See* Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017
- Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), 85–86, 103. *See also* welfare
- Trump, Donald, 7–8, 118, 120
- trust: experimental results showing impact of, 74, **75**; experimental results showing impact of interaction of ideology and, 74, **76**, 77, **78–79**, 80; in government, **69**, 69–70; government gridlock and, 132*n*33; ideology and, interaction of, 70–71; real world results showing impact of interaction of ideology and, 80–82, **81**

- Van Hollen, Chris, 118
- Van Oorschot, Wim, 11, 88
- wage subsidies: experimental results  
 regarding deservingness of recipients, **99–100**; experimental results regarding deservingness of recipients by spending mode, **101**, 102, **111**; experimental vignettes regarding deservingness of recipients, 97
- wealthy, the: tax burden of, public opinion regarding, 42–45, **43–44**; tax burden of, public perceptions of, **44**
- welfare: attitudes toward as a function of spending frame, **108**; negative racial stereotyping and, 92; perceptions of deservingness and unpopularity of, 88–89, **89**; spending mode and public support for, 103–6; unpopularity of, 86
- welfare state, American: divided, 1–3, 13, 20–24 (*see also* tax expenditures and direct spending, comparisons of); future research related to public opinion regarding, 123–26; partisan politics and the expanded role of tax expenditures, 24–27; size of, 20–21
- welfare state(s): social spending as a percentage of GDP, international comparison of, **21**
- Williamson, Vanessa, 41
- YouGov surveys, 128*n*33