




**Figure 2.1.** Non-English Home Language and Self-Reported Ability to Speak English (%), Parents' Generation. *Source:* U.S. Census, 2000, 5% Public Use Microdata Sample, ten county study area.




**Figure 2.2.** Educational Attainment (%), Parents' Generation. *Source:* U.S. Census, 2000, 5% Public Use Microdata Sample, ten county study area.




**Figure 2.3.** Employment (%) and Earnings (\$K) of Full Time Employed, Parents' Generation. *Source:* U.S. Census, 2000, 5% Public Use Microdata Sample, ten county study area.



**Figure 2.4.** 2+ Workers in Family (%) and Median Household Income (\$K), Parents' Generation. *Source:* U.S. Census, 2000, 5% Public Use Microdata Sample, ten county study area.



**Figure 2.5.** Household Assets (%), Parents' Generation. *Source:* U.S. Census, 2000, 5% Public Use Microdata Sample, ten county study area.



**Figure 2.6.** Family Form (%), Parents' Generation. *Source:* U.S. Census, 2000, 5% Public Use Microdata Sample, ten county study area.

**Table 2.1.** Factors Affecting Position of First Generation Parents

Domain	Puerto Rican	Native Black	Dominican	West Indian	South American	Chinese	Russian	Native White
Language	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+
Family Structure	-	-	-	-	m	+	+	m
Parental Employment	-	+	-	+	m	+	+	+
Parental Education	-	m	-	+	m	-	+	+
Racial Discrimination	-	-	-	-	+	m	+	+
Transnational Flows	m	na	-	-	m	+	na	na
Residential Location	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
Class Heterogeneity	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+

- overall disadvantage on this measure

+ overall advantage on this measure

na neutral or not applicable on this measure


m mixed

**Table 3.1.** Racial Identification by Group


Race Given	Study Group of Respondents							
	Puerto Rican	Native Black	Dominican	West Indian	South American	Chinese	Russian Jewish	Native White
White	16.6		12.1	0.2	19.5	0.5	100.0	95.9
Black	10.8	99.5	11.7	92.9	3.7			0.2
Native American		0.2						
Indian			0.2		0.2			
Chinese				0.2		95.0		
Japanese					0.2			
Vietnamese						0.2		
Hawaiian					0.2			
American	3.2		2.1		3.2	0.2		1.0
Asian					0.2	3.3		
Burmese						0.2		
Colombian					5.2			
Dominican			15.2					
Ecuadoran					4.7			
Hispanic	26.0		34.3	0.5	36.9			0.2
Human	0.5		0.2	0.2	0.5	0.2		
Indigenous Indian	0.5		1.9		1.0			
Latin American	0.5		1.9		1.7			
Latino	2.8		4.9	0.2	4.7			0.2
Mixed	0.7	0.2	1.4	0.5				
Peruvian					2.0			
Puerto Rican	30.4				0.7			
South American					0.5			
Spanish	3.0		4.7		5.0			
West Indian			0.2	3.9				
Malay						0.2		
Other Race			0.2	0.2	0.5			0.2
Don't Know	3.5		7.2	0.7	8.5	0.3		0.5
Refused	1.6		1.6	0.2	0.5			1.7

Source: Study of the immigrant Second Generation in Metropolitan New York (ISGMNY).







**Figure 4.1.** Family Form Growing Up (%). *Source:* ISGMNY.




**Figure 4.2.** Family Composition. *Source:* ISGMNY.




**Figure 4.3.** Neighborhoods Growing Up (Median %). *Source:* U.S. Census 1990, Standard File 3, Zip Code Level.




**Figure 4.4.** Neighborhood Problems Reported (%). *Source:* ISGMNY.




**Figure 5.1.** Educational Attainment (%) (age 24–32 grew up in New York metro area). *Source:* ISGMNY.



**Figure 5.2.** Type of High School Attended (%) (grew up in New York metro area). *Source:* ISGMNY.



**Figure 5.3.** Performance Quintile of New York City Public High Schools Attended (%) (attended New York City high school). *Source:* ISGMNY.



**Figure 5.4.** Type and Ranking of College Attended (%) (attended any college).  
*Source:* ISGMNY.




**Table 5.1.** Correlates of Educational Attainment  
(Age 24–32, grew up in metropolitan New York)

Variable	Beta	Beta	Beta
(Constant)	3.49**	1.77**	1.16**
Puerto Rican	-.300**	-.141**	-.095**
Black	-.333**	-.190**	-.106**
Dominican	-.110**	-.003	.011
West Indian	-.096**	-.013	.011
CEP	-.093**	-.028	-.032
Chinese	.042	.092**	.066**
Russian	.040	.017	.032
Parents' Education		.307**	.269**
Age		.073*	.107**
Female		.072**	.088**
Grew Up with Both Parents		.061**	.019
Siblings Grew Up With		-.097**	-.054**
Times Moved 6–18		-.125**	-.094**
Has Had a Child			-.307**
Ever Arrested			-.077**
High School Average Hours of Homework			.039
Went to Parochial HS 1+ year			.051*
Used Museums & Libraries Growing Up			.070**
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	.105	.240	.335


\*sig < .05. \*\*sig < .01

Dependent variable is educational status: 1 = no HS diploma, 2 = HS diploma or in high school, 3 = associate's degree or enrolled in community college, 4 = BA degree or enrolled in college, 5 = postgraduate degree or enrolled in graduate school. Respondents are weighted to represent their group's share of the sample universe. Parents' education measured by highest level attained by either parent on a five-point scale.




**Figure 6.1.** Labor Force Status (%) (Part Time Work Not Shown).


*Source:* ISGMNY.



**Figure 6.2.** Hours Worked and Hourly Earnings. *Source:* ISGMNY.




**Figure 6.3.** Contact with Criminal Justice System (%). *Source:* ISGMNY.




**Figure 6.4.** Industry Dissimilarity Between Respondents and Their Parents.

*Source:* ISGMNY.



**Figure 6.5.** Occupational Distribution (%). *Source:* ISGMNY.



**Figure 6.6.** Weekly Income by Ethnic Enclave Status (\$). *Source:* ISGMNY.

**Table 6.1.** Determinants of Logged Hourly Earnings  
(working twenty-five hours a week or more with reported wages)

Variable	Beta	Beta	Beta
(Constant)	2.69**	1.16**	1.00**
Puerto Rican	-.230**	-.186**	-.073*
Black	-.187**	-.194**	-.088**
Dominican	-.138**	-.089	-.033
West Indian	-.118**	-.085	-.031
South American	-.109**	-.063	-.016
Chinese	.001	.035	.029
Russian	.006	.035	.034
Age		.460**	.372**
Female		-.057**	-.078**
Educational Attainment			.319**
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	.053	.260	.339

\*sig < .05. \*\*sig < .01.


Educational attainment: 1 = no HS diploma, 2 = HS diploma or in high school, 3 = associate's degree or enrolled in community college, 4 = BA degree, 5 = postgraduate degree. Respondents are weighted to represent their group's share of the sample universe.




**Table 6.2.** Co-ethnic Employment across Second Generation Groups (%)

Group	Supervisor & Co-workers same ethnicity	Co-worker same ethnicity	Supervisor & Co-workers same race	Co-worker same race
Puerto Rican	13.8	28.8	15.9	46.6
Black	23.9	40.3	27.6	60.5
Dominican	6.4	21.0	19.0	52.8
West Indian	6.7	23.4	24.7	56.8
South American	2.1	9.8	13.4	43.1
Chinese	27.4	32.6	29.1	40.0
Russian Jewish	8.7	23.5	72.2	83.6
White	14.3	23.5	74.4	81.7


*Source:* ISGMNY.



**Figure 7.1.** Attitudes about Gender Roles (% agreeing). *Source:* ISGMNY.



**Figure 7.2.** Partnering (%). *Source:* ISGMNY.



**Figure 7.3.** Intergroup Partnering of Those Partnered (%). *Source:* ISGMNY.

**Table 7.1.** Percentage of Respondents Living with Parent  
(only respondents who grew up in metropolitan New York)


Group	Age 18–22	Age 23–27	Age 28–32
Puerto Ricans	70	34	23
Native Blacks	65	30	15
Dominicans	65	35	19
West Indians	72	44	28
South Americans	74	52	38
Chinese	85	66	49
Russians	80	40	21
Native Whites	68	33	11

*Source:* ISGMNY.


**Table 7.2.** Share of Respondents with Children and Spouses or Partners by Age and Group (%)

	18–22		23–27		28–32	
	Child	Partner	Child	Partner	Child	Partner
Puerto Ricans	24	17	60	41	63	53
Native Blacks	28	10	62	34	72	47
Dominicans	25	21	54	52	60	68
West Indians	16	11	45	28	52	43
Chinese	1	3	3	16	14	42
Russians	3	11	17	42	42	61
Native Whites	6	11	9	33	27	44

*Source:* ISGMNY.




**Figure 8.1.** Language Practices (%). *Source:* ISGMNY.



**Figure 8.2.** Ability in Language of Origin (%). *Source:* ISGMNY.





**Figure 8.3.** Transnational Practices (%). *Source:* ISGMNY.





Figure 8.4. Religious Affiliation (%). Source: ISGMNY.



**Figure 9.1.** Voting and Political Attitudes (% Agree or Somewhat Agree).

*Source:* ISGMNY.

		<u>Interest/Participation in Politics</u>	
		Low	High
Alienation from Politics	Low	Russian Jews, Chinese	Native whites
	High	Puerto Ricans, Dominicans, South Americans	African Americans, West Indians

---


**Figure 9.2.** Group Position in Local Politics

**Table 9.1.** Binary Logistic Model of Likelihood of Voting in the 1996 Presidential Election  
(citizens 18 or older by election time, living in New York City)


Constant	.68**	-3.382**	-3.893**
Puerto Rican	-.739**	-.418*	-.461*
Native Black	.266	.555**	.451*
Dominican	-.751**	-.344	-.368
West Indian	-.357	.084	.023
South American	-.740**	-.422**	-.406
Chinese	-1.458**	-1.236**	-1.276**
Russian Jewish	-1.175**	-.942**	-.987**
Age		.098**	.091**
Female		.225*	.182
Education		.327**	.297**
Working		.386**	.362**
1.5 Generation		-.572**	-.593**
Used Libraries & Museums Growing Up			.146**
Organizational Memberships			.268**
Cox & Snell R <sup>2</sup>	.067	.137	.158

\* Significant at .05 level


\*\* Significant at .01 level



**Figure 10.1.** Intergroup Attitudes (%). *Source:* ISGMNY.



**Figure 10.2.** Experiences of Discrimination (%). *Source:* ISGMNY.



**Figure 10.3.** Experience and Consequence of Different Types of Discrimination



**Table 10.1.** Experience of Prejudice and Discrimination  
(by group and by level of education) (%)

Group	School		Looking for Work		Work		Store/ Restaurant		Police	
	Education		Education		Education		Education		Education	
	Low	Hi	Low	Hi	Low	Hi	Low	Hi	Low	Hi
Puerto Rican	14	20	24	14	26	24	39	47	24	15
Native Black	14	19	34	31	35	37	51	70	35	31
Dominican	12	20	24	12	21	14	36	42	27	22
West Indian	15	22	26	25	28	34	54	62	37	31
South American	17	18	19	13	21	18	37	47	24	20
Chinese	33	22	17	11	13	13	41	41	20	11
Russian	10	11	15	7	10	7	15	10	13	6
Native White	12	7	7	5	15	13	20	12	10	3

Low education=Less than a BA. High education=BA or above.

**Table A.1.** Screened and Eligible Households and Interviews Completed

---

Total Phase 1 Screening Calls	91,331
Not Residential/No Answer/No Response after Callbacks	54,789
Household Did Not Speak English, Spanish, Chinese, or Russian	4,141
Eligible to Be Screened	32,401
Refused to Be Screened	4,862
Began Phase 1 Screening	27,089
Failed to Complete Screening	4,585
Completed Screening	22,504
% Eligible Successfully Screened	69.5
<i>Found Eligible in Phase 1 Screening</i>	<i>4,405</i>
Total Phase 2 Screening Calls	196,063
Not Residential/No Answer/No Response after Callbacks	109,283
Household Did Not Speak English, Spanish, Chinese, or Russian	6,330
Eligible to Be Screened	80,450
Refused to Be Screened	10,066
Started Screening	70,384
Completed Screening	64,290
% Eligible Successfully Screened	79.9
<i>Found Eligible in Phase 2 Screening</i>	<i>2,399</i>
Total Eligible in Phase 1 and Phase 2	6,804
Total over Quota	363
<i>Total Final Respondents</i>	<i>3,415</i>
<i>Yield Rate (R/E)</i>	<i>53.2%</i>

---

**Table A.2.** Detailed Disposition of Eligible Households by Group and Screening Phase

	Puerto Rican	Native Black	Dominican	West Indian	South American	Chinese	Russian Jewish	Native White
Phase 1 Eligible	952	1020	306	613	236	143	119	1,016
Refused	371	398	119	238	101	63	52	447
Over Quota	40	154	0	0	0	0	0	67
Began Interview	541	468	187	375	135	80	67	472
Completed Interviews	<i>429</i>	<i>421</i>	163	343	122	80	47	<i>410</i>
% of Phase 1 Eligible Quota	47.0	48.6	53.3	56.0	51.7	55.9	39.5	43.2
Phase 2 Eligible			446	120	481	870	482	
Over Quota			0	0	0	102	0	
Refused			155	42	167	200	167	
Began Interview			291	78	314	568	315	
Completed Interviews			<i>264</i>	<i>64</i>	<i>288</i>	<i>537</i>	<i>264</i>	
% of Phase 2 Eligible Quota			59.2	53.3	59.9	69.9	54.8	
Total Completed	429	421	427	407	410	617	311	410
Edits	+4	0	-1	0	-8	-10	-2	-2
Final	433	421	428	407	402	607	309	40
Yield CI/E	47.5	48.6	56.9	55.5	56.1	66.6	51.4	43.0

*Note:* Italicized completed interview totals indicate group quota was met in Phase 1.

**Table A.3.** Comparison of Survey Respondents and 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample

	Puerto Rican	Native Black	Dominican	West Indian	South American	Chinese	Russian	Native White
Median Age in Survey	24.2	25.5	23.7	23.4	24.0	22.0	22.0	25.8
Median Age in Census	25.0	25.2	24.7	24.8	25.4	25.6	25.2	26.2
Female Share in Survey	59.2	61.4	59.3	52.8	48.9	46.0	49.7	54.9
Female Share in Census	52.5	57.1	52.8	55.2	45.4	50.4	47.9	51.5

**Table A.4.** Comparison of Survey Respondents and In-Depth Interviewees

Group	Survey Respondents			In-Depth Interviewees		
	Female Percent	Average Age	A Parent Has BA	Female Percent	Average Age	A Parent Has BA
PR	60	24.1	14.8	53	24.6	16.7
NB	61	25.5	27.6	63	25.7	40.7
DR	59	23.7	15.2	44	23.4	18.6
WI	52	23.4	30.0	55	24.3	26.3
SA	49	24.0	23.6	54	24.6	15.4
CH	46	22.5	22.7	50	24.6	28.9
RJ	48	22.4	70.2	45	23.0	65.8
NW	56	25.7	55.6	50	27.2	58.3
Total Sample	54	23.9	30.6	51	24.6	32.7

PR=Puerto Rican; NB=Native Black; DR=Dominican; WI=West Indian; SA=South American; CH=Chinese; RJ=Russian Jewish; NW=Native White.

**Table A.5.** Age by Group (in percent)

Group	18-20	21-23	24-26	27-29	30-32	Total
Puerto Rican	26.1	22.4	17.7	18.6	15.2	429
Native Black	17.8	16.9	19.7	23.0	22.6	421
Dominican	23.7	33.3	15.5	16.4	11.2	427
West Indian	31.4	23.8	19.4	13.8	11.5	407
South American	23.4	29.3	19.8	15.4	12.2	410
Chinese	41.4	21.8	18.7	10.3	7.7	609
Russian Jewish	40.2	25.4	18.3	9.6	6.4	311
Native White	13.4	20.5	20.0	23.2	22.9	410
Total Sample	27.6	24.0	18.6	16.2	13.6	3415

**Table A.6.** Immigration Cohort by Group (in percent)

Group	U.S./2nd Gen	1.5 Gen	1.25 Gen
Puerto Rican	100.0		
Native Black	100.0		
Dominican	61.9	37.9	
West Indian	54.1	45.5	
South American	59.3	40.5	
Chinese	42.4	57.5	
Russian	12.2	60.8	26.7
Native White	100.0		
Total Sample	66.7	30.7	2.4

**Table A.7.** Movement Out of New York Consolidated Metropolitan Area between 1995 and 2000 by Group and Income (percent who moved)

Group	Household Income <\$30K	Household Income \$30–60K	Household Income Above \$60K
Puerto Ricans	7.9	8.1	5.5
Native Blacks	9.8	9.3	6.1
Dominicans	6.7	5.7	4.2
West Indians	10.0	7.8	4.2
South Americans	8.5	6.5	5.1
Chinese	7.8	6.8	6.6
Russians	3.7	5.9	4.1
Native Whites	15.0	9.8	6.2
Other	10.3	8.8	7.0
Total Sample	11.1	8.9	6.1

*Source:* U.S. Census, 2000, 5% Public Use Microdata Sample.