




Figure 1.1 Conceptual Diagram for Analyzing Social Ecology of Inequality




Source: Authors' compilation.

Figure 2.1 Pure Race and Class Effects




Source: Authors' calculations.

Figure 2.2 Average Household Income




Source: Authors' calculations based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 2.3 Health Insurance Coverage




Source: Authors' calculations based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 2.4 Parental Occupational Status




Source: Authors' calculations based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 2.5 Parent College-Educated




Source: Authors' calculations based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 2.6 **Father Never Present**




Source: Authors' calculations based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 2.7 Child-Adult Ratio




Source: Authors' calculations based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 2.8 Family Mortality




Source: Authors' calculations based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 2.9 Family Sternness




Source: Authors' calculations based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 2.10 **Father Ever Incarcerated**



Source: Authors' calculations based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 2.11 Family Mentorship



Source: Authors' calculations based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Table 2.1 **Living Circumstances**

Variable	Whites	Asians	Hispanics	Blacks
Biological parents				
Mother present at Wave 1	93.1	93.3	93.2	94.2
Father present at Wave 1	69.5	80.1	63.9	36.1
Father never present	23.4	15.2	25.7	60.7
Relative number of adults				
Average number of adults	2.1	2.5	2.4	2.0
Average number of children	2.2	2.4	2.8	2.6
Child-adult ratio	1.06	0.98	1.18	1.32
Number of cases	5,039	629	1,482	1,894

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

Note: Adults are eighteen and older; children are younger than eighteen.

Table 2.2 Access to Resources Within Family

Variable	Whites	Asians	Hispanics	Blacks
Material resources				
Household income	48.5	50.8	35.2	28.4
Full year health insurance	64.2	69.5	53.6	59.7
Ever received welfare	7.3	9.3	17.4	22.2
Received a housing subsidy	2.2	2.7	3.6	11.7
Not enough money for bills	13.3	15.3	25.2	30.7
Symbolic resources				
Average occupational prestige	41.6	39.3	33.3	34.3
Parental education				
Less than high school	11.3	28.3	50.3	23.0
High school graduate	34.5	17.3	21.6	33.3
Some college	30.5	13.0	18.5	27.1
College graduate	15.4	31.9	6.4	11.0
Postgraduate studies	8.2	9.5	3.1	5.7
Emotional resources				
Family sternness scale ($\alpha = 0.90$)	17.4	18.8	17.8	16.4
Death in family	5.8	7.3	7.8	12.1
Father incarcerated	12.9	5.1	17.0	20.3
Family mentor named	26.0	19.3	23.8	34.1
Number of cases	5,039	629	1,482	1,894

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

Note: Household income in thousands of dollars. Occupational score numeric. Sternness as indicated. All other numbers are percentages.


Table 2.3 Access to Resources, by Presence or Absence of Father

Variable	Whites	Asians	Hispanics	Blacks
Father present Wave 1				
Material resources				
Household income	53.3	52.5	36.8	41.0
Ever received welfare	4.0	7.5	10.5	12.2
Received a housing subsidy	0.9	1.9	1.2	4.7
Not enough money for bills	10.2	11.5	22.4	22.7
Full year health insurance	69.5	71.7	57.4	67.6
Symbolic resources				
Occupational prestige score	42.8	39.2	33.3	42.8
Parental education				
Less than high school	9.1	29.6	52.0	14.6
High school graduate	35.2	18.4	19.6	31.1
Some college	29.8	10.9	19.4	28.4
College graduate	16.7	31.2	5.5	3.6
Postgraduate studies	9.2	9.9	3.6	9.3
Emotional resources				
Death in family	3.7	1.8	3.6	6.0
Father incarcerated	7.5	4.7	9.2	9.3
Family sternness scale ($\alpha = 0.90$)	16.6	18.7	16.4	15.5
Family mentor named	25.8	19.2	23.6	33.0
Father absent Wave 1				
Material resources				
Household income	38.3	42.2	33.3	22.5
Ever received welfare	14.8	15.7	30.5	29.1
Received a housing subsidy	5.2	5.6	7.4	15.2
Not enough money for bills	20.5	30.0	30.6	34.8
Full year health insurance	53.3	63.8	47.5	56.1
Symbolic resources				
Occupational prestige score	39.6	41.6	33.1	30.9
Parental education				
Less than high school	14.7	25.9	45.3	24.5
High school graduate	31.9	13.7	26.8	34.8
Some college	33.5	21.4	17.8	27.8
College graduate	13.5	31.8	7.2	8.6
Postgraduate studies	6.4	7.2	2.9	4.4
Emotional resources				
Death in family	8.9	25.6	12.5	12.1
Father incarcerated	25.4	7.6	32.7	26.2
Family sternness scale	19.4	18.8	20.4	17.1
Family mentor named	26.1	18.3	24.0	33.8
Number of cases	5,039	629	1,482	1,894

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).


Note: Household income in thousands of dollars. Occupational score numeric. Sternness as indicated. All other numbers are percentages.

Figure 3.1 Neighborhood Disadvantage




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 3.2 Neighborhood Inefficacy




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 3.3 Scarcity of Single Males in Neighborhoods




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 3.4 Neighborhood Mentorship




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 3.5 School Disadvantage




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 3.6 School Inefficacy




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 3.7 School Disorder




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 3.8 School Mentorship




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 3.9 Peer Violence




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 3.10 **Friend's Suicide**




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 3.11 Peer Mentorship




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 3.12 **Religiosity**




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 3.13 Religious Involvement




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 3.14 Religious Mentorship




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 3.15 Cumulative Disadvantage



Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 3.16 **Interactive Disadvantage**



Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).


Table 3.1 Relative Disadvantage of Race-Class Groups in Different Spheres of Social Influence

	Whites				Asians	
	WLO	WLM	WUM	WUP	ALO	ALM
Family setting						
Household income	4	3	2	1	4	3
Health insurance	4	3	2	1	3	2
Parental prestige	4	2	1	1	4	3
Parent college graduate	3	3	2	1	4	3
Father absent	4	3	1	2	3	1
Family mortality	3	2	1	1	4	4
Family sternness	3	3	2	2	4	4
Father incarcerated	4	3	2	1	1	1
Family mentor	3	3	2	2	4	4
Neighborhood setting						
Disadvantage index	2	2	1	1	3	3
Inefficacy index	1	1	1	2	3	4
Scarcity of males	1	1	1	3	3	2
Neighborhood mentor	3	2	1	2	3	4
School setting						
Disadvantage index	1	1	1	1	4	4
Inefficacy index	4	3	1	2	1	1
Disorder index	1	1	1	1	4	4
School mentor	3	2	2	1	1	3
Peer setting						
Violence index	3	2	1	1	1	2
Friend attempted suicide	3	3	3	4	2	1
Peer mentor	1	1	1	2	3	2
Religious setting						
Religiosity scale	4	4	3	3	4	2
Involvement index	4	3	3	2	4	1
Had religious mentor	4	2	2	1	4	1

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).


Note: Higher number indicates greater disadvantage

Figure 4.1 First Intercourse




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 4.2 Age at First Intercourse




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 4.3 Sex Without Contraception




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 4.4 Ever Cohabiting




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 4.5 Ever Married




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 4.6 Unwed Parents



Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 4.7 Parents Before Age Eighteen



Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Table 4.1 Likelihood of Female Intercourse

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	0.957***	0.248	-0.014	0.706
White lower middle	0.334+	0.174	-0.548	0.491
White upper middle	0.131	0.185	-0.209	0.304
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	0.786	0.644	0.286	1.101
Asian lower middle	-0.474	0.409	-0.492	0.602
Asian upper middle	-0.767+	0.450	-0.624	0.662
Asian upper	-0.626	0.411	-0.318	0.557
Hispanic lower	-0.109	0.187	-1.142	0.758
Hispanic lower middle	0.141	0.374	-0.402	0.555
Hispanic upper middle	-0.317	0.376	-0.878	0.398
Hispanic upper	1.596**	0.538	1.412*	0.597
Black lower	1.039**	0.324	-0.414	0.771
Black lower middle	1.292***	0.361	0.200	0.596
Black upper middle	0.842**	0.316	-0.142	0.421
Black upper	0.427	0.383	-0.416	0.456
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	0.225***	0.049
Parent foreign born	—	—	-0.387*	0.193
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	0.623+	0.346
One biological parent	—	—	0.181	0.170
No biological parent	—	—	-0.913	0.976
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	-0.007	0.013
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	0.132	0.258
Coverage a full year	—	—	-0.055	0.226
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	0.001	0.004
Parent went to college	—	—	-0.197	0.302
Family emotional resources				
Experienced a death	—	—	-0.150	0.327
Family sternness	—	—	-0.009+	0.005

Table 4.1 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Father incarcerated	—	—	0.268	0.233
Had family mentor	—	—	0.009	0.184
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.292	0.183
Inefficacy index	—	—	-0.044	0.026
Scarcity of males	—	—	-0.177	0.140
Had neighborhood mentor	—	—	-0.109	0.324
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.011	0.011
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.034*	0.014
Disorder index	—	—	-0.048*	0.024
School mentor	—	—	-0.456+	0.221
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	-0.071***	0.021
Involvement index	—	—	0.002	0.025
Had religious mentor	—	—	-0.980	0.366
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	0.111+	0.064
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	-0.017	0.245
Had peer mentor	—	—	-0.376+	0.224
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	0.041	0.045
Intercept	1.571***	0.130	-1.362	2.047
N	4,718		3,738	
F-test	4.72***		4.55***	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 4.2 Age of First Female Intercourse

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	-0.691***	0.152	-0.036	0.509
White lower middle	-0.599***	0.155	-0.186	0.367
White upper middle	-0.269	0.177	-0.108	0.237
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	1.009*	0.500	1.123	0.746
Asian lower middle	1.677*	0.563	1.225*	0.600
Asian upper middle	-0.339	0.830	-0.061	0.858
Asian upper	0.578+	0.336	0.156	0.445
Hispanic lower	0.267	0.456	0.594	0.548
Hispanic lower middle	0.006	0.422	0.103	0.489
Hispanic upper middle	-0.091	0.374	0.192	0.402
Hispanic upper	0.019	0.435	0.616	0.531
Black lower	-0.637***	0.175	0.049	0.539
Black lower middle	-0.713***	0.209	-0.096	0.424
Black upper middle	-0.243	0.293	-0.117	0.341
Black upper	-0.461	0.313	0.103	0.320
Ascribed characteristics				
Age at wave 3	—	—	0.239***	0.029
Parent foreign born	—	—	0.438**	0.144
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	-0.473**	0.169
One biological parent	—	—	-0.214+	0.118
No biological parent	—	—	0.823*	0.407
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	-0.003	0.008
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	0.092	0.153
Coverage a full year	—	—	0.191	0.145
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	-0.006+	0.003
Parent went to college	—	—	-0.023	0.164
Family emotional resources				
Experienced a death	—	—	0.337	0.195
Family sternness	—	—	0.011***	0.003

Table 4.2 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Father incarcerated	—	—	-0.222+	0.135
Had family mentor	—	—	0.184	0.135
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.108	0.103
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.037+	0.020
Scarcity of males	—	—	0.192*	0.079
Neighborhood mentor	—	—	-0.089	0.167
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.006	0.008
Inefficacy index	—	—	-0.015+	0.009
Disorder index	—	—	-0.004	0.023
School mentor	—	—	0.161	0.136
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	0.021+	0.012
Involvement index	—	—	0.071***	0.018
Had religious mentor	—	—	-0.476	0.359
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	-0.138***	0.033
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	0.001	0.136
Had peer mentor	—	—	-0.036	0.129
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	-0.079**	0.026
Intercept	16.608***	0.120	12.594***	1.155
N	4,072		3,204	
F-test	4.59*		11.16***	
R ²	0.031		0.209	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 4.3 Likelihood of Not Using Contraception During First Female Intercourse

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	0.467**	0.164	-0.669	0.527
White lower middle	0.285+	0.163	-0.578	0.373
White upper middle	0.186	0.155	-0.280	0.263
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	0.095	0.523	-0.868	0.813
Asian lower middle	0.335	0.429	-0.128	0.592
Asian upper middle	0.193	0.605	-0.467	0.472
Asian upper	0.303	0.307	0.126	0.451
Hispanic lower	0.378	0.259	-0.812	0.636
Hispanic lower middle	0.566*	0.248	-0.254	0.452
Hispanic upper middle	0.335	0.312	-0.481	0.414
Hispanic upper	0.383	0.314	0.192	0.412
Black lower	0.046	0.179	-1.060**	0.557
Black lower middle	-0.068	0.232	-1.297**	0.434
Black upper middle	0.055	0.231	-0.679+	0.382
Black upper	-0.190	0.309	-0.575	0.403
Ascribed characteristics				
Age at wave 3	—	—	0.042	0.038
Parent foreign born	—	—	-0.150	0.211
Mediating conditions				
Age at first intercourse	—	—	-0.157***	0.024
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	-0.276	0.174
One biological parent	—	—	-0.005	0.143
No biological parent	—	—	-0.941+	0.499
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	-0.014	0.009
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	-0.119	0.145
Coverage full year	—	—	-0.247+	0.138
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	-0.002	0.003
Parent went to college	—	—	0.136	0.163

Table 4.3 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Family emotional resources				
Experienced a death	—	—	-0.246	0.246
Family sternness	—	—	-0.008*	0.003
Father incarcerated	—	—	-0.119	0.156
Had family mentor	—	—	-0.025	0.134
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.091	0.121
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.001	0.019
Scarcity of males	—	—	-0.127	0.180
Had neighborhood mentor	—	—	0.140	0.237
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.021**	0.007
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.006	0.010
Disorder index	—	—	-0.013	0.022
Had school mentor	—	—	-0.232	0.154
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	0.006	0.016
Involvement index	—	—	-0.009	0.018
Had religious mentor	—	—	0.403	0.366
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	0.043	0.027
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	0.232	0.216
Had peer mentor	—	—	0.134	0.162
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	0.024	0.024
Intercept	-0.797***	0.119	1.238	1.219
N	4,034		3,167	
F-test	1.51		3.90***	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 4.4 Likelihood of Female Cohabitation and Marriage

Independent Variables	Marriage		Cohabitation	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	0.498***	0.125	0.584***	0.083
White lower middle	0.403***	0.097	0.353***	0.080
White upper middle	0.224**	0.083	0.108	0.074
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	0.316	0.386	-0.294	0.406
Asian lower middle	0.032	0.234	-0.471	0.289
Asian upper middle	0.147	0.307	-0.253	0.218
Asian upper	0.132	0.214	-0.600**	0.202
Hispanic lower	0.506**	0.169	0.081	0.185
Hispanic lower middle	0.382**	0.139	0.053	0.187
Hispanic upper middle	0.192	0.206	0.067	0.180
Hispanic upper	-0.216	0.233	0.066	0.220
Black lower	-0.141	0.130	0.216*	0.103
Black lower middle	-0.029	0.148	0.154	0.129
Black upper middle	-0.149	0.211	-0.318*	0.133
Black upper	-0.560**	0.205	-0.122	0.165
Intercept	-1.001***	0.073	-0.335***	0.062
Rho	0.160***	0.037		
N	4,755			
F-test	6.32***			

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 4.5 Likelihood of Female Cohabitation and Marriage

Independent Variables	Marriage		Cohabitation	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	-0.399	0.315	-0.257	0.313
White lower middle	-0.181	0.215	-0.137	0.223
White upper middle	-0.079	0.158	-0.154	0.165
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-0.619	0.474	-1.056+	0.584
Asian lower middle	-0.587	0.423	-0.631+	0.349
Asian upper middle	0.108	0.398	-0.330	0.286
Asian upper	0.310	0.401	-0.700*	0.334
Hispanic lower	-0.558	0.366	-0.630	0.416
Hispanic lower middle	-0.269	0.291	-0.507	0.314
Hispanic upper middle	-0.345	0.289	-0.417	0.308
Hispanic upper	-0.367	0.347	-0.304	0.256
Black lower	-1.554***	0.374	-0.636+	0.383
Black lower middle	-1.007***	0.310	-0.493+	0.284
Black upper middle	-0.985***	0.252	-0.599**	0.238
Black upper	-1.274***	0.256	-0.212	0.234
Ascribed characteristics				
Age at wave 3	0.234***	0.023	0.114***	0.022
Parent foreign born	0.055	0.172	-0.089	0.121
Mediating conditions				
Had first intercourse	1.419***	0.210	1.413***	0.129
Ecological background				
Family configuration				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	0.075	0.130	0.251*	0.106
One biological parent	-0.200*	0.089	0.182*	0.090
No biological parent	-0.243	0.310	0.217	0.300
Family material resources				
Household income	-0.011*	0.005	-0.006	0.005
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	0.041	0.114	0.115	0.118
Coverage full year	-0.002	0.103	-0.213*	0.088
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	-0.002	0.002	-0.001	0.002
Parent went to college	0.007	0.128	-0.178+	0.099
Family emotional resources				
Experienced a death	0.031	0.157	0.049	0.138

Table 4.5 (continued)

Independent Variables	Marriage		Cohabitation	
	B	SE	B	SE
Family sternness	-0.002	0.002	-0.005*	0.001
Father incarcerated	0.079	0.088	0.279***	0.073
Had family mentor	0.080	0.088	-0.139+	0.084
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	0.158*	0.079	-0.097	0.073
Inefficacy index	0.002	0.014	-0.015	0.012
Scarcity of males	-0.051	0.153	-0.026	0.083
Had family mentor	0.170	0.165	-0.008	0.146
School setting				
Disadvantage index	-0.007	0.007	0.003	0.005
Inefficacy index	0.005	0.008	0.013*	0.006
Disorder index	0.013	0.016	0.003	0.014
Had school mentor	-0.272*	0.137	-0.122	0.094
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	0.028***	0.009	-0.014+	0.008
Involvement index	0.014	0.013	-0.023*	0.011
Had religious mentor	0.432*	0.180	-0.398*	0.190
Peer setting				
Violence index	-0.010	0.019	0.068***	0.020
Friend attempted suicide	-0.181	0.134	0.086	0.116
Had peer mentor	0.118	0.115	0.061	0.107
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	0.034+	0.019	0.018	0.016
Intercept	-7.829***	0.935	-3.344***	0.899
Rho	-0.004	0.043		
N	3,733			
F-test	29.64***			

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 4.6 Likelihood of Motherhood Within and Outside of Marriage

Independent Variables	Nonmarital Motherhood		Marital Motherhood	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	1.562***	0.218	1.229***	0.277
White lower middle	0.846**	0.274	0.938***	0.254
White upper middle	0.570*	0.258	0.520	0.230
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-2.534***	1.004	-0.103	0.965
Asian lower middle	-0.031	0.555	0.767	0.482
Asian upper middle	-0.926	0.807	-2.347**	0.919
Asian upper	-1.131	0.914	0.730	0.534
Hispanic lower	1.250***	0.371	1.227***	0.308
Hispanic lower middle	1.616***	0.401	1.381***	0.335
Hispanic upper middle	1.243**	0.392	0.766+	0.465
Hispanic upper	1.111*	0.508	-1.926**	0.773
Black lower	2.112***	0.271	0.614*	0.303
Black lower middle	1.974***	0.342	0.458	0.402
Black upper middle	1.314***	0.221	0.676	0.472
Black upper	1.379***	0.313	-0.325	0.573
Intercept	-2.471***	0.097	-2.517***	0.219
N	4,767			
F-test	8.62***			

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 4.7 Likelihood of Marital and Nonmarital Motherhood

Independent Variables	Nonmarital Motherhood		Marital Motherhood	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	1.848**	0.636	1.222	0.865
White lower middle	0.972*	0.495	1.083+	0.599
White upper middle	0.812*	0.378	0.644	0.401
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-1.637	1.174	0.464	1.381
Asian lower middle	1.422+	0.802	1.504	1.045
Asian upper middle	0.178	0.965	-1.942+	1.125
Asian upper	-1.469*	0.604	1.574+	0.833
Hispanic lower	2.302**	0.834	1.195	0.963
Hispanic lower middle	2.209***	0.548	1.618*	0.744
Hispanic upper middle	1.475**	0.547	0.349	0.718
Hispanic upper	0.430	0.621	-3.192***	0.994
Black lower	2.157**	0.702	0.114	0.871
Black lower middle	2.149***	0.585	0.152	0.764
Black upper middle	0.967*	0.460	-0.281	0.543
Black upper	1.465**	0.521	-1.955**	0.710
Ascribed characteristics				
Age at wave 3	0.161***	0.051	0.402***	0.054
Parent foreign born	-1.023***	0.312	-0.435	0.367
Mediating conditions				
Had first intercourse	4.679***	0.955	2.339***	0.565
Used no contraception	1.017***	0.138	0.778***	0.139
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	0.137	0.273	0.008	0.273
One biological parent	-0.177	0.179	-0.554***	0.172
No biological parent	-0.768	0.801	-0.807	0.840
Family material resources				
Household income	0.027**	0.010	0.019	0.015
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	0.361	0.227	0.422	0.262
Coverage full year	0.155	0.227	0.342	0.242
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	-0.003	0.004	0.007	0.005
Parent went to college	0.467+	0.273	0.863**	0.277

Table 4.7 (continued)

Independent Variables	Nonmarital Motherhood		Marital Motherhood	
	B	SE	B	SE
Family emotional resources				
Experienced a death	-1.486***	0.262	-1.269***	0.382
Family sternness	-0.011*	0.004	-0.014***	0.004
Father incarcerated	0.155	0.172	0.230	0.226
Had family mentor	-0.194	0.171	0.107	0.207
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	0.022	0.168	0.274*	0.134
Inefficacy index	-0.085**	0.030	-0.083***	0.025
Scarcity of males	-0.523+	0.312	-1.467***	0.401
Had neighborhood mentor	-0.418	0.277	-0.088	0.359
School setting				
Disadvantage index	-0.010	0.009	-0.011	0.011
Inefficacy index	-0.031**	.012	-0.018	0.014
Disorder index	0.017	0.036	0.030	0.030
Had school mentor	-0.557*	0.223	-0.495+	0.279
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	0.005	0.020	0.047**	0.016
Involvement index	0.000	0.028	-0.019	0.024
Had religious mentor	-0.909+	0.511	0.489	0.452
Peer setting				
Violence index	-0.016	0.031	-0.034	0.046
Friend attempted suicide	-0.378	0.244	-0.434	0.327
Had peer mentor	-0.177	0.204	0.054	0.238
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	0.235***	0.036	0.248***	0.040
Intercept	-17.880***	2.199	-21.285***	2.378
N	3,680			
F-test	27.08***			

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 4.8 Likelihood of Motherhood Before Age Eighteen

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	1.102***	0.299	1.129	0.911
White lower middle	0.745*	0.381	0.593	0.730
White upper middle	0.049	0.354	-0.188	0.540
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-3.208**	1.110	-2.937+	1.589
Asian lower middle	-0.545	0.922	-0.018	1.049
Asian upper middle	-2.291***	0.592	-2.652*	1.058
Asian upper	-3.023***	0.728	-2.527***	0.718
Hispanic lower	1.009*	0.436	1.217	0.951
Hispanic lower middle	1.377*	0.542	1.357+	0.776
Hispanic upper middle	0.638	0.508	-0.022	0.834
Hispanic upper	0.897+	0.536	-0.434	0.934
Black lower	1.404***	0.179	1.735+	0.910
Black lower middle	1.129**	0.382	1.326*	0.653
Black upper middle	1.364**	0.428	1.233*	0.599
Black upper	1.171*	0.572	1.050	0.697
Ascribed characteristics				
Age at wave 3	—	—	-0.129*	0.065
Parent foreign born	—	—	-0.081	0.389
Mediating conditions				
Had first intercourse	—	—	6.351***	1.022
Used no contraception	—	—	1.006***	0.161
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	-0.200	0.404
One biological parent	—	—	-0.180	0.249
No biological parent	—	—	-2.434***	0.737
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	0.021	0.015
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	-0.040	0.307
Coverage a full year	—	—	0.008	0.289
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	0.000	0.005
Parent went to college	—	—	0.507	0.321

Table 4.8 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Family emotional resources				
Experienced a death	—	—	-0.835*	0.387
Family sternness	—	—	-0.010+	0.005
Father incarcerated	—	—	-0.021	0.254
Had family mentor	—	—	-0.464*	0.233
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.041	0.161
Inefficacy index	—	—	-0.053*	0.027
Scarcity of males	—	—	-0.622	0.461
Had neighborhood mentor	—	—	-0.161	0.366
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.001	0.013
Inefficacy index	—	—	-0.018	0.016
Disorder index	—	—	-0.022	0.035
Had school mentor	—	—	-0.161	0.253
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	-0.015	0.022
Involvement index	—	—	0.005	0.030
Had religious mentor	—	—	-2.857**	0.932
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	0.072+	0.041
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	-0.145	0.306
Had peer mentor	—	—	-0.109	0.214
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	0.139***	0.042
Intercept	-3.022***	0.289	-10.324***	2.685
N	4,763		3,678	
F-test	8.76***		8.46***	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 4.9 Predicting Female Reproductive Outcomes

	Sexual Debut	Age at Debut	No Contraception	Marriage	Cohabitation	Nonmarital Fertility	Marital Fertility	Teenage Fertility
Family composition								
Biological and stepparent	+	---			++			
One biological parent		-		--	++		----	
No biological parent		++	-					----
Family material resources								
Household income				--		+++		
Health insurance less than a year								
Health insurance a year or more			-		--			
Family symbolic resources								
Parental prestige		-						
Parent college graduate					-	+	+++	
Family emotional resources								
Family mortality						----	----	--
Family sternness	-	++++	--		--	--	----	-
Father incarcerated		-			++++			
Family mentor					-			--

Neighborhood setting						
Disadvantage index				++		++
Inefficacy index		+			---	---
Scarcity of males		++			-	---
Neighborhood mentor						
School setting						
Disadvantage index			+++			
Inefficacy index	++	-			++	---
Disorder index	--					
School mentor	-			--		--
Religious setting						
Religiosity scale	----	+		++++	-	+++
Involvement index		++++				
Had religious mentor				++	--	-
Peer setting						
Violence index	+	----			++++	+
Friend attempted suicide						
Peer mentor	-					
Multiple disadvantages						
Interactive index		---		-	++++	++++


Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

Table 4.10 Female Reproductive Outcomes

	Sexual Debut	Age at Debut	No Contraception	Marriage	Cohabitation	Non-marital Fertility	Marital Fertility	Teenage Fertility
WLO						+++		
WLM						++	+	
WUM						++		
ALO					-			-
ALM		++			-	-		
AUM							-	--
AUP					-	--	-	---
HLO						+++		
HLM						++++	+	+
HUM						+++		
HUP	++						----	
BLO			---	----	-	+++		+
BLM			---	----	-	+++		++
BUM			-	----	---	++		++
BUP				----		+++	---	


Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 5.1 Proportion Smoking Regularly by Race and Class




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 5.2 Proportion Abusing Alcohol by Race and Class




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 5.3 Proportion Using Hard Drugs by Race and Class




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 5.4 Proportion Eating Fast Food During Prior Week by Race and Class




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 5.5 Proportion Having Risky Sex by Race and Class




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 5.6 Proportion Reporting Limitation on Physical Activity by Race and Class




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 5.7 Body Mass Index by Race and Class




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 5.8 Proportion Ever Diagnosed with an STD by Race and Class




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 5.9 Index of Poor Health by Race and Class




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 5.10 Index of Depression by Race and Class



Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 5.11 Subjective Likelihood of an Early Death by Race and Class



Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Table 5.1 **Determinants of Regular Smoking**

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	0.387***	0.109	-0.595+	0.336
White lower middle	0.334***	0.100	-0.307	0.251
White upper middle	0.147	0.092	-0.125	0.154
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-0.644	0.468	-0.981+	0.599
Asian lower middle	-0.709+	0.394	-0.486	0.462
Asian upper middle	-0.435	0.350	-0.064	0.397
Asian upper	-0.671***	0.241	-0.215	0.267
Hispanic lower	-0.562***	0.155	-1.425***	0.398
Hispanic lower middle	-0.546*	0.215	-0.990**	0.344
Hispanic upper middle	-0.281	0.233	-0.521	0.306
Hispanic upper	-0.290	0.293	-0.384	0.326
Black lower	-0.933***	0.170	-1.826***	0.401
Black lower middle	-0.644**	0.239	-1.296***	0.379
Black upper middle	-1.435***	0.221	-1.880***	0.287
Black upper	-0.816**	0.281	-1.056***	0.305
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	-0.029	0.024
Parent foreign born	—	—	-0.352**	0.126
Mediating conditions				
Family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	-0.269	0.229
Married male—father	—	—	0.515**	0.175
Unmarried male—father	—	—	0.462*	0.205
Unmarried female—no birth	—	—	-0.005	0.088
Married female—no birth	—	—	-0.033	0.160
Married female—mother	—	—	0.195	0.141
Unmarried female—birth over eighteen	—	—	0.437**	0.163
Unmarried female—birth eighteen or younger	—	—	0.222	0.253
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	0.252*	0.126
One biological parent	—	—	0.027	0.110
No biological parent	—	—	0.178	0.373
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	-0.006	0.006

Table 5.1 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	-0.030	0.116
Coverage full year	—	—	-0.482***	0.112
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	0.001	0.002
Parent went to college	—	—	0.040	0.138
Family emotional resources				
Death in family	—	—	-0.095	0.154
Family sternness	—	—	-0.011***	0.003
Father incarcerated	—	—	0.360***	0.100
Had family mentor	—	—	0.131	0.114
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.052	0.088
Inefficacy index	—	—	-0.054***	0.014
Scarcity of males	—	—	-0.078	0.126
Had neighborhood mentor	—	—	0.121	0.149
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.013*	0.006
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.019**	0.007
Disorder index	—	—	-0.001	0.017
Had school mentor	—	—	-0.087	0.105
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	-0.011	0.008
Involvement index	—	—	-0.029**	0.011
Had religious mentor	—	—	-0.911***	0.011
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	0.107***	0.019
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	0.441**	0.150
Had peer mentor	—	—	0.239*	0.110
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	0.029	0.021
Intercept	-0.230**	0.074	1.475	0.984
N	8,981		7,169	
F-test	11.42***		9.10***	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 5.2 **Determinants of Alcohol Abuse**

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	-1.024***	0.122	-0.286	0.406
White lower middle	-0.578***	0.105	-0.065	0.297
White upper middle	-0.509***	0.111	-0.160	0.208
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-1.746***	0.476	-1.076+	0.644
Asian lower middle	-1.441***	0.296	-0.239	0.463
Asian upper middle	-1.167***	0.289	-0.752+	0.421
Asian upper	-1.131***	0.227	-1.018***	0.254
Hispanic lower	-1.294***	0.141	-0.103	0.476
Hispanic lower middle	-1.199***	0.151	-0.416	0.378
Hispanic upper middle	-0.790***	0.188	-0.367	0.268
Hispanic upper	-0.727**	0.232	-0.455+	0.252
Black lower	-2.034***	0.165	-0.769+	0.437
Black lower middle	-1.376***	0.152	-0.570+	0.341
Black upper middle	-1.749***	0.187	-1.041***	0.260
Black upper	-1.418***	0.175	-1.167***	0.248
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	0.050*	0.022
Parent foreign born	—	—	-0.013	0.143
Mediating conditions				
Family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	-0.934***	0.238
Married male—father	—	—	-0.628**	0.214
Unmarried male—father	—	—	-0.379*	0.192
Unmarried female—no birth	—	—	-0.212**	0.083
Married female—no birth	—	—	-1.294***	0.164
Married female—mother	—	—	-1.601***	0.159
Unmarried female—birth over eighteen	—	—	-0.988***	0.150
Unmarried female—birth eighteen or younger	—	—	-0.769*	0.312
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	0.026	0.131
One biological parent	—	—	0.018	0.096
No biological parent	—	—	-0.024	0.293
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	0.010	0.007

Table 5.2 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	0.191	0.132
Coverage full year	—	—	0.171	0.119
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	0.002	0.002
Parent went to college	—	—	0.106	0.110
Family emotional resources				
Death in family	—	—	-0.039	0.175
Family sternness	—	—	-0.011***	0.003
Father incarcerated	—	—	0.360***	0.118
Had family mentor	—	—	0.507***	0.105
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.265***	0.080
Inefficacy index	—	—	-0.014	0.013
Scarcity of males	—	—	0.322+	0.185
Had neighborhood mentor	—	—	0.118	0.144
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.017*	0.007
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.011	0.008
Disorder index	—	—	-0.029	0.021
Had school mentor	—	—	0.680***	0.125
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	0.000	0.009
Involvement index	—	—	-0.040***	0.013
Had religious mentor	—	—	-0.709***	0.224
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	0.018	0.017
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	0.518***	0.157
Had peer mentor	—	—	0.318**	0.119
Multiple disadvantage				
Log of interactive index	—	—	-0.004	0.020
Intercept	0.894***	0.087	0.218	1.050
N	8,949		7,147	
F-test	16.00***		18.82***	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

Note: Abuse is defined as being drunk more than once a month for the past twelve months.

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 5.3 **Determinants of Hard Drug Use**

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	-0.375***	0.136	-0.292	0.488
White lower middle	-0.218+	0.129	-0.197	0.317
White upper middle	-0.298*	0.126	-0.176	0.213
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-1.068*	0.540	-0.921	0.846
Asian lower middle	-0.796+	0.484	-0.086	0.663
Asian upper middle	-0.474	0.373	-0.086	0.502
Asian upper	-0.795*	0.348	-0.779+	0.440
Hispanic lower	-0.507**	0.174	0.018	0.517
Hispanic lower middle	-0.546*	0.227	-0.168	0.460
Hispanic upper middle	-0.479+	0.246	-0.439	0.347
Hispanic upper	-0.162	0.301	-0.069	0.363
Black lower	-2.049***	0.259	-1.464***	0.459
Black lower middle	-1.174***	0.268	-0.794+	0.431
Black upper middle	-2.288***	0.479	-2.071***	0.588
Black upper	-1.770***	0.339	-1.322***	0.379
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	-0.088**	0.032
Parent foreign born	—	—	-0.079	0.173
Mediating conditions				
Family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	-0.764**	0.278
Married male—father	—	—	-0.306	0.226
Unmarried male—father	—	—	-0.372	0.245
Unmarried female—no birth	—	—	-0.193+	0.106
Married female—no birth	—	—	-0.903***	0.234
Married female—mother	—	—	-1.117***	0.217
Unmarried female—birth over eighteen	—	—	-0.480*	0.235
Unmarried female—birth eighteen or younger	—	—	-0.771*	0.328
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	0.063	0.185
One biological parent	—	—	0.240*	0.117
No biological parent	—	—	0.249	0.426
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	0.002	0.007

Table 5.3 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	0.005	0.168
Coverage full year	—	—	-0.439***	0.128
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	0.009***	0.003
Parent went to college	—	—	0.172	0.135
Family emotional resources				
Death in family	—	—	0.068	0.187
Family sternness	—	—	-0.019***	0.003
Father incarcerated	—	—	0.393***	0.128
Had family mentor	—	—	0.005	0.127
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.377***	0.116
Inefficacy index	—	—	-0.001	0.016
Scarcity of males	—	—	0.213	0.162
Had neighborhood mentor	—	—	-0.276	0.185
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.009	0.008
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.012+	0.007
Disorder index	—	—	-0.007	0.017
Had school mentor	—	—	0.121	0.136
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	-0.160	0.011
Involvement index	—	—	-0.014	0.016
Had religious mentor	—	—	-0.201	0.259
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	0.123***	0.019
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	0.324***	0.135
Had peer mentor	—	—	-0.160	0.129
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	-0.003	0.021
Intercept	0.941***	0.088	2.320+	1.359
N	8,997		7,174	
F-test	7.37***		12.67***	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

Note: Hard drugs include cocaine, crystal meth, and other illegal drugs but do not include marijuana.

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 5.4 **Determinants of Eating Fast Food**

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	0.581***	0.144	0.609	0.391
White lower middle	0.408***	0.112	0.493+	0.295
White upper middle	0.197	0.121	0.152	0.215
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	0.129	0.418	-0.211	0.662
Asian lower middle	0.272	0.390	0.574	0.551
Asian upper middle	-0.245	0.360	-0.351	0.439
Asian upper	-0.117	0.266	-0.432	0.346
Hispanic lower	0.734***	0.221	0.624	0.520
Hispanic lower middle	0.876***	0.217	0.675+	0.385
Hispanic upper middle	0.383	0.276	0.056	0.375
Hispanic upper	0.103	0.295	-0.048	0.303
Black lower	0.568***	0.164	0.615	0.484
Black lower middle	0.636**	0.210	0.742+	0.372
Black upper middle	0.790**	0.260	0.721*	0.348
Black upper	0.711+	0.416	0.535	0.434
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	-0.088**	0.032
Parent foreign born	—	—	0.123	0.153
Mediating conditions				
Family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	-0.250	0.255
Married male—father	—	—	0.703**	0.278
Unmarried male—father	—	—	0.356	0.304
Unmarried female—no birth	—	—	-0.302***	0.088
Married female—no birth	—	—	-0.395+	0.226
Married female—mother	—	—	-0.153	0.232
Unmarried female—birth over eighteen	—	—	-0.291	0.284
Unmarried female—birth eighteen or younger	—	—	-0.303	0.256
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	-0.096	0.155
One biological parent	—	—	-0.127	0.121
No biological parent	—	—	-0.382	0.418
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	0.008	0.007

Table 5.4 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	0.011	0.146
Coverage full year	—	—	-0.135	0.122
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	0.002	0.003
Parent went to college	—	—	-0.089	0.136
Family emotional resources				
Death in family	—	—	-0.226	0.194
Family sternness	—	—	0.001	0.003
Father incarcerated	—	—	0.305*	0.145
Had family mentor	—	—	0.247*	0.120
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.146	0.140
Inefficacy index	—	—	-0.029+	0.017
Scarcity of males	—	—	-0.421**	0.142
Had neighborhood mentor	—	—	-0.051	0.177
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.011	0.011
Inefficacy index	—	—	-0.003	0.008
Disorder index	—	—	0.022	0.022
Had school mentor	—	—	0.091	0.126
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	0.004	0.012
Involvement index	—	—	0.014	0.016
Had religious mentor	—	—	0.041	0.220
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	-0.015	0.024
Suicide of friend	—	—	0.007	0.135
Had peer mentor	—	—	0.212	0.151
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	0.048*	0.023
Intercept	1.208**	0.088	1.144	1.195
N	8,994		7,173	
F-test	3.61***		4.25***	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 5.5 **Determinants of Having Risky Sex**

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	0.577***	0.255	0.445	0.791
White lower middle	0.608**	0.236	0.585	0.535
White upper middle	0.399	0.112	0.546	0.418
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-3.228***	0.985	-2.913*	1.257
Asian lower middle	1.183*	0.584	1.267	0.917
Asian upper middle	-0.953	0.937	-1.278	1.019
Asian upper	0.388	0.524	0.320	0.615
Hispanic lower	0.659+	0.363	0.177	0.803
Hispanic lower middle	0.930**	0.339	0.579	0.544
Hispanic upper middle	0.876*	0.418	0.293	0.476
Hispanic upper	0.415	0.499	0.044	0.483
Black lower	1.608***	0.219	1.419+	0.799
Black lower middle	1.265***	0.350	1.302+	0.679
Black upper middle	1.463***	0.284	1.181*	0.472
Black upper	1.043*	0.410	0.698	0.498
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	0.116**	0.043
Parent foreign born	—	—	0.579*	0.276
Mediating conditions				
Family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	-0.239	0.542
Married male—father	—	—	0.118	0.324
Unmarried male—father	—	—	0.090	0.281
Unmarried female—no birth	—	—	-0.376*	0.193
Married female—no birth	—	—	-1.276*	0.597
Married female—mother	—	—	-0.293	0.314
Unmarried female—birth over eighteen	—	—	-0.621+	0.337
Unmarried female—birth eighteen or younger	—	—	0.334	0.295
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	0.121	0.234
One biological parent	—	—	0.419+	0.216
No biological parent	—	—	0.197	0.592
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	0.012	0.012

Table 5.5 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	-0.104	0.237
Coverage full year	—	—	-0.303	0.239
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	0.003	0.004
Parent went to college	—	—	-0.051	0.254
Family emotional resources				
Death in family	—	—	-0.386	0.313
Family sternness	—	—	-0.011*	0.005
Father incarcerated	—	—	-0.152	0.193
Had family mentor	—	—	-0.140	0.223
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.128	0.135
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.016	0.026
Scarcity of males	—	—	-0.261	0.355
Had neighborhood mentor	—	—	0.293	0.270
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.005	0.012
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.015	0.014
Disorder index	—	—	-0.107***	0.032
Had school mentor	—	—	-0.347	0.289
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	-0.009	0.018
Involvement index	—	—	-0.025	0.029
Had religious mentor	—	—	-0.073	0.584
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	0.120***	0.022
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	0.458*	0.231
Had peer mentor	—	—	0.026	0.223
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	0.032	0.037
Intercept	-3.465***	0.186	-5.492***	1.487
N	8,969		7,164	
F-test	9.51***		6.58***	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

Note: Risky sex includes both paid intercourse and sex with a drug user.

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 5.6 Determinants of Limitation on Physical Activity

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	0.447***	0.101	-0.014	0.380
White lower middle	0.226*	0.123	0.001	0.280
White upper middle	0.193+	0.107	0.021	0.193
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	0.869*	0.366	1.084+	0.605
Asian lower middle	0.046	0.315	0.429	0.492
Asian upper middle	-0.296	0.252	-0.345	0.362
Asian upper	0.169	0.207	0.635*	0.319
Hispanic lower	0.200	0.165	0.185	0.476
Hispanic lower middle	-0.043	0.195	-0.102	0.360
Hispanic upper middle	0.214	0.263	-0.023	0.352
Hispanic upper	-0.148	0.369	-0.271	0.364
Black lower	0.101	0.168	-0.338	0.446
Black lower middle	-0.064	0.207	-0.349	0.410
Black upper middle	-0.152	0.225	-0.545	0.312
Black upper	-0.002	0.249	-0.104	0.272
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	-0.059*	0.030
Parent foreign born	—	—	-0.232	0.177
Mediating conditions				
Risky behaviors				
Smoked regularly	—	—	0.739*	0.302
Abused alcohol	—	—	-0.055	0.092
Used hard drugs	—	—	-0.056	0.099
Ate fast food	—	—	0.055	0.095
Sex without birth control	—	—	0.043	0.146
Sex with risky partner	—	—	0.355*	0.146
Family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	0.089	0.264
Married male—father	—	—	0.333	0.237
Unmarried male—father	—	—	-0.056	0.191
Unmarried female—no birth	—	—	0.459***	0.107
Married female—no birth	—	—	0.515**	0.186
Married female—mother	—	—	0.637***	0.177
Unmarried female—birth over eighteen	—	—	0.538***	0.170
Unmarried female—birth eighteen or younger	—	—	0.576*	0.235
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	0.016	0.153

Table 5.6 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
One biological parent	—	—	0.007	0.115
No biological parent	—	—	0.017	0.389
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	-0.003	0.007
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	0.057	0.113
Coverage full year	—	—	-0.292**	0.096
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	0.000	0.002
Parent went to college	—	—	0.096	0.114
Family emotional resources				
Experienced a death	—	—	-0.014	0.183
Family sternness	—	—	-0.006*	0.003
Father incarcerated	—	—	0.189	0.138
Had family mentor	—	—	0.375***	0.121
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.032	0.087
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.023+	0.014
Scarcity of males	—	—	-0.153	0.135
Had neighborhood mentor	—	—	0.220	0.157
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.002	0.006
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.011	0.007
Disorder index	—	—	-0.014	0.016
Had school mentor	—	—	0.198	0.146
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	0.011	0.010
Involvement index	—	—	-0.006	0.015
Had religious mentor	—	—	0.452+	0.250
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	0.013	0.016
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	0.475***	0.131
Had peer mentor	—	—	0.240*	0.117
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	-0.002	0.019
Intercept	-1.215***	0.082	0.179	1.134
N	8,831		6,844	
F-test	1.74*		5.25***	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 5.7 **Determinants of Body Mass Index**

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	1.246**	0.397	-0.094	1.037
White lower middle	1.146***	0.199	0.434	0.842
White upper middle	0.101	0.326	-0.375	0.465
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-1.969*	0.937	-3.364*	1.350
Asian lower middle	0.076	0.883	0.507	1.272
Asian upper middle	0.211	1.019	0.465	1.029
Asian upper	-1.694*	0.649	-1.034	0.732
Hispanic lower	1.253**	0.424	0.432	1.070
Hispanic lower middle	2.493***	0.549	1.611+	0.977
Hispanic upper middle	0.810	0.567	0.640	0.770
Hispanic upper	1.446*	0.736	1.655+	0.594
Black lower	1.623***	0.443	-0.879	1.212
Black lower middle	2.362***	0.671	0.230	1.192
Black upper middle	2.212***	0.679	0.801	0.852
Black upper	1.712	1.137	0.777	1.045
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	0.316***	0.074
Parent foreign born	—	—	-1.039**	0.385
Mediating conditions				
Risky behaviors				
Smoked regularly	—	—	-0.001	0.891
Abused alcohol	—	—	-0.385	0.259
Used hard drugs	—	—	-0.889***	0.262
Ate fast food	—	—	0.127	0.230
Sex without birth control	—	—	0.241	0.229
Sex with risky partner	—	—	0.415	0.424
Family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	-0.498	0.552
Married male—father	—	—	-0.498	0.557
Unmarried male—father	—	—	-1.582***	0.494
Unmarried female—no birth	—	—	-0.713**	0.246
Married female—no birth	—	—	0.118	0.604
Married female—mother	—	—	0.868+	0.458
Unmarried female—birth over eighteen	—	—	1.153+	0.599
Unmarried female—birth eighteen or younger	—	—	1.336	0.908

Table 5.7 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	-0.734+	0.417
One biological parent	—	—	-0.444	0.284
No biological parent	—	—	-0.702	1.031
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	-0.008	0.019
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	0.023	0.375
Coverage full year	—	—	-0.271	0.348
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	-0.008	0.006
Parent went to college	—	—	-0.451	0.425
Family emotional resources				
Experienced a death	—	—	0.173	0.502
Family sternness	—	—	0.002	0.007
Father incarcerated	—	—	0.874*	0.385
Had family mentor	—	—	0.314	0.300
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.693**	0.240
Inefficacy index	—	—	-0.008	0.041
Scarcity of males	—	—	0.225	0.384
Had neighborhood mentor	—	—	0.305	0.489
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.010	0.017
Inefficacy index	—	—	-0.004	0.019
Disorder index	—	—	0.117**	0.040
Had school mentor	—	—	0.945**	0.368
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	0.004	0.026
Involvement index	—	—	0.109	0.039
Had religious mentor	—	—	0.102	0.590
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	0.030	0.049
Suicide of friend	—	—	0.768*	0.391
Had peer mentor	—	—	0.480	0.307

Table 5.7 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	-0.011	0.059
Intercept	25.789***	0.251	19.565***	2.839
N	8,575		7,226	
F-test	4.64***		5.50***	
R ²	0.017		0.057	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 5.8 **Determinants of STD Diagnosis**

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	-0.094	0.189	-0.452	0.680
White lower middle	-0.320	0.199	-0.688	0.523
White upper middle	-0.040	0.175	-0.279	0.318
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-0.309	1.007	0.213	1.137
Asian lower middle	-2.031**	0.698	-1.601+	0.974
Asian upper middle	-0.089	0.544	0.482	0.696
Asian upper	-0.642	0.556	-0.227	0.638
Hispanic lower	0.147	0.245	0.125	0.822
Hispanic lower middle	0.206	0.276	0.023	0.629
Hispanic upper middle	-0.559	0.443	-0.443	0.611
Hispanic upper	-0.583	0.432	-0.997	0.633
Black lower	0.710***	0.191	0.286	0.763
Black lower middle	1.168***	0.229	0.881	0.548
Black upper middle	0.920***	0.240	0.846+	0.436
Black upper	1.237***	0.224	1.070***	0.307
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	0.034	0.039
Parent foreign born	—	—	-0.315	0.298
Mediating conditions				
Risky behaviors				
Smoked regularly	—	—	0.385	0.505
Abused alcohol	—	—	0.247	0.156
Used hard drugs	—	—	0.159	0.187
Ate fast food	—	—	0.016	0.152
Sex without birth control	—	—	0.432***	0.138
Sex with risky partner	—	—	0.985***	0.218
Family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	-0.386	0.569
Married male—father	—	—	-0.033	0.600
Unmarried male—father	—	—	0.436	0.330
Unmarried female—no birth	—	—	1.532***	0.187
Married female—no birth	—	—	1.290***	0.349
Married female—mother	—	—	1.121**	0.430
Unmarried female—birth over eighteen	—	—	1.732***	0.289
Unmarried female—birth eighteen or younger	—	—	1.456***	0.340

Table 5.8 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	0.018	0.236
One biological parent	—	—	0.189	0.170
No biological parent	—	—	0.224	0.495
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	-0.003	0.011
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	0.182	0.223
Coverage full year	—	—	0.298+	0.169
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	0.002	0.004
Parent went to college	—	—	0.225	0.188
Family emotional resources				
Experienced a death	—	—	0.091	0.210
Family sternness	—	—	-0.007+	0.004
Father incarcerated	—	—	0.071	0.164
Had family mentor	—	—	0.153	0.194
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.256*	0.117
Inefficacy index	—	—	-0.025	0.025
Scarcity of males	—	—	-0.056	0.149
Had neighborhood mentor	—	—	0.431+	0.257
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.003	0.010
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.022+	0.012
Disorder index	—	—	0.019	0.022
Had school mentor	—	—	0.082	0.203
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	-0.018	0.016
Involvement index	—	—	-0.015	0.020
Had religious mentor	—	—	-0.542	0.623
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	0.009	0.030
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	-0.074	0.239
Had peer mentor	—	—	0.061	0.218

Table 5.8 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Multiple disadvantages Log of interactive index	—	—	0.010	0.026
Intercept	-2.540***	0.129	-5.104***	1.475
N	8,947		6,954	
F-test	12.43***		7.89***	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 5.9 **Determinants of Poor Health**

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	0.192***	0.043	-0.043	0.113
White lower middle	0.134**	0.048	-0.018	0.079
White upper middle	0.051	0.034	-0.031	0.048
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	0.532*	0.216	0.412+	0.226
Asian lower middle	0.393**	0.142	0.569***	0.165
Asian upper middle	0.194*	0.087	0.288**	0.104
Asian upper	0.058	0.087	0.105	0.092
Hispanic lower	0.158*	0.065	0.048	0.134
Hispanic lower middle	0.120+	0.067	0.037	0.108
Hispanic upper middle	0.032	0.088	-0.074	0.096
Hispanic upper	0.139	0.116	0.086	0.074
Black lower	0.068	0.060	-0.063	0.126
Black lower middle	0.154*	0.070	0.040	0.108
Black upper middle	-0.049	0.065	-0.012	0.097
Black upper	0.123	0.104	0.058	0.106
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	-0.019*	0.008
Parent foreign born	—	—	-0.086	0.056
Mediating conditions				
Risky behaviors				
Smoked regularly	—	—	0.251+	0.142
Abused alcohol	—	—	-0.050	0.031
Used hard drugs	—	—	0.016	0.034
Ate fast food	—	—	-0.030	0.036
Sex without birth control	—	—	0.021	0.029
Sex with risky partner	—	—	0.009	0.073
Reported health problems				
Ever had STD	—	—	0.054	0.049
Body mass index	—	—	0.018***	0.003
Limitation on activity	—	—	0.482***	0.027
Family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	-0.186***	0.067
Married male—father	—	—	0.085	0.070
Unmarried male—father	—	—	0.056	0.071
Unmarried female—no birth	—	—	0.149***	0.032

Table 5.9 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Married female–no birth	—	—	0.113+	0.064
Married female–mother	—	—	–0.021	0.075
Unmarried female–birth over eighteen	—	—	0.060	0.057
Unmarried female–birth eighteen or younger	—	—	0.042	0.100
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	–0.043	0.058
One biological parent	—	—	–0.057	0.036
No biological parent	—	—	0.074	0.165
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	0.000	0.002
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	–0.124**	0.046
Coverage full year	—	—	–0.154***	0.037
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	–0.001	0.001
Parent went to college	—	—	0.092*	0.043
Family emotional resources				
Experienced a death	—	—	–0.080	0.056
Family sternness	—	—	–0.005***	0.001
Father incarcerated	—	—	0.039	0.043
Had family mentor	—	—	0.071*	0.034
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	–0.005	0.030
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.008	0.005
Scarcity of males	—	—	0.059*	0.029
Had neighborhood mentor	—	—	0.052	0.055
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	–0.002	0.002
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.011***	0.003
Disorder index	—	—	–0.009	0.005
Had school mentor	—	—	0.049	0.036
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	–0.002	0.003

Table 5.9 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Involvement index	—	—	-0.008*	0.004
Had religious mentor	—	—	0.053	0.063
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	-0.014*	0.007
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	0.138**	0.046
Had peer mentor	—	—	0.058	0.040
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	0.016*	0.006
Intercept	1.920***	0.029	1.675***	0.320
N	8,996		6,549	
F-test	3.55**		27.91***	
R ²	0.009***		0.202	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 5.10 **Determinants of Depression**

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	0.646**	0.227	0.326	0.604
White lower middle	0.329	0.221	0.175	0.459
White upper middle	0.240	0.208	0.192	0.330
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	1.861**	0.707	1.870+	0.992
Asian lower middle	0.698	0.562	0.784	0.779
Asian upper middle	0.707+	0.376	0.773	0.530
Asian upper	1.624**	0.555	1.113*	0.449
Hispanic lower	1.559***	0.332	0.738	0.820
Hispanic lower middle	0.621	0.387	0.197	0.574
Hispanic upper middle	2.234***	0.447	1.305+	0.713
Hispanic upper	-0.207	0.418	-0.516	0.448
Black lower	1.298***	0.307	0.914	0.720
Black lower middle	1.374***	0.377	0.764	0.610
Black upper middle	0.823+	0.427	0.595	0.529
Black upper	0.808*	0.372	0.736	0.384
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	-0.153***	0.040
Parent foreign born	—	—	0.126	0.227
Mediating conditions				
Risky behaviors				
Smoked regularly	—	—	-0.650	0.542
Abused alcohol	—	—	0.034	0.145
Used hard drugs	—	—	0.260+	0.156
Ate fast food	—	—	0.116	0.148
Sex without birth control	—	—	0.358*	0.163
Sex with risky partner	—	—	0.883**	0.333
Reported health problems				
Ever had STD	—	—	0.684*	0.289
Body mass index	—	—	-0.003	0.012
Limitation on activity	—	—	1.345***	0.172
Family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	-0.231	0.337
Married male—father	—	—	-0.393	0.375
Unmarried male—father	—	—	1.195***	0.367
Unmarried female—no birth	—	—	0.712***	0.142

Table 5.10 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Married female–no birth	—	—	0.580+	0.311
Married female–mother	—	—	0.810**	0.295
Unmarried female–birth over eighteen	—	—	1.169***	0.314
Unmarried female–birth eighteen or younger	—	—	1.378**	0.493
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	-0.464+	0.259
One biological parent	—	—	-0.280	0.173
No biological parent	—	—	-0.492	0.502
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	0.007	0.010
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	-0.577**	0.207
Coverage full year	—	—	-0.540***	0.169
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	-0.005	0.004
Parent went to college	—	—	0.206	0.226
Family emotional resources				
Experienced a death	—	—	-0.074	0.260
Family sternness	—	—	-0.028***	0.005
Father incarcerated	—	—	0.320	0.205
Had family mentor	—	—	0.048	0.182
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.313*	0.156
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.077**	0.026
Scarcity of males	—	—	0.659*	0.266
Had neighborhood mentor	—	—	0.147	0.261
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.004	0.011
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.016	0.011
Disorder index	—	—	-0.004	0.028
Had school mentor	—	—	0.232	0.194
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	0.042*	0.019
Involvement index	—	—	-0.024	0.025
Had religious mentor	—	—	0.230	0.382

Table 5.10 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	0.027	0.030
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	0.748***	0.235
Had peer mentor	—	—	0.214	0.193
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	0.084*	0.035
Intercept	2.995***	0.142	4.489**	1.546
N	8,936		8,882	
F-test	4.41***		15.57***	
R ²	0.018		0.138	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 5.11 Determinants of Likelihood of an Early Death

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	0.512***	0.114	-0.738**	0.286
White lower middle	0.339**	0.117	-0.341+	0.200
White upper middle	0.222*	0.101	-0.146	0.130
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	0.560+	0.347	-0.714	0.469
Asian lower middle	0.850*	0.382	0.295	0.443
Asian upper middle	0.370	0.310	-0.146	0.271
Asian upper	0.124	0.262	-0.029	0.264
Hispanic lower	1.732***	0.230	0.383	0.348
Hispanic lower middle	0.895***	0.238	-0.058	0.324
Hispanic upper middle	0.912***	0.251	0.231	0.255
Hispanic upper	0.680*	0.279	0.584*	0.262
Black lower	1.422***	0.143	-0.194	0.319
Black lower middle	1.318***	0.184	-0.014	0.301
Black upper middle	0.855***	0.203	-0.034	0.226
Black upper	0.935***	0.236	0.249	0.304
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	0.130***	0.028
Parent foreign born	—	—	-0.052	0.133
Mediating conditions				
Risky behaviors				
Smoked regularly	—	—	-0.332	0.293
Abused alcohol	—	—	-0.162*	0.075
Used hard drugs	—	—	-0.003	0.096
Ate fast food	—	—	0.049	0.086
Sex without birth control	—	—	-0.083	0.081
Sex with risky partner	—	—	0.029	0.177
Reported health problems				
Ever had STD	—	—	0.034	0.153
Body mass index	—	—	-0.005	0.006
Limitation on activity	—	—	0.143*	0.071
Family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	-0.070	0.213
Married male—father	—	—	0.497*	0.227
Unmarried male—father	—	—	0.286	0.212
Unmarried female—no birth	—	—	0.109	0.074

Table 5.11 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Married female–no birth	—	—	0.013	0.187
Married female–mother	—	—	0.064	0.147
Unmarried female–birth over eighteen	—	—	-0.291	0.171
Unmarried female–birth eighteen or younger	—	—	-0.292	0.233
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	-0.094	0.125
One biological parent	—	—	0.068	0.090
No biological parent	—	—	0.375	0.473
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	-0.015*	0.005
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	-0.294*	0.125
Coverage full year	—	—	-0.344***	0.106
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	-0.007***	0.002
Parent went to college	—	—	-0.257*	0.116
Family emotional resources				
Experienced a death	—	—	0.157	0.177
Family sternness	—	—	-0.027***	0.003
Father incarcerated	—	—	-0.028	0.117
Had family mentor	—	—	-0.086	0.102
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.440***	0.092
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.052***	0.014
Scarcity of males	—	—	0.077	0.117
Had neighborhood mentor	—	—	-0.136	0.127
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.002	0.006
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.031***	0.007
Disorder index	—	—	0.002	0.015
Had school mentor	—	—	-0.106	0.112
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	0.007	0.009
Involvement index	—	—	-0.036**	0.013
Had religious mentor	—	—	-0.361+	0.205

Table 5.11 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	0.136***	0.019
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	0.275+	0.144
Had peer mentor	—	—	0.003	0.112
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	-0.046**	0.017
Intercept	2.005***	0.079	3.328**	1.060
N	8,996		6,550	
F-test	12.26***		19.17***	
R ²	0.046		0.180***	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 5.12 Significance of Ecological Conditions

	Risky Health Behaviors					Physical Outcomes			Self-Rated Health			
	Cigar- rettes	Alcohol Abuse	Hard Drug Use	Fast Food	Risky Sex	Physical Limita- tion	Body Mass Index	STD	Poor Health	Depres- sion	Likely Early Death	
Family composition												
Biological and stepparent	++						-			-		
One biological parent			++		+							
No biological parent												
Family material resources												
Household income											--	
Health insurance less than a year									---	---	--	
Health insurance a year or more	----		----			---		+	----	----	----	
Family symbolic resources												
Parental prestige			++++								----	
Parent college graduate									++		--	
Family emotional resources												
Family mortality												
Family sternness	----	----	----		--	--		-	----	----	----	
Father incarcerated	++++	++++	++++	++				++				
Family mentor		++++		++		++++			++			
Neighborhood setting												
Disadvantage index		----	----					+++	++		--	++++

Inefficacy index	----		-		+			+++	++++
Scarcity of males		+	---				++	++	
Neighborhood mentor							+		
School setting									
Disadvantage index	--	--							
Inefficacy index	+++		+				+	++++	++++
Disorder index				----		+++			
School mentor		++++				+++			
Religious setting									
Religiosity scale								++	
Involvement index	---	----						--	---
Had religious mentor	---	----				+			-
Peer setting									
Violence index	++++		+++		++++			--	++++
Friend attempted suicide	+++	++++	+++		++	++++	++	+++	++++
Peer mentor	++	+++				++			
Multiple disadvantages									
Interactive index				++				++	++

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

Note: Significance in predicting health outcomes.


Table 5.13 **Significance of Race-Class Effects**

	Risky Health Behaviors					Physical Outcomes			Self-Rated Health		
	Cigar- rettes	Alcohol Abuse	Hard Drug Use	Fast Food	Risky Sex	Physical Limita- tion	Body Mass Index	STD	Poor Health	Depres- sion	Likely Early Death
WLO	-										---
WLM				+							--
WUM											
ALO	-	-			--	+	--		+	+	
ALM									++++		
AUM		-							+++		
AUP		----	-			++				++	
HLO	----										
HLM	---			+			+				
HUM										+	
HUP		-					+				++
BLO	----	-	----		+						
BLM	----	-	-	+	+						
BUM	----	----	----	++	++				+		
BUP	----	----	----						++++		

Source: Authors' compilation.


Note: Significance in predicting health outcomes.

Figure 6.1 **ADHD Score**




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 6.2 Picture Vocabulary Test Score




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 6.3 High School Grade Point Average




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 6.4 High School Courses Failed



Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 6.5 Proportion Graduating from High School by Race and Class



Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Table 6.1 **Determinants of ADHD**

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	2.031***	0.564	-0.069	1.716
White lower middle	1.383**	0.501	-0.209	1.089
White upper middle	0.278	0.527	-0.360	0.746
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-0.342	1.108	-1.453	2.177
Asian lower middle	-1.929	1.207	-2.247	1.427
Asian upper middle	-2.274**	0.856	-2.568*	1.197
Asian upper	-0.582	0.839	-0.631	0.992
Hispanic lower	1.861*	0.959	-1.298	1.723
Hispanic lower middle	-0.832	0.811	-2.177+	1.239
Hispanic upper middle	-1.706*	0.799	-2.829**	1.033
Hispanic upper	1.416	1.707	-1.228	0.907
Black lower	0.762	0.969	-2.275	1.627
Black lower middle	0.029	0.946	-2.392+	1.265
Black upper middle	-1.439+	0.721	-3.297***	0.933
Black upper	-1.652*	0.721	-2.328**	0.932
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	-0.141	0.106
Parent foreign born	—	—	-0.556	0.559
Mediating conditions				
Health status				
Poor health index	—	—	0.808***	0.164
Depression scale	—	—	0.510***	0.043
Expectation of early death	—	—	0.152**	0.062
Current family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	1.576	1.043
Married male—father	—	—	0.801	0.975
Unmarried male—father	—	—	-0.044	0.787
Unmarried female—no birth	—	—	-3.554***	0.325
Married female—no birth	—	—	-3.019***	0.585
Married female—mother	—	—	-2.875***	0.585
Unmarried female—birth over eighteen	—	—	-2.982***	0.672
Unmarried female—birth eighteen or younger	—	—	-3.727***	0.989
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	1.249*	0.615

Table 6.1 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
One biological parent	—	—	0.172	0.504
No biological parent	—	—	1.448	1.120
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	-0.016	0.026
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	-0.771+	0.463
Coverage full year	—	—	-1.297**	0.427
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	-0.002	0.009
Parent college graduate	—	—	0.452	0.556
Family emotional resources				
Experienced a death	—	—	-0.128	0.662
Family sternness	—	—	-0.022+	0.014
Father incarcerated	—	—	0.016	0.426
Family mentor	—	—	0.759+	0.404
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.099	0.345
Inefficacy index	—	—	-0.048	0.060
Scarcity of males	—	—	-0.765	0.638
Neighborhood mentor	—	—	1.525*	0.620
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.001	0.027
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.064*	0.030
Disorder index	—	—	-0.007	0.065
School mentor	—	—	0.124	0.515
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	-0.043	0.041
Involvement index	—	—	0.037	0.049
Religious mentor	—	—	0.811	0.788
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	0.299***	0.077
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	1.727**	0.569
Peer mentor	—	—	1.277**	0.470
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	-0.009	0.073
Intercept	13.918***	0.079	17.641***	3.687
N	8,996		7,138	
F-test	4.46***		16.78***	
R ²	0.009		0.144	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 6.2 Determinants of Score on Picture Vocabulary Test

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	-5.337***	0.831	-2.065	1.831
White lower middle	-3.644***	0.696	-1.183	1.312
White upper middle	-2.109***	0.509	-0.348	0.819
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-12.656***	2.621	-6.436*	3.217
Asian lower middle	-12.588**	4.103	-6.831+	3.863
Asian upper middle	-2.107	1.990	0.113	2.160
Asian upper	-5.090**	1.864	-2.625	1.670
Hispanic lower	-13.759***	1.335	-5.238**	2.238
Hispanic lower middle	-10.607***	1.329	-3.393+	1.768
Hispanic upper middle	-9.191***	1.846	-4.611**	1.500
Hispanic upper	-4.418**	1.508	-0.198	1.275
Black lower	-20.163***	1.712	-10.303***	2.100
Black lower middle	-13.493***	1.458	-4.648**	1.527
Black upper middle	-13.493***	1.458	-5.870***	1.518
Black upper	-8.645***	1.929	-2.965*	1.408
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	0.522***	0.168
Parent foreign born	—	—	-0.653	0.888
Mediating conditions				
Health status				
Poor health index	—	—	-0.085	0.257
Depression scale	—	—	-0.442***	0.079
Expectation of early death	—	—	-0.462***	0.108
Family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	0.656	0.909
Married male—father	—	—	-0.083	0.990
Unmarried male—father	—	—	-2.033*	0.889
Unmarried female—no birth	—	—	-0.758	0.488
Married female—no birth	—	—	-1.551+	0.871
Married female—mother	—	—	-2.879**	0.923
Unmarried female—birth over eighteen	—	—	-2.671**	0.985
Unmarried female—birth eighteen or younger	—	—	-1.989	1.420
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	-0.308	0.775
One biological parent	—	—	1.170+	0.612
No biological parent	—	—	-0.842	2.370

Table 6.2 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	-0.034	0.029
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	1.683*	0.761
Coverage full year	—	—	2.347***	0.610
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	0.034**	0.013
Parent college graduate	—	—	1.610*	0.643
Family emotional resources				
Experienced a death	—	—	3.189***	0.721
Family sternness	—	—	-0.051***	0.015
Father incarcerated	—	—	-0.201	0.642
Family mentor	—	—	1.898**	0.404
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-2.254***	0.604
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.427***	0.086
Scarcity of males	—	—	1.706*	0.769
Neighborhood mentor	—	—	2.353**	0.830
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.184***	0.055
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.090*	0.043
Disorder index	—	—	0.108	0.086
School mentor	—	—	6.074***	0.681
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	-0.021	0.055
Involvement index	—	—	0.166*	0.073
Religious mentor	—	—	3.826***	1.127
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	0.088	0.086
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	1.112	0.757
Peer mentor	—	—	3.274***	0.661
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	-0.378***	0.094
Intercept	105.199***	0.512	105.053***	4.742
N	8,669		6,897	
F-test	15.85***		31.45***	
R ²	0.152		0.273	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 6.3 **Determinants of High School Grade Point Average**

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	-0.504***	0.056	-0.023	0.124
White lower middle	-0.341***	0.047	0.025	0.085
White upper middle	-0.124**	0.041	0.023	0.054
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-0.099	0.203	0.409	0.267
Asian lower middle	-0.109	0.180	0.245+	0.142
Asian upper middle	0.015	0.110	0.095	0.107
Asian upper	0.180*	0.081	0.231*	0.093
Hispanic lower	-0.678***	0.097	0.010	0.154
Hispanic lower middle	-0.697***	0.088	-0.166	0.122
Hispanic upper middle	-0.524***	0.088	-0.202+	0.112
Hispanic upper	-0.287***	0.073	-0.089	0.085
Black lower	-0.922***	0.089	-0.101	0.149
Black lower middle	-0.795***	0.097	-0.176	0.119
Black upper middle	-0.536***	0.106	-0.095	0.100
Black upper	-0.437***	0.131	-0.188+	0.097
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	-0.013	0.010
Parent foreign born	—	—	0.059	0.045
Mediating conditions				
Cognitive skills				
ADHD index	—	—	-0.011***	0.002
Picture Vocabulary Score	—	—	0.014***	0.002
Health status				
Poor health index	—	—	-0.053***	0.015
Depression scale	—	—	0.000	0.003
Expectation of early death	—	—	0.002	0.006
Family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	0.143+	0.076
Married male—father	—	—	-0.170*	0.082
Unmarried male—father	—	—	-0.208**	0.071
Unmarried female—no birth	—	—	0.290***	0.029
Married female—no birth	—	—	0.319***	0.060
Married female—mother	—	—	-0.095	0.068
Unmarried female—birth over eighteen	—	—	0.037	0.048
Unmarried female—birth eighteen or younger	—	—	-0.072	0.101

Table 6.3 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	-0.042	0.045
One biological parent	—	—	-0.127***	0.129
No biological parent	—	—	-0.219	0.148
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	0.001	0.002
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	0.125**	0.045
Coverage full year	—	—	0.267***	0.036
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	0.001*	0.0006
Parent college graduate	—	—	0.151***	0.047
Family emotional resources				
Experienced a death	—	—	0.002	0.057
Family sternness	—	—	-0.001	0.001
Father incarcerated	—	—	-0.005	0.042
Family mentor	—	—	0.048	0.035
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.042	0.035
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.012*	0.005
Scarcity of males	—	—	0.068*	0.032
Neighborhood mentor			0.059	0.062
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.002	0.003
Inefficacy index	—	—	-0.009**	0.003
Disorder index	—	—	-0.001	0.006
School mentor	—	—	0.217***	0.037
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	0.005	0.004
Involvement index	—	—	0.017***	0.005
Religious mentor	—	—	0.188***	0.056
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	-0.051***	0.006
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	0.021	0.036
Peer mentor	—	—	0.050	0.043

Table 6.3 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	-0.013*	0.006
Intercept	2.888***	0.034	1.760***	0.409
N	7,277		5,653	
F-test	16.85***		35.57***	
R ²	0.110		0.433	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 6.4 Determinants of Proportion of Courses Failed

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	0.071***	0.011	0.018	0.026
White lower middle	0.050***	0.009	0.009	0.018
White upper middle	0.013*	0.006	-0.001	0.012
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	0.008	0.024	-0.042	0.051
Asian lower middle	0.040	0.040	-0.014	0.027
Asian upper middle	0.014	0.015	0.009	0.019
Asian upper	-0.003	0.013	-0.011	0.017
Hispanic lower	0.121***	0.021	0.032	0.032
Hispanic lower middle	0.125***	0.024	0.057+	0.029
Hispanic upper middle	0.081***	0.022	0.038	0.025
Hispanic upper	0.026*	0.013	-0.001	0.017
Black lower	0.137***	0.019	0.024	0.031
Black lower middle	0.121***	0.021	0.032	0.026
Black upper middle	0.071*	0.028	0.003	0.025
Black upper	0.051+	0.029	0.006	0.024
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	-0.001	0.002
Parent foreign born	—	—	-0.017	0.011
Mediating conditions				
Cognitive skills				
ADHD index	—	—	0.001**	0.0004
Picture Vocabulary Score	—	—	-0.001***	0.0003
Health status				
Poor health index	—	—	0.006+	0.003
Depression scale	—	—	0.000	0.001
Expectation of early death	—	—	0.001	0.001
Family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	-0.036**	0.014
Married male—father	—	—	0.038	0.025
Unmarried male—father	—	—	0.050*	0.019
Unmarried female—no birth	—	—	0.029***	0.005
Married female—no birth	—	—	-0.033***	0.008
Married female—mother	—	—	0.037*	0.008
Unmarried female—birth over eighteen	—	—	-0.008	0.011
Unmarried female—birth eighteen or younger	—	—	0.028	0.038

Table 6.4 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	0.005	0.010
One biological parent	—	—	0.022***	0.006
No biological parent	—	—	0.051	0.037
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	0.000	0.000
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	-0.034***	0.010
Coverage full year	—	—	-0.048***	0.008
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	0.000	0.001
Parent college graduate	—	—	-0.030**	0.011
Family emotional resources				
Experienced a death	—	—	0.010	0.015
Family sternness	—	—	0.000	0.001
Father incarcerated	—	—	-0.004	0.010
Family mentor	—	—	-0.004	0.007
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.016*	0.008
Inefficacy index	—	—	-0.001	0.001
Scarcity of males	—	—	-0.003	0.007
Neighborhood mentor			-0.020+	0.011
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.001*	0.0006
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.000	0.001
Disorder index	—	—	0.001	0.001
School mentor	—	—	-0.024**	0.007
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	-0.001*	0.0006
Involvement index	—	—	-0.002**	0.001
Religious mentor	—	—	-0.019*	0.009
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	0.010***	0.002
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	-0.007	0.007
Peer mentor	—	—	-0.008	0.008

Table 6.4 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	0.000	0.002
Intercept	0.046***	0.005	0.235*	0.097
N	7,277		5,653	
F-test	8.87***		9.43***	
R ²	0.069***		0.254***	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 6.5 **Determinants of High School Graduation**

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	-1.556***	0.167	-1.120*	0.564
White lower middle	-1.122***	0.158	-0.864+	0.463
White upper middle	-0.584***	0.165	-0.556+	0.311
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-0.100	0.673	-0.668	0.923
Asian lower middle	-0.432	0.536	-1.170+	0.699
Asian upper middle	0.064	0.560	-0.524	0.801
Asian upper	0.500	0.494	0.141	0.629
Hispanic lower	-1.858***	0.188	-1.069+	0.641
Hispanic lower middle	-1.506***	0.202	-0.714	0.487
Hispanic upper middle	-1.009***	0.263	-0.124	0.522
Hispanic upper	-0.815*	0.376	0.322	0.645
Black lower	-1.380***	0.190	-0.383	0.672
Black lower middle	-1.206***	0.221	0.117	0.558
Black upper middle	-0.693**	0.264	-0.101	0.517
Black upper	-0.361	0.388	0.601	0.646
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	0.063	0.047
Parent foreign born	—	—	-0.248	0.270
Mediating conditions				
High school performance				
Grade point average	—	—	0.363	0.238
Proportion of courses failed	—	—	-8.152***	1.142
Cognitive skills				
ADHD index	—	—	-0.001	0.007
Picture Vocabulary Score	—	—	0.007	0.006
Health status				
Poor health index	—	—	0.150+	0.086
Depression scale	—	—	0.001	0.020
Expectation of early death	—	—	0.046	0.032
Family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	-1.001**	0.437
Married male—father	—	—	-0.813*	0.411
Unmarried male—father	—	—	-0.904**	0.281
Unmarried female—no birth	—	—	-0.218	0.215
Married female—no birth	—	—	-1.144**	0.384

Table 6.5 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Married female–mother	—	—	-1.319***	0.300
Unmarried female–birth over eighteen	—	—	-0.563*	0.282
Unmarried female–birth eighteen or younger	—	—	-0.743	0.475
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	-0.189	0.279
One biological parent	—	—	0.415	0.255
No biological parent	—	—	-0.607	0.726
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	-0.007	0.011
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	0.593**	0.185
Coverage full year	—	—	0.665***	0.157
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	0.003	0.004
Parent college graduate	—	—	-0.303	0.239
Family emotional resources				
Experienced a death	—	—	0.212	0.290
Family sternness	—	—	0.001	0.006
Father incarcerated	—	—	-0.266	0.201
Family mentor	—	—	-0.067	0.199
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.135	0.131
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.039	0.028
Scarcity of males	—	—	-0.084	0.130
Neighborhood mentor			-0.161	0.273
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.018	0.011
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.021	0.015
Disorder index	—	—	-0.007	0.026
School mentor	—	—	0.491+	0.289
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	0.028	0.024
Involvement index	—	—	-0.014	0.031
Religious mentor	—	—	-0.524	0.473

Table 6.5 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	-0.072**	0.026
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	-0.100	0.269
Peer mentor	—	—	-0.206	0.204
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	-0.055	0.041
Intercept	2.325***	0.143	2.021	2.325
N	8,990		5,652	
F-test	11.45***		9.96***	

Source: Authors' compilation based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 6.6 **Significance of Ecological Conditions**

	Cognitive Skills		High School Achievement		
	ADHD	PVT	GPA	Courses Failed	Graduation
Family composition					
Biological and stepparent	++				
One biological parent		+	----	++++	
No biological parent					
Family material resources					
Household income					
Health insurance less than a year	-	++	+++	----	+++
Health insurance a year or more	---	++++	++++	----	++++
Family symbolic resources					
Parental prestige		+++	++		
Parent college graduate		++	++++	---	
Family emotional resources					
Family mortality		++++			
Family sternness	-	----			
Father incarcerated					
Family mentor	+	++++			
Neighborhood setting					
Disadvantage index		----		++	
Inefficacy index		++++	++		
Scarcity of males		++	++		
Neighborhood mentor	++	+++		-	
School setting					
Disadvantage index		----		++	
Inefficacy index	++	++	---		
Disorder index					
School mentor		++++	++++	---	+
Religious setting					
Religiosity scale				--	
Involvement index		++	++++	---	
Had religious mentor		++++	++++	--	
Peer setting					
Violence index	++++		----	++++	---
Friend attempted suicide	+++				
Peer mentor	+++	++++			
Multiple disadvantages					
Interactive index		----	--		

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

Note: Effects are on predicting cognitive and scholastic outcomes.


Table 6.7 **Significance of Race-Class Effects**

	Cognitive Skills		High School Achievement		
	ADHD	PVT	GPA	Courses Failed	Graduation
WLO					--
WLM					-
WUM					-
ALO		--			
ALM		-	+		-
AUM	--				
AUP			++		
HLO		---			-
HLM	-	-		+	
HUM	---	---	-		
HUP					
BLO		---			
BLM	-	----			
BUM	----	----			
BUP	---	--	-		

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).


Note: Effects are on predicting cognitive and scholastic outcomes.

Figure 7.1 Nonviolent Crime Index




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 7.2 **Violent Crime Index**



Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 7.3 Criminal Justice Involvement



Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Table 7.1 Determinants of Involvement in Nonviolent Crime

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	-0.333***	0.082	-0.039	0.265
White lower middle	-0.150	0.097	0.081	0.199
White upper middle	-0.170*	0.087	-0.030	0.137
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-0.566***	0.104	-0.230	0.284
Asian lower middle	-0.477***	0.105	-0.150	0.242
Asian upper middle	-0.205	0.188	-0.102	0.205
Asian upper	-0.330**	0.106	-0.310*	0.139
Hispanic lower	-0.162	0.104	0.403	0.293
Hispanic lower middle	-0.253*	0.121	0.137	0.212
Hispanic upper middle	-0.092	0.164	-0.003	0.182
Hispanic upper	-0.258*	0.376	-0.291*	0.142
Black lower	-0.227*	0.097	0.297	0.314
Black lower middle	0.076	0.234	0.341	0.355
Black upper middle	-0.157	0.133	0.111	0.219
Black upper	0.020	0.165	-0.096	0.253
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	-0.106***	0.019
Parent foreign born	—	—	-0.056	0.098
Mediating conditions				
High school achievements				
High school graduate	—	—	0.051	0.091
Grade point average	—	—	-0.022	0.075
Proportion of courses failed	—	—	-0.167	0.286
Cognitive skills				
ADHD index	—	—	0.015***	0.005
Picture Vocabulary Score	—	—	0.002	0.002
Health status				
Poor health index	—	—	0.077*	0.036
Depression scale	—	—	0.009	0.008
Expectation of early death	—	—	-0.024+	0.013
Family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	-0.568***	0.143
Married male—father	—	—	-0.526***	0.106
Unmarried male—father	—	—	-0.486***	0.117
Unmarried female—no birth	—	—	-0.579***	0.075
Married female—no birth	—	—	-0.692***	0.072

Table 7.1 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Married female–mother	—	—	-0.814***	0.064
Unmarried female–birth over eighteen	—	—	-0.725***	0.080
Unmarried female–birth eighteen or younger	—	—	-0.773***	0.135
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	-0.113	0.131
One biological parent	—	—	-0.060	0.077
No biological parent	—	—	0.289	0.285
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	0.004	0.005
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	0.127	0.121
Coverage full year	—	—	-0.093	0.109
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	0.003+	0.002
Parent college graduate	—	—	0.152	0.111
Family emotional resources				
Experienced a death	—	—	0.181	0.133
Family sternness	—	—	-0.004*	0.002
Father incarcerated	—	—	0.288*	0.127
Family mentor	—	—	-0.133	0.097
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.032	0.077
Inefficacy index	—	—	-0.017	0.012
Scarcity of males	—	—	0.027	0.151
Neighborhood mentor			0.155	0.172
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.000	0.005
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.014*	0.007
Disorder index	—	—	-0.004	0.014
School mentor	—	—	0.052	0.112
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	-0.007	0.008
Involvement index	—	—	-0.004	0.011
Religious mentor	—	—	-0.096	0.153

Table 7.1 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	0.063***	0.024
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	0.441**	0.162
Peer mentor	—	—	-0.118	0.101
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	-0.006	0.018
Intercept	0.712***	0.072	2.759**	0.949
N	8,837		5,597	
F-test	3.79***		5.49***	
R ²	0.006		0.122	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 7.2 Determinants of Involvement in Violent Crime

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	-0.052	0.078	-0.052	0.214
White lower middle	-0.080	0.072	-0.090	0.164
White upper middle	-0.107	0.069	-0.070	0.100
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	0.034	0.480	0.389	0.588
Asian lower middle	-0.347**	0.111	-0.118	0.194
Asian upper middle	-0.277*	0.181	-0.090	0.205
Asian upper	-0.327***	0.084	-0.141	0.140
Hispanic lower	0.024	0.079	-0.126	0.246
Hispanic lower middle	-0.157+	0.092	-0.204	0.188
Hispanic upper middle	0.215	0.205	0.011	0.239
Hispanic upper	-0.032	0.163	-0.155	0.171
Black lower	0.263+	0.141	0.067	0.246
Black lower middle	0.481*	0.209	0.260	0.280
Black upper middle	0.035	0.129	-0.030	0.217
Black upper	0.202	0.203	-0.079	0.264
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	-0.047**	0.015
Parent foreign born	—	—	-0.085	0.083
Mediating conditions				
High school achievements				
High school graduate	—	—	0.062	0.114
Grade point average	—	—	-0.192***	0.048
Proportion of courses failed	—	—	0.082	0.334
Cognitive skills				
ADHD index	—	—	0.010**	0.004
Picture Vocabulary Score	—	—	-0.004	0.003
Health status				
Poor health index	—	—	-0.041	0.034
Depression scale	—	—	0.001	0.008
Expectation of early death	—	—	0.016	0.014
Family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	0.034	0.165
Married male—father	—	—	-0.137	0.170
Unmarried male—father	—	—	-0.194	0.160
Unmarried female—no birth	—	—	-0.491***	0.056
Married female—no birth	—	—	-0.312***	0.088

Table 7.2 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Married female–mother	—	—	-0.630***	0.064
Unmarried female–birth over eighteen	—	—	-0.661***	0.085
Unmarried female–birth eighteen or younger	—	—	-0.742***	0.135
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	-0.136	0.109
One biological parent	—	—	-0.012	0.065
No biological parent	—	—	-0.079	0.145
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	0.001	0.004
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	0.263**	0.088
Coverage full year	—	—	0.119+	0.067
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	0.000	0.002
Parent college graduate	—	—	-0.083	0.092
Family emotional resources				
Experienced a death	—	—	0.124	0.101
Family sternness	—	—	0.002	0.002
Father incarcerated	—	—	0.286**	0.108
Family mentor	—	—	-0.007	0.081
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.111+	0.068
Inefficacy index	—	—	-0.014	0.010
Scarcity of males	—	—	-0.168+	0.094
Neighborhood mentor			0.113	0.129
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.001	0.003
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.005	0.005
Disorder index	—	—	-0.006	0.010
School mentor	—	—	-0.071	0.070
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	-0.006	0.008
Involvement index	—	—	0.014	0.011
Religious mentor	—	—	-0.143	0.105

Table 7.2 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	0.137***	0.024
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	0.414**	0.139
Peer mentor	—	—	0.033	0.074
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	-0.026+	0.015
Intercept	0.526***	0.055	2.951***	0.810
N	8,767		5,578	
F-test	3.26***		4.63***	
R ²	0.011		0.172	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 7.3 Determinants of Involvement in Criminal Justice System

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	-0.034	0.064	-0.585*	0.234
White lower middle	0.007	0.065	-0.433*	0.177
White upper middle	-0.080	0.059	-0.285*	0.122
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-0.270*	0.134	-0.742**	0.259
Asian lower middle	-0.173	0.146	-0.208	0.250
Asian upper middle	0.021	0.144	-0.001	0.223
Asian upper	-0.002	0.139	0.346	0.218
Hispanic lower	-0.055	0.100	-0.619*	0.268
Hispanic lower middle	-0.130	0.084	-0.366+	0.206
Hispanic upper middle	0.137	0.178	-0.345+	0.187
Hispanic upper	0.080	0.148	-0.131	0.140
Black lower	-0.032	0.086	-0.674*	0.277
Black lower middle	0.193	0.165	-0.270	0.230
Black upper middle	-0.142	0.094	-0.253	0.179
Black upper	-0.028	0.104	-0.038	0.128
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	-0.035*	0.017
Parent foreign born	—	—	-0.077	0.082
Predetermined conditions				
Criminal involvement				
Nonviolent crime	—	—	0.174***	0.029
Violent crime	—	—	0.119***	0.034
High school achievement				
High school graduate	—	—	-0.121	0.115
Grade point average	—	—	-0.057	0.046
Proportion of courses failed	—	—	-0.039	0.328
Cognitive skills				
ADHD index	—	—	0.003	0.003
Picture Vocabulary Score	—	—	0.003*	0.001
Health status				
Poor health index	—	—	0.018	0.030
Depression scale	—	—	0.006	0.008
Expectation of early death	—	—	0.027*	0.013
Family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	-0.207	0.160
Married male—father	—	—	-0.063	0.174

Table 7.3 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Unmarried male–father	—	—	-0.023	0.155
Unmarried female–no birth	—	—	-0.277***	0.053
Married female–no birth	—	—	-0.199***	0.090
Married female–mother	—	—	-0.430***	0.069
Unmarried female–birth over eighteen	—	—	-0.387***	0.065
Unmarried female–birth eighteen or younger	—	—	-0.437***	0.082
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	-0.037	0.078
One biological parent	—	—	-0.051	0.066
No biological parent	—	—	0.909*	0.406
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	-0.009*	0.004
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	-0.138+	0.077
Coverage full year	—	—	-0.091	0.067
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	0.001	0.001
Parent college graduate	—	—	0.150*	0.067
Family emotional resources				
Experienced a death	—	—	-0.003	0.101
Family sternness	—	—	-0.001	0.002
Father incarcerated	—	—	0.107	0.083
Family mentor	—	—	0.184**	0.057
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.039	0.045
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.000	0.008
Scarcity of males	—	—	0.026	0.079
Neighborhood mentor			0.148	0.107
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.003	0.004
Inefficacy index	—	—	-0.002	0.004
Disorder index	—	—	0.003	0.007
School mentor	—	—	0.139*	0.056

Table 7.3 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	0.008	0.007
Involvement index	—	—	-0.021**	0.008
Religious mentor	—	—	0.104	0.094
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	0.069***	0.018
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	0.141	0.105
Peer mentor	—	—	0.120*	0.055
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	0.003	0.012
Intercept	0.497***	0.042	1.341***	0.617
N	8,827		5,488	
F-test	0.76		12.09***	
R ²	0.003		0.221	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 7.4 Significance of Ecological Conditions

	Nonviolent Crime	Violent Crime	Criminal Justice Involvement
Family composition			
Biological and stepparent			
One biological parent			
No biological parent			++
Family material resources			
Household income			--
Health insurance less than a year		+++	-
Health insurance a year or more		+	
Family symbolic resources			
Parental prestige	+		
Parent college graduate			++
Family emotional resources			
Family mortality			
Family sternness	--		
Father incarcerated	++	+++	
Family mentor			+++
Neighborhood setting			
Disadvantage index		+	
Inefficacy index			
Scarcity of males		-	
Neighborhood mentor			
School setting			
Disadvantage index			
Inefficacy index	++		
Disorder index			
School mentor			++
Religious setting			
Religiosity scale			
Involvement index			---
Had religious mentor			
Peer setting			
Violence index	++++	++++	++++
Friend attempted suicide	+++	+++	
Peer mentor			++
Multiple disadvantages			
Interactive index		-	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).


Table 7.5 **Significance of Race-Class Effects**

	Level of Involvement in		
	Nonviolent Crime	Violent Crime	Criminal Justice
WLO			--
WLM			--
WUM			--
ALO			---
ALM			
AUM			
AUP	--		
HLO			--
HLM			-
HUM			-
HUP	--		
BLO			--
BLM			
BUM			
BUP			

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).


Note: Effects are on crime and delinquency after controlling for ecological background.

Figure 8.1 College Graduation




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 8.2 Graduate Degree




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 8.3 Full-Time Work




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 8.4 Annual Earnings



Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 8.5 Public Transfers



Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Table 8.1 Determinants of Completing College Degree

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	-1.901***	0.178	-0.079	0.609
White lower middle	-1.235***	0.112	-0.269	0.413
White upper middle	-0.724***	0.114	-0.273	0.271
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-1.394*	0.572	0.056	0.869
Asian lower middle	-0.453	0.344	-0.093	0.600
Asian upper middle	0.407	0.301	0.363	0.603
Asian upper	0.394	0.292	0.445	0.457
Hispanic lower	-1.648***	0.238	0.592	0.677
Hispanic lower middle	-2.019***	0.262	-0.130	0.484
Hispanic upper middle	-0.722**	0.229	0.649	0.414
Hispanic upper	-1.097***	0.259	-0.170	0.380
Black lower	-1.812***	0.247	0.633	0.600
Black lower middle	-1.432***	0.233	0.616	0.467
Black upper middle	-0.856***	0.243	0.236	0.408
Black upper	-0.432	0.311	0.512	0.360
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	0.136***	0.039
Parent foreign born	—	—	0.182	0.203
Mediating conditions				
Criminal involvement				
Nonviolent crime	—	—	0.049	0.036
Violent crime	—	—	-0.166***	0.050
Criminal justice	—	—	0.022	0.055
High school achievement				
High school graduate	—	—	0.207	0.285
Grade point average	—	—	1.996***	0.113
Proportion of courses failed	—	—	1.328	1.083
Health status				
Poor health index	—	—	-0.193**	0.067
Depression scale	—	—	-0.005	0.015
Expectation of early death	—	—	-0.057+	0.030
Family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	-0.687+	0.366
Married male—father	—	—	-1.534***	0.427
Unmarried male—father	—	—	-0.846*	0.387

Table 8.1 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Unmarried female–no birth	—	—	0.145	0.118
Married female–no birth	—	—	-0.806*	0.327
Married female–mother	—	—	-1.513***	0.292
Unmarried female–birth over eighteen	—	—	-1.307***	0.280
Unmarried female–birth eighteen or younger	—	—	-0.922+	0.513
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	-0.007	0.236
One biological parent	—	—	0.133	0.166
No biological parent	—	—	-0.341	0.400
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	0.008	0.009
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	0.217	0.199
Coverage full year	—	—	0.844***	0.237
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	0.010**	0.004
Parent college graduate	—	—	0.424*	0.181
Family emotional resources				
Experienced family death	—	—	-0.180	0.264
Family sternness	—	—	0.000	0.004
Father incarcerated	—	—	-0.390*	0.177
Had family mentor	—	—	0.271+	0.154
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.021	0.160
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.061**	0.022
Scarcity of males	—	—	0.893	0.931
Had neighborhood mentor	—	—	0.224	0.234
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.017+	0.010
Inefficacy index	—	—	-0.008	0.010
Disorder index	—	—	0.051*	0.023
Had school mentor	—	—	0.397*	0.162
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	0.052**	0.019

Table 8.1 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Involvement index	—	—	0.008	0.023
Had religious mentor	—	—	-0.062	0.310
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	0.056+	0.030
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	-0.029	0.182
Had peer mentor	—	—	0.058	0.164
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	-0.044	0.031
Intercept	0.062	0.097	-10.919***	1.938
N	7,851		5,013	
F-test	17.78***		11.48***	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 8.2 Determinants of Completing Graduate Degree

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	-1.827***	0.318	-0.553	0.893
White lower middle	-0.877***	0.168	-0.288	0.582
White upper middle	-0.772***	0.180	-0.413	0.393
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-0.996	0.955	0.088	1.422
Asian lower middle	-0.135	0.387	-0.416	0.854
Asian upper middle	-0.294	0.422	-0.323	0.583
Asian upper	0.128	0.433	-0.334	0.580
Hispanic lower	-1.334***	0.390	-0.113	0.878
Hispanic lower middle	-1.729***	0.518	0.284	0.755
Hispanic upper middle	-1.127**	0.417	-0.323	0.588
Hispanic upper	-0.855	0.545	-0.041	0.622
Black lower	-1.627***	0.378	-0.229	0.834
Black lower middle	-1.473***	0.328	-0.386	0.695
Black upper middle	-0.683*	0.340	0.228	0.590
Black upper	-0.150	0.404	0.362	0.439
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	0.268***	0.049
Parent foreign born	—	—	0.009	0.242
Mediating conditions				
Criminal involvement				
Nonviolent crime	—	—	0.002	0.046
Violent crime	—	—	-0.433*	0.174
Criminal justice	—	—	0.171+	0.091
High school achievement				
High school graduate	—	—	0.325	0.423
Grade point average	—	—	1.712***	0.195
Proportion of courses failed	—	—	0.606	2.037
Health status				
Poor health index	—	—	-0.076	0.075
Depression scale	—	—	-0.027	0.026
Expectation of early death	—	—	-0.050	0.040
Family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	0.635+	0.347
Married male—father	—	—	0.220	0.772
Unmarried male—father	—	—	0.289	0.854

Table 8.2 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Unmarried female–no birth	—	—	0.485*	0.215
Married female–no birth	—	—	0.106	0.368
Married female–mother	—	—	–0.396	0.649
Unmarried female–birth over eighteen	—	—	–0.730	0.523
Unmarried female–birth eighteen or younger	—	—	–1.100	0.988
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	0.542	0.326
One biological parent	—	—	0.243	0.213
No biological parent	—	—	0.789	0.549
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	–0.005	0.013
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	0.815*	0.318
Coverage full year	—	—	1.259***	0.330
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	0.003	0.004
Parent college graduate	—	—	0.317	0.297
Family emotional resources				
Experienced family death	—	—	–0.075	0.345
Family sternness	—	—	–0.002	0.005
Father incarcerated	—	—	0.349	0.281
Had family mentor	—	—	–0.373	0.237
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.288+	0.165
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.070**	0.028
Scarcity of males	—	—	0.262+	0.150
Had neighborhood mentor	—	—	–0.795*	0.375
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	–0.011	0.014
Inefficacy index	—	—	–0.004	0.017
Disorder index	—	—	0.041	0.028
Had school mentor	—	—	0.028	0.230
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	0.026	0.022

Table 8.2 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Involvement index	—	—	0.008	0.023
Had religious mentor	—	—	0.024	0.026
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	0.047	0.052
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	-0.151	0.300
Had peer mentor	—	—	-0.632	0.245
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	-0.069	0.047
Intercept	-1.992***	0.105	-13.613***	2.480
N	7,851		5,013	
F-test	5.92***		9.04***	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 8.3 Determinants of Full-Time Versus Part-Time Employment

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	Part-Time	Full-Time	Part-Time	Full-Time
Race-class group				
White lower	-0.565***	-0.792***	0.572	-0.164
White lower middle	-0.184	-0.363*	0.591	0.086
White upper middle	-0.045	-0.143	0.333	0.061
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-0.718	-0.301	0.672	0.084
Asian lower middle	0.097	-0.168	0.182	-0.708
Asian upper middle	0.394	-0.382	0.458	-1.380*
Asian upper	-0.177	-0.031	-0.141	-0.170
Hispanic lower	-1.189***	-0.439	0.067	-0.186
Hispanic lower middle	-0.550+	-0.370	0.746	-0.073
Hispanic upper middle	0.625	0.594	0.449	0.661
Hispanic upper	-0.472	-0.458	-0.503	0.250
Black lower	-0.548*	-0.875***	1.119	0.078
Black lower middle	-0.128	-0.476	1.464*	0.084
Black upper middle	-0.265	-0.499	0.896+	0.367
Black upper	-0.818**	-0.757**	-0.182	0.495
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	0.044	0.035
Parent foreign born	—	—	0.498	0.631
Mediating conditions				
Higher education				
Not college graduate	—	—	—	—
College graduate	—	—	-0.244	0.391*
Graduate degree	—	—	-0.246	0.314
Criminal involvement				
Nonviolent crime	—	—	0.043	-0.053
Violent crime	—	—	-0.068	0.001
Criminal justice	—	—	0.063	-0.020
High school achievement				
High school graduate	—	—	0.119	0.243
Grade point average	—	—	-0.046	-0.206
Proportion of courses failed	—	—	-1.327	-1.492*
Health status				
Poor health index	—	—	-0.221**	-0.139*
Depression scale	—	—	0.020	-0.029+
Expectation of early death	—	—	0.007	-0.018

Table 8.3 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	Part-Time	Full-Time	Part-Time	Full-Time
Family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	0.739	1.229*
Married male—father	—	—	0.502	1.059*
Unmarried male—father	—	—	0.053	0.241
Unmarried female—no birth	—	—	0.303	-0.541
Married female—no birth	—	—	-0.077	-1.816***
Married female—mother	—	—	-0.318	-1.470***
Unmarried female—birth over eighteen	—	—	0.275	-1.091***
Unmarried female—birth eighteen or younger	—	—	-0.046	-0.666
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	-0.088	-0.016
One biological parent	—	—	-0.229	-0.028
No biological parent	—	—	-0.400	-0.056
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	0.014	0.004
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	0.782**	0.425**
Coverage full year	—	—	0.518**	0.487*
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	0.007	0.002
Parent college graduate	—	—	0.338	-0.204
Family emotional resources				
Experienced family death	—	—	-0.180	0.154
Family sternness	—	—	0.005	0.008
Father incarcerated	—	—	0.228	0.100
Had family mentor	—	—	-0.239	0.073
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.362*	-0.233+
Inefficacy index	—	—	-0.006	-0.026
Scarcity of males	—	—	-0.237	-0.258
Had neighborhood mentor	—	—	-0.796*	0.130

Table 8.3 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	Part-Time	Full-Time	Part-Time	Full-Time
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.002	0.009
Inefficacy index	—	—	-0.021	-0.004
Disorder index	—	—	0.034	0.035
Had school mentor	—	—	-0.712**	-0.128
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	-0.021	-0.005
Involvement index	—	—	-0.006	-0.003
Had religious mentor	—	—	-1.319**	-0.377
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	-0.007	0.003
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	0.018	0.053
Had peer mentor	—	—	-0.206	0.127
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	0.007	-0.030
Intercept	-0.191	1.784***	2.206	
N	7,821		5,005	
F-test	3.10***		11.39***	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 8.4 **Determinants of Natural Log of Annual Earnings**

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	-0.425***	0.079	-0.208	0.141
White lower middle	-0.268***	0.046	-0.163	0.101
White upper middle	-0.146**	0.045	-0.113+	0.064
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-0.306	0.234	-0.235	0.302
Asian lower middle	0.080	0.127	0.039	0.158
Asian upper middle	-0.132	0.228	-0.183	0.132
Asian upper	0.223*	0.093	0.080	0.101
Hispanic lower	-0.213**	0.067	-0.267	0.175
Hispanic lower middle	-0.242*	0.117	-0.257+	0.138
Hispanic upper middle	-0.056	0.082	-0.019	0.120
Hispanic upper	-0.031	0.107	0.007	0.129
Black lower	-0.577***	0.081	-0.449*	0.200
Black lower middle	-0.424***	0.082	-0.184	0.134
Black upper middle	-0.341***	0.079	-0.152+	0.092
Black upper	-0.109	0.106	0.000	0.115
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	0.045***	0.011
Parent foreign born	—	—	0.125**	0.048
Mediating conditions				
Higher education				
Not college graduate	—	—	—	—
College graduate	—	—	0.224***	0.050
Graduate degree	—	—	0.158***	0.046
Criminal involvement				
Nonviolent crime	—	—	0.004	0.011
Violent crime	—	—	0.033*	0.014
Criminal justice	—	—	-0.035*	0.015
Secondary education				
High school graduate	—	—	-0.020	0.065
Grade point average	—	—	0.093**	0.035
Proportion of courses failed	—	—	-0.106	0.209
Health status				
Poor health index	—	—	-0.034+	0.021
Depression scale	—	—	-0.020***	0.005
Expectation of early death	—	—	-0.010	0.011

Table 8.4 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	0.200*	0.093
Married male—father	—	—	0.225***	0.066
Unmarried male—father	—	—	0.090	0.090
Unmarried female—no birth	—	—	-0.214***	0.045
Married female—no birth	—	—	-0.346***	0.097
Married female—mother	—	—	-0.553***	0.124
Unmarried female—birth over eighteen	—	—	-0.507***	0.075
Unmarried female—birth eighteen or younger	—	—	-0.258**	0.104
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	-0.026	0.062
One biological parent	—	—	0.053	0.045
No biological parent	—	—	-0.066	0.109
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	-0.001	0.002
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	0.068	0.050
Coverage full year	—	—	0.154**	0.052
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	-0.001	0.001
Parent college graduate	—	—	-0.009	0.057
Family emotional resources				
Experienced family death	—	—	0.013	0.077
Family sternness	—	—	-0.001	0.001
Father incarcerated	—	—	-0.061	0.042
Had family mentor	—	—	0.034	0.063
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.020	0.046
Inefficacy index	—	—	-0.013*	0.006
Scarcity of males	—	—	0.133	0.093
Had neighborhood mentor	—	—	0.188**	0.064
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.003	0.003

Table 8.4 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.003	0.003
Disorder index	—	—	-0.004	0.007
School mentor	—	—	0.089+	0.048
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	0.004	0.004
Involvement index	—	—	-0.006	0.005
Had religious mentor	—	—	0.057	0.115
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	0.013+	0.008
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	-0.075	0.062
Peer mentor	—	—	0.066	0.053
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	0.002	0.009
Intercept	10.459***	0.037	9.247***	0.451
N	6,093		4,020	
R ²	0.038		0.175	
F-test	8.62**		17.81***	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 8.5 **Determinants of Ever Receiving Public Transfer**

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Race-class group				
White lower	1.466***	0.150	0.909	0.574
White lower middle	0.700***	0.134	0.323	0.389
White upper middle	0.264+	0.142	0.059	0.271
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	0.324	0.783	-0.040	1.138
Asian lower middle	-0.390	0.726	0.372	0.845
Asian upper middle	-0.979+	0.535	-0.802	0.824
Asian upper	-0.886+	0.504	-0.457	0.739
Hispanic lower	0.800***	0.217	0.619	0.551
Hispanic lower middle	0.825***	0.239	0.697	0.495
Hispanic upper middle	0.696**	0.258	0.542	0.429
Hispanic upper	0.162	0.300	-0.321	0.383
Black lower	1.802***	0.170	1.226*	0.551
Black lower middle	1.111***	0.247	0.057	0.495
Black upper middle	1.371***	0.268	0.658+	0.358
Black upper	0.756**	0.277	-0.081	0.371
Ascribed characteristics				
Age	—	—	-0.014	0.034
Parent foreign born	—	—	-0.597*	0.255
Mediating conditions				
Labor force participation				
Not working	—	—	—	—
Working part-time	—	—	-0.168	0.158
Working full-time	—	—	-0.631***	0.128
Higher education				
Not college graduate	—	—	—	—
College graduate	—	—	-0.707***	0.174
Graduate degree	—	—	0.192	0.296
Criminal involvement				
Nonviolent crime	—	—	0.045	0.037
Violent crime	—	—	-0.008	0.031
Criminal justice	—	—	-0.078+	0.047
Secondary education				
High school graduate	—	—	-0.220	0.191
Grade point average	—	—	-0.083	0.134
Proportion of courses failed	—	—	0.039	0.564

Table 8.5 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Health status				
Poor health index	—	—	0.149*	0.062
Depression scale	—	—	0.027*	0.013
Expectation of early death	—	—	0.042+	0.023
Family situation				
Unmarried male—not father	—	—	—	—
Married male—not father	—	—	0.625+	0.364
Married male—father	—	—	0.450	0.348
Unmarried male—father	—	—	0.077	0.271
Unmarried female—no birth	—	—	0.205+	0.124
Married female—no birth	—	—	0.520*	0.234
Married female—mother	—	—	0.686***	0.196
Unmarried female—birth over eighteen	—	—	1.292***	0.179
Unmarried female—birth eighteen or younger	—	—	1.678***	0.314
Ecological background				
Composition of origin family				
Two biological parents	—	—	—	—
Biological and stepparent	—	—	-0.133	0.226
One biological parent	—	—	0.014	0.147
No biological parent	—	—	-0.953	0.584
Family material resources				
Household income	—	—	0.011	0.009
Access to health insurance				
No coverage	—	—	—	—
Coverage less than a year	—	—	-0.373*	0.179
Coverage full year	—	—	-0.649***	0.154
Family symbolic resources				
Parental prestige	—	—	0.001	0.003
Parent college graduate	—	—	-0.078	0.143
Family emotional resources				
Experienced family death	—	—	-0.349	0.220
Family sternness	—	—	0.000	0.004
Father incarcerated	—	—	0.219+	0.131
Had family mentor	—	—	-0.134	0.137
Neighborhood setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	0.157	0.140
Inefficacy index	—	—	-0.009	0.020

Table 8.5 (continued)

Independent Variables	Group Effects		Full Model	
	B	SE	B	SE
Scarcity of males	—	—	0.324+	0.191
Had neighborhood mentor	—	—	0.024	0.225
School setting				
Disadvantage index	—	—	-0.003	0.008
Inefficacy index	—	—	0.003	0.010
Disorder index	—	—	-0.039+	0.023
School mentor	—	—	-0.142	0.166
Religious setting				
Religiosity scale	—	—	-0.033*	0.017
Involvement index	—	—	0.008	0.025
Had religious mentor	—	—	-0.020	0.308
Peer setting				
Violence index	—	—	-0.024	0.022
Friend attempted suicide	—	—	0.338+	0.176
Peer mentor	—	—	-0.193	0.158
Multiple disadvantages				
Log of interactive index	—	—	0.061**	0.023
Intercept	-1.894***	0.126	-2.653+	1.553
N	7,839		5,001	
F-test	22.67***		9.10***	

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

+ $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 8.6 **Significance of Ecological Conditions**

	Higher Education		Labor Force Outcomes			Dependency
	College Graduate	Graduate Degree	Employed Part Time	Employed Full Time	Earnings	Receiving TANF
Family composition						
Biological and stepparent						
One biological parent						
No biological parent						
Family material resources						
Household income						
Health insurance less than a year		++	+++	+++		--
Health insurance a year or more	++++	++++	+++	++	+++	----
Family symbolic resources						
Parental prestige	+++					
Parent college graduate	++					
Family emotional resources						
Family mortality						
Family sternness						
Father incarcerated	--					+
Family mentor	+					
Neighborhood setting						
Disadvantage index		+	--	-		
Inefficacy index	+++	+++			--	
Scarcity of males		+				+

Table 8.6 (continued)

	Higher Education		Labor Force Outcomes			Dependency
	College Graduate	Graduate Degree	Employed Part Time	Employed Full Time	Earnings	Receiving TANF
Neighborhood mentor		--	--		+++	
School setting						
Disadvantage index	-					
Inefficacy index						
Disorder index	++			+		-
School mentor	++		----		+	
Religious setting						
Religiosity scale						--
Involvement index						
Had religious mentor			----			
Peer setting						
Violence index	-				+	
Friend attempted suicide						+
Peer mentor						
Multiple disadvantages						
Interactive index						+++

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

Note: Effects are on predicting transition to adulthood.


Table 8.7 **Significance of Race-Class Effects**

	Higher Education		Labor Force Outcomes			Dependency
	College Graduate	Graduate Degree	Employed Part Time	Employed Full Time	Earnings	Receiving TANF
WLO						
WLM						
WUM					-	
ALO						
ALM						
AUM				--		
AUP						
HLO						
HLM					-	
HUM						
HUP						
BLO					--	++
BLM			++			
BUM			+		-	+
BUP						

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).


Note: Effects are on transition to adulthood after controlling for ecological background.

Figure 9.1 Lower-Class Black Reproduction




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 9.2 Lower-Class Black Health Behaviors and Outcomes




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 9.3 Lower-Class Black Subjective Health Ratings




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 9.4 Lower-Class Black Human Capital Formation




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 9.5 Lower-Class Black Crime and Delinquency




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 9.6 Lower-Class Black Transition to Adulthood




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 9.7 Upper-Class White Reproduction




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 9.8 Upper-Class White Health Behaviors and Outcomes




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 9.9 **Upper-Class White Subjective Health Ratings**




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 9.10 Upper-Class White Human Capital Formation




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 9.11 Upper-Class White Crime and Delinquency




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 9.12 Upper-Class White Transition to Adulthood




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 9.13 Racial Differentials in Outcomes



Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 9.14 **Racial Differentials in Unwed and Teenage Childbearing**




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Table 9.1 Social Ecologies

Ecological Spheres and Conditions	Lower-Class Blacks	Upper-Class Whites
Family composition		
Biological and stepparent	0.053	0.086
One biological parent	0.730	0.137
No biological parent	0.026	0.009
Family material resources		
Household income (\$000)	9.301	87.115
Health insurance coverage less than a year	0.157	0.146
Health insurance coverage a year or more	0.529	0.759
Family symbolic resources		
Parental occupational prestige	27.425	47.234
Parent a college graduate	0.295	0.722
Family emotional resources		
Experienced family death	0.129	0.041
Family sternness	15.907	16.754
Father incarcerated	0.206	0.079
Family mentor	0.372	0.276
Neighborhood circumstances		
Material disadvantage	1.918	0.462
Social inefficacy	4.257	3.319
Scarcity of males	0.914	0.889
Neighborhood mentor	0.075	0.048
School circumstances		
School disadvantage	23.775	18.350
School inefficacy	12.645	12.609
School disorder	9.040	8.379
School mentor	0.113	0.229
Religious circumstances		
Religiosity	13.760	12.254
Religious involvement	6.040	5.618
Religious mentor	0.019	0.037
Peer circumstances		
Peer violence	2.635	1.388
Suicidal friend	0.039	0.089
Peer mentor	0.159	0.215
Interactive disadvantage index	36.901	27.579


Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 10.1 Ecological Indicators




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 10.2 Determining Mediating Outcomes




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 10.3 Determining Health and Reproductive Outcomes




Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 10.4 Determining Human Capital Formation, Crime, and Delinquency



Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Figure 10.5 Conditioning the Transition to Adulthood



Source: Authors' compilation based on Harris and Udry (2008).

Table A.1 Means, Standard Deviations, and Ranges of Principal Variables

Variable	Mean	SD	Minimum	Maximum
Independent variables				
Age at Wave 3	21.45	1.67	18	27
Parent foreign born	0.148	0.355	0	1
Married male–not father	0.025	0.157	0	1
Married male–father	0.030	0.170	0	1
Unmarried male–father	0.048	0.215	0	1
Unmarried female–no birth	0.317	0.215	0	1
Married female–no birth	0.041	0.198	0	1
Married female–mother	0.057	0.231	0	1
Unmarried female–birth over eighteen	0.059	0.236	0	1
Unmarried female–birth eighteen or younger	0.024	0.154	0	1
Living with stepparent at Wave 1	0.083	0.276	0	1
Living with single parent at Wave 1	0.313	0.464	0	1
Living with no parent at Wave 1	0.013	0.113	0	1
Household income (\$000)	40.58	23.30	0	80
Had health insurance less than a year	0.180	0.384	0	1
Had health insurance full year	0.625	0.484	0	1
Parental occupational status	39.41	19.20	0	78
Parent college educated	0.497	0.500	0	1
Death in family	0.070	0.256	0	1
Family sternness scale	76.17	15.33	1	101
Father incarcerated	0.141	0.348	0	1

Table A.1 (continued)

Variable	Mean	SD	Minimum	Maximum
Had family mentor	0.267	0.442	0	1
Neighborhood disadvantage index	0.782	0.643	0.077	4.047
Neighborhood inefficacy index	3.775	2.990	0	16
Ratio never married women to men	0.863	0.285	0	11.533
Had neighborhood mentor	0.072	0.285	0	1
School disadvantage index	20.36	7.391	3	42
School inefficacy index	12.78	6.530	0	40
School disorder index	8.612	2.928	0	19
Had school mentor	0.812	0.386	0	1
Religiosity scale	12.34	5.560	0	18
Religious involvement index	5.442	4.017	0	12
Had religious mentor	0.026	0.161	0	1
Peer violence index	1.847	2.744	0	20
Friend attempted suicide	0.081	0.273	0	1
Had peer mentor	0.213	0.409	0	1
Logged interactive index	32.90	5.448	14.697	51.166
Dependent variables				
Chapter 4				
Ever had intercourse	0.861	0.346	0	1
Age at first intercourse	16.33	2.21	10	25
Unprotected first intercourse	0.360	0.480	0	1
Parenthood outside or inside marriage	0.305	0.620	0	2
Parenthood below or above age eighteen	0.403	0.764	0	2
Ever married	0.171	0.377	0	1
Ever pregnant	0.060	0.238	0	1
Chapter 5				
Ever smoked cigarettes regularly	0.429	0.495	0	1
Age began smoking	16.27	2.39	5	25
Drunk more than once a month	0.531	0.499	0	1
Used hard drugs (not marijuana)	0.206	0.404	0	1
Ate fast food during past week	0.823	0.382	0	1
Ever had sex for pay or with drug user	0.057	0.232	0	1
Body mass index	26.59	6.41	14.31	62.41

Table A.1 (continued)

Variable	Mean	SD	Minimum	Maximum
Obesity	0.237	0.425	0	1
Has limitation on activity	0.259	0.438	0	1
Ever diagnosed with STD	0.081	0.273	0	1
Poor health index	2.01	0.869	1	5
Depression scale	4.54	4.05	0	25
Scale of likelihood of early death	2.51	2.30	0	15
Chapter 6				
ADHD score	14.46	10.94	0	144
Picture vocabulary score	99.45	14.78	7	122
GPA	2.48	0.867	0.001	4
Proportion courses failed	0.093	0.170	0	1
Graduated high school	0.799	0.401	0	1
Chapter 7				
Nonviolent crime index	0.557	1.570	0	21
Violent crime index	0.515	1.459	0	21
Index of criminal justice involvement				
Chapter 8				
College graduate	0.303	0.016	0	1
Graduate degree	0.062	0.004	0	1
Part-time worker	0.110	0.003	0	1
Full-time worker	0.717	0.011	0	1

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

APPENDIX B

Construction of Indices

Table B.1 **Indices**

	Min	Max
Family mortality		
Wave 1 adolescent in-home interview		
Nonresidential biological mother died before age eighteen (yes or no)	0	1
Nonresidential biological father died before age eighteen (yes or no)	0	1
Wave 3 adolescent in-home interview		
Sibling died before age eighteen (yes or no)	0	1
Residential mother died before age eighteen (yes or no)	0	1
Residential father died before age eighteen (yes or no)	0	1
Scale range (dichotomy, 1 if experienced any of above events, 0 otherwise)	0	1
Family sternness		
Wave 1 adolescent in-home interview		
How much adults care about R (very much-not at all)	0	4
How much parents care about R (very much-not at all)	0	4
How much family members understand R (very much-not at all)	0	4
How much R feels like wants to leave home (not at all-very much)	0	4
How much family has fun together (very much-not at all)	0	4
How much family pays attention to R (very much-not at all)	0	4
Mother is warm and loving (strongly agree-strongly disagree)	0	4
Mother talks to understand what is wrong (strongly agree- strongly disagree)	0	4
Satisfaction with communication with mother (strongly agree- strongly disagree)	0	4

Table B.1 (continued)

	Min	Max
Satisfaction with relationship with mother (strongly agree-strongly disagree)	0	4
Wave 2 adolescent in-home interview		
How much adults care about R (very much-not at all)	0	4
How much parents care about R (very much-not at all)	0	4
How much family members understand R (very much-not at all)	0	4
How much R feels like wants to leave home (not at all-very much)	0	4
How much family has fun together (very much-not at all)	0	4
How much family pays attention to R (very much-not at all)	0	4
Mother is warm and loving (strongly agree-strongly disagree)	0	4
Mother talks to understand what is wrong (strongly agree-strongly disagree)	0	4
Satisfaction with communication with mother (strongly agree-strongly disagree)	0	4
Satisfaction with relationship with mother (strongly agree-strongly disagree)	0	4
Scale range (sum)	0	80
Cronbach's alpha		0.896
Neighborhood disadvantage		
Wave 1 census tract file		
Percentage receiving welfare	0	100
Percentage in poverty	0	100
Percentage unemployed	0	100
Percentage female-headed households	0	100
Percentage African American	0	100
Wave 3 census tract file		
Percentage receiving welfare	0	100
Percentage in poverty	0	100
Percentage unemployed	0	100
Percentage female-headed households	0	100
Percentage African American	0	100
Scale range (weighted factor score)	0.36	19.70
Cronbach's alpha		0.828
Neighborhood inefficacy		
Wave 1 adolescent in-home interview		
R knows most people in neighborhood (yes or no)	0	1
In past month R stopped to talk to neighbor on street (yes or no)	0	1
People in neighborhood look out for one another (yes or no)	0	1

Table B.1 (continued)

	Min	Max
R usually feels safe in neighborhood (yes or no)	0	1
Happiness with life in neighborhood (very much-not at all)	0	4
Wave 2 adolescent in-home interview		
R knows most people in neighborhood (yes or no)	0	1
In past month R stopped to talk to neighbor on street (yes or no)	0	1
People in neighborhood look out for one another (yes or no)	0	1
R usually feels safe in neighborhood (yes or no)	0	1
Happiness with life in neighborhood (very much-not at all)	0	4
Scale range (Sum)	0	16
Cronbach's alpha		0.697
School disadvantage		
Wave 1 school administrator questionnaire		
Average daily attendance (five categories, ≥ 95 percent to 75–79 percent)	0	4
Average class size (eight categories, ten to thirteen to thirty-eight to forty-one)	0	8
Percent teachers at school five years or more (eight categories, 0–12.5 to 87.5–100)	0	8
Percent teachers with a master's or higher (eight categories, 0–12.5 to 87.5–100)	0	8
Percentage dropping out during year (six categories, 0–16.7 to 83.3–100)	0	6
Percentage of students testing below grade level (four categories, 0–24 to 75–100)	0	4
Athletic physical and non-athletic physical services provided or referred (yes or no)	0	1
Wave 1 in-school adolescent questionnaire		
Percentage students nonwhite (four categories, 0–24 to 75–100)	0	4
Percentage students not in clubs, organizations, teams (four categories, 0–19 to 30–40)	0	4
Scale range (sum)	0	47
Cronbach's alpha		0.687
School inefficacy		
Wave 1 adolescent in-home interview		
How much teachers care about R (very much-not at all)	0	4
R feels close to people at school (strongly agree-strongly disagree)	0	4
R feels part of school (strongly agree-strongly disagree)	0	4
R is happy to be at school (strongly agree-strongly disagree)	0	4
Teachers treat students fairly (strongly agree-strongly disagree)	0	4

Table B.1 (continued)

	Min	Max
Wave 2 adolescent in-home interview		
How much teachers care about R (very much-not at all)	0	4
R feels close to people at school (strongly agree-strongly disagree)	0	4
R feels part of school (strongly agree-strongly disagree)	0	4
R is happy to be at school (strongly agree-strongly disagree)	0	4
Teachers treat students fairly (strongly agree-strongly disagree)	0	4
Scale range (sum)	0	40
Cronbach's alpha		0.814
School disorder		
Wave 1 adolescent in-home interview		
R feels safe at school (strongly agree-strongly disagree)	0	4
Wave 2 adolescent in-home interview		
R feels safe at school (strongly agree-strongly disagree)	0	4
Wave 2 school administrator questionnaire		
Security or police officer on duty (no or yes)	0	1
Other security or safety features (no or yes)	0	1
How big a problem is smoking (no problem-big problem)	0	2
How big a problem is drug use (no problem-big problem)	0	2
How big a problem is sexual harassment (no problem-big problem)	0	2
How big a problem is vandalism (no problem-big problem)	0	2
How big a problem is stress or pressure (no problem-big problem)	0	2
Scale range (sum)	0	20
Cronbach's alpha		0.602
Peer violence		
Wave 1 adolescent in-home interview		
In past 12 months R saw shooting or stabbing (never-once-more than once)	0	2
In past 12 months someone pulled a gun or knife on R (never-once-more than once)	0	2
In past 12 months someone cut or stabbed R (never-once-more than once)	0	2
In past 12 months R got into a physical fight (never-once-more than once)	0	2
In past 12 months R was jumped (never-once-more than once)	0	2
Wave 2 adolescent in-home interview		
In past 12 months R saw shooting or stabbing (never-once-more than once)	0	2

Table B.1 (continued)

		Min	Max
In past 12 months someone pulled a gun or knife on R (never-once-more than once)		0	2
In past 12 months someone cut or stabbed R (never-once-more than once)		0	2
In past 12 months was in a serious fight (never-once-more than once)		0	2
In past 12 months R was jumped (never-once-more than once)		0	2
Did any romantic partner call R names, insulted, or treated disrespectfully (no or yes)		0	1
Did any romantic partner swear at R (no or yes)		0	1
Did any romantic partner threaten R with violence (no or yes)		0	1
Did any romantic partner push or shove R (no or yes)		0	1
Did any romantic partners throw something that could hurt R (no or yes)		0	1
Scale Range (sum)		0	25
Cronbach's alpha			0.778
Index of cumulative disadvantage			
Family context			
Income deprivation	$[1 - (R's\ Income/90th\ Percentile\ Income)] * 100 + 1$		
Status deprivation	$[1 - (SEI\ of\ R's\ Parent / Maximum\ SEI)] * 100 + 1$		
Human capital deprivation	$[1\ if\ No\ Parent\ Attended\ College,\ 0\ Otherwise] * 100 + 1$		
Paternal deprivation	$[1\ if\ Father\ Never\ Present,\ 0\ Otherwise] * 100 + 1$		
Family mortality	$[1\ if\ Family\ Member\ Died,\ 0\ Otherwise] * 100 + 1$		
Family sternness	$[R's\ Sternness\ Index / Maximum\ Sternness\ Index] * 100 + 1$		
Neighborhood context			
Material disadvantage	$[R's\ Disadvantage\ Index / Max.\ Disadvantage\ Index] * 100 + 1$		
Social inefficacy	$[R's\ Inefficacy\ Index / Maximum\ Inefficacy\ Index] * 100 + 1$		
School context			
Material disadvantage	$[R's\ Disadvantage\ Index / Max.\ Disadvantage\ Index] * 100 + 1$		
Social inefficacy	$[R's\ Inefficacy\ Index / Maximum\ Inefficacy\ Index] * 100 + 1$		
Social disorder	$[R's\ Disorder\ Index / Maximum\ Disorder\ Index] * 100 + 1$		
Peer context			
Interpersonal violence	$[R's\ Violence\ Index / Maximum\ Violence\ Index] * 100 + 1$		
Religiosity scale			
Wave 1 adolescent in-home interview			
R reports having a religion		0	1
R agrees that scripture is word of God		0	1

Table B.1 (continued)

	Min	Max
Importance of religion to R (not important-very important)	0	4
How often R prays (never-at least once a day)	0	5
Wave 2 adolescent in-home interview		
R reports having a religion	0	1
R agrees that scripture is word of God	0	1
Importance of religion to R (not important-very important)	0	4
How often R prays (never-at least once a day)	0	5
Scale range (sum)	0	22
Cronbach's alpha		0.838
Religious involvement scale		
Wave 1 adolescent in-home interview		
Frequency of service attendance (never-once a week or more)	0	4
Frequency of participation in youth activities (never-once a week or more)	0	4
Wave 2 adolescent in-home interview		
Frequency of service attendance (never-once a week or more)	0	4
Frequency of participation in youth activities (never-once a week or more)	0	4
Scale range	0	16
Cronbach's alpha		0.854
Depression index		
Wave 3 adolescent in-home interview		
How often health or emotional problem caused R to miss day of school (never-every day)	0	4
How often health or emotional problem caused R to miss social activity (never-every day)	0	4
How often last week R bothered by things that usually don't bother (never-all the time)	0	3
How often last week R didn't feel like eating (never-all the time)	0	3
How often last week R could not shake off the blues (never-all the time)	0	3
How often last week R felt that just as good as other people (all the time-never)	0	3
How often last week R had trouble keeping mind on what was doing (never-all the time)	0	3
How often last week R felt depressed (never-all the time)	0	3
How often last week R felt that too tired to do things (never-all the time)	0	3
How often last week R felt hopeful about the future (never-all the time)	0	3

Table B.1 (continued)

	Min	Max
How often last week R thought life had been a failure (never-all the time)	0	3
How often last week R felt fearful (never-all the time)	0	3
How often last week R felt happy (all the time-rarely)	0	3
How often last week R talked less than usual (never-all the time)	0	3
How often last week R felt lonely (never-all the time)	0	3
How often last week people unfriendly to R (all the time-never)	0	3
How often last week R enjoyed life (all the time-never)	0	3
How often last week R felt sad (never-all the time)	0	3
How often last week felt people disliked R (never-all the time)	0	3
How often last week R felt hard to get started (never-all the time)	0	3
How often last week R felt life not worth living (never-all the time)	0	3
Scale range (sum)	0	65
Cronbach's alpha		0.801
Likelihood of early death index		
Wave 1 adolescent in-home interview		
Chances of living to age thirty-five (almost certain-almost no chance)	0	4
Chances of being killed by age twenty-one (almost no chance-almost certain)	0	4
Wave 2 adolescent in-home interview		
Chances of living to age thirty-five (almost certain-almost no chance)	0	4
Chances of being killed by age twenty-one (almost no chance-almost certain)	0	4
Scale range	0	16
Cronbach's alpha		0.647
Nonviolent crime index		
Wave 3 adolescent in-home interview		
How often deliberately damaged property (never, 1-2, 3-4, 5 or more)	0	3
How often stole something worth less than \$50 (never, 1-2, 3-4, 5 or more)	0	3
How often stole something worth more than \$50 (never, 1-2, 3-4, 5 or more)	0	3
How often went into house or building to steal something (never, 1-2, 3-4, 5 or more)	0	3
How often bought, sold, or held stolen property (never, 1-2, 3-4, 5 or more)	0	3

Table B.1 (continued)

	Min	Max
How often used someone else's credit card, bank card, or ATM	0	3
How often sold marijuana or other drugs (never, 1–2, 3–4, 5 or more)	0	3
Scale range	0	21
Cronbach's alpha		0.693
Violent crime index		
Wave 3 adolescent in-home interview		
How often use or threaten to use a weapon to get something (never, 1–2, 3–4, 5 or more)	0	3
How often used a weapon in a fight (never, 1–2, 3–4, 5 or more)	0	3
How often carry a handgun to school or work (never, 1–2, 3–4, 5 or more)	0	3
Number of handguns owned (none, 1, 2, 2–4, 5+)	0	4
How often got badly injured in a fight (none, 1, 2, 3–4, 5 or more)	0	4
How often hurt someone badly in a fight (never, 1–2, 3–4, 5 or more)	0	4
How often took part in a fight between friends and another group (0, 1, 2, 3 or more)	0	3
Scale range	0	24
Cronbach's alpha		0.670
Criminal justice involvement index		
Wave 3 adolescent in-home interview		
How often stopped by police for questioning (0–4)	0	4
How many times arrested before eighteen (never, 1–2, 3–4, 5 or more)	0	4
Number of convictions and guilty pleas (none, 1–2, 3–4, 5 or more)	0	4
Total duration of time spent in detention or probation (0, 1–2, 3–4, 5+ months)	0	4
Ever in jail or prison	0	1
Scale range	0	17
Cronbach's alpha		20.575

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).

APPENDIX C

Table C.1 Comparison of Race-Class Group Effects in Full and Reduced Samples

	Full Sample		Reduced Sample	
	B	SE	B	SE
Chapter 4				
Predicting first female intercourse				
	N=4,718		N=3,738	
White lower	0.957***	0.248	1.117***	0.245
White lower middle	0.334+	0.174	0.172	0.197
White upper middle	0.131	0.185	0.149	0.191
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	0.786	0.644	1.037	0.764
Asian lower middle	-0.474	0.409	-0.365	0.468
Asian upper middle	-0.767+	0.450	-0.662	0.453
Asian upper	-0.626	0.411	-0.715+	0.445
Hispanic lower	-0.109	0.187	-0.113	0.214
Hispanic lower middle	0.141	0.374	0.094	0.424
Hispanic upper middle	-0.317	0.376	-0.383	0.407
Hispanic upper	1.596**	0.538	1.216	0.544
Black lower	1.039**	0.324	1.163***	0.325
Black lower middle	1.292***	0.361	1.256**	0.419
Black upper middle	0.842**	0.316	0.486	0.329
Black upper	0.427	0.383	0.232	0.400
Predicting age at first female intercourse				
	N=4,072		N=3,204	
White lower	-0.691***	0.152	-0.623***	0.191
White lower middle	-0.599***	0.155	-0.555***	0.166

Table C.1 (continued)

	Full Sample		Reduced Sample	
	B	SE	B	SE
White upper middle	-0.269	0.177	-0.308+	0.173
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	1.009*	0.500	0.924+	0.534
Asian lower middle	1.677*	0.563	1.699*	0.742
Asian upper middle	-0.339	0.830	-0.216	0.895
Asian upper	0.578+	0.336	0.716	0.430
Hispanic lower	0.267	0.456	0.233	0.449
Hispanic lower middle	0.006	0.422	-0.159	0.417
Hispanic upper middle	-0.091	0.374	-0.256	0.426
Hispanic upper	0.019	0.435	0.531	0.542
Black lower	-0.637***	0.175	-0.653***	0.187
Black lower middle	-0.713***	0.209	-0.722**	0.261
Black upper middle	-0.243	0.293	-0.458+	0.271
Black upper	-0.461	0.313	-0.339	0.329
Predicting first male intercourse				
	N=4,192		N=3,390	
White lower	0.341	0.215	0.496*	0.252
White lower middle	0.350+	0.204	0.240	0.218
White upper middle	0.049	0.201	0.040	0.223
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-1.587**	0.599	-2.268***	0.676
Asian lower middle	-0.326	0.435	-0.747+	0.454
Asian upper middle	-0.854+	0.464	-0.802	0.504
Asian upper	-0.332	0.330	-0.544	0.372
Hispanic lower	0.322	0.346	0.084	0.331
Hispanic lower middle	0.901*	0.391	1.160**	0.383
Hispanic upper middle	0.187	0.433	0.322	0.435
Hispanic upper	0.474	0.442	0.500	0.487
Black lower	0.371	0.239	0.642*	0.283
Black lower middle	0.691+	0.390	0.859+	0.452
Black upper middle	0.356	0.412	0.306	0.416
Black upper	0.308	0.403	0.142	0.405
Predicting age of first male intercourse				
	N=3,542		N=2,846	
White lower	-0.480**	0.168	-0.293	0.185
White lower middle	-0.320+	0.171	-0.250	0.178

Table C.1 (continued)

	Full Sample		Reduced Sample	
	B	SE	B	SE
White upper middle	0.163	0.151	0.215	0.149
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	0.792	0.644	1.571***	0.351
Asian lower middle	0.875*	0.404	0.621	0.445
Asian upper middle	0.484	0.441	0.329	0.505
Asian upper	1.011*	0.465	0.375	0.407
Hispanic lower	-0.604*	0.283	-0.413	0.299
Hispanic lower middle	-0.869**	0.294	-1.004***	0.291
Hispanic upper middle	-0.528	0.483	-0.446	0.471
Hispanic upper	-0.476	0.304	-0.591	0.390
Black lower	-1.324***	0.276	-1.487***	0.295
Black lower middle	-1.240***	0.263	-1.297***	0.319
Black upper middle	-1.223***	0.296	-0.754**	0.284
Black upper	-1.105**	0.344	-0.907**	0.351
Predicting likelihood of first female intercourse without contraception				
	N=4,034		N=3,167	
White lower	0.467**	0.164	0.540***	0.164
White lower middle	0.285+	0.163	0.284	0.185
White upper middle	0.186	0.155	0.206	0.161
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	0.095	0.523	0.226	0.548
Asian lower middle	0.335	0.429	0.394	0.483
Asian upper middle	0.193	0.605	0.310	0.613
Asian upper	0.202	0.307	0.068	0.388
Hispanic lower	0.378	0.264	0.473+	0.291
Hispanic lower middle	0.566*	0.248	0.661*	0.307
Hispanic upper middle	0.335	0.312	0.229	0.344
Hispanic upper	0.383	0.314	0.269	0.424
Black lower	0.046	0.179	-0.020	0.195
Black lower middle	-0.068	0.232	-0.097	0.294
Black upper middle	0.055	0.231	0.102	0.289
Black upper	-0.190	0.309	-0.195	0.315
Predicting likelihood of first male intercourse without contraception				
	N=3,479		N=2,793	
White lower	0.234	0.163	0.186	0.178
White lower middle	-0.174	0.174	-0.212	0.201

Table C.1 (continued)

	Full Sample		Reduced Sample	
	B	SE	B	SE
White upper middle	0.090	0.135	0.122	0.143
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-2.517***	0.657	-1.956**	0.628
Asian lower middle	-0.253	0.585	-0.459	0.576
Asian upper middle	-0.094	0.447	-0.002	0.505
Asian upper	-0.028	0.332	0.092	0.386
Hispanic lower	0.323	0.262	0.345	0.262
Hispanic lower middle	0.109	0.253	0.009	0.333
Hispanic upper middle	-0.078	0.318	-0.056	0.350
Hispanic upper	0.239	0.377	0.383	0.390
Black lower	-0.271	0.205	-0.174	0.246
Black lower middle	-0.144	0.254	0.115	0.273
Black upper middle	-0.144	0.297	-0.028	0.337
Black upper	0.250	0.382	0.164	0.391
	Marriage	Cohabitation	Marriage	Cohabitation
	B	B	B	B
Predicting likelihood of female marriage and cohabitation (standard errors not shown)				
	N=4,755		N=3,733	
White lower	0.498***	0.584***	0.483**	0.589***
White lower middle	0.403***	0.353***	0.377**	0.347***
White upper middle	0.224**	0.108	0.246*	0.105
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	0.316	-0.294	0.389	-0.180
Asian lower middle	0.032	-0.471	0.207	-0.262
Asian upper middle	0.147	-0.253	0.302	-0.059
Asian upper	0.132	-0.600**	0.126	-0.846***
Hispanic lower	0.506*	0.081	0.439**	0.158
Hispanic lower middle	0.382**	0.053	0.397*	0.064
Hispanic upper middle	0.192	0.067	0.189	0.029
Hispanic upper	-0.216	0.066	-0.141	-0.067
Black lower	-0.141	0.216*	-0.142	0.239*
Black lower middle	-0.029	0.154	0.025	0.110
Black upper middle	-0.149	-0.318*	-0.209	-0.318*
Black upper	-0.560**	-0.122	-0.816***	-0.137

Table C.1 (continued)

	Full Sample		Reduced Sample	
	B	SE	B	SE
Predicting likelihood of male marriage and cohabitation (standard errors not shown)				
	N=4,219		N=3,384	
White lower	0.280*	0.532***	0.313*	0.607***
White lower middle	0.209	0.290***	0.249	0.393***
White upper middle	0.191	0.139	0.231*	0.162+
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-0.064	-0.441	-1.066**	-0.215
Asian lower middle	-0.773*	-0.417	-1.375***	-0.745+
Asian upper middle	-0.133	-0.129	-0.098	-0.099
Asian upper	0.137	-0.350+	0.192	-0.264
Hispanic lower	0.571***	0.280+	0.684***	0.227
Hispanic lower middle	0.432**	0.257+	0.547**	0.364*
Hispanic upper middle	0.349+	0.052	0.511**	-0.035
Hispanic upper	0.042	-0.259	0.197	-0.332+
Black lower	0.103	0.376*	0.021	0.344*
Black lower middle	0.045	0.002	0.116	0.393*
Black upper middle	0.080	0.305	0.108	0.038
Black upper	-0.461	-0.570***	-0.317	0.277
	Nonmarital	Marital	Nonmarital	Marital
	B	B	B	B

Predicting likelihood of motherhood inside and outside marriage (standard errors not shown)

	N=4,767		N=3,860	
White lower	1.562***	1.229***	1.615***	1.099***
White lower middle	0.846**	0.938***	0.769**	0.923**
White upper middle	0.570*	0.520	0.629*	0.569*
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-2.534***	-0.103	-2.708**	0.013
Asian lower middle	-0.031	0.767	0.410	1.121*
Asian upper middle	-0.926	-2.347**	-0.590	-2.099*
Asian upper	-1.131	0.730	-2.610***	0.864
Hispanic lower	1.250***	1.227***	1.492***	0.965**
Hispanic lower middle	1.616***	1.381***	1.707***	1.406***
Hispanic upper middle	1.243**	0.766+	1.366**	0.549
Hispanic upper	1.111*	-1.926**	0.533	-2.749***

Table C.1 (continued)

	Full Sample		Reduced Sample	
	B	SE	B	SE
Black lower	2.112***	0.614*	2.229***	0.664+
Black lower middle	1.974***	0.458	2.247***	0.671
Black upper middle	1.314***	0.676	1.399***	0.508
Black upper	1.379***	-0.325	1.665***	-1.489*
Predicting likelihood of fatherhood inside and outside of marriage (standard errors not shown)				
	N=4,230		N=3,298	
White lower	0.971***	0.734*	0.682*	0.766*
White lower middle	0.680*	0.456	0.596+	0.578
White upper middle	-0.028	0.438	-0.149	0.419
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-2.816**	-2.140*	-3.195**	2.153*
Asian lower middle	-2.427***	-35.797***	-2.235***	-29.765***
Asian upper middle	-0.599	-0.229	-0.508	-0.551
Asian upper	-4.007***	0.979	-3.838***	1.008
Hispanic lower	1.420***	1.395***	1.242***	1.330***
Hispanic lower middle	1.219**	1.157**	1.389***	1.242*
Hispanic upper middle	1.499***	0.597	1.521***	0.871
Hispanic upper	-0.194	0.506	-0.033	0.766
Black lower	1.458***	-0.165	1.575***	-0.647
Black lower middle	1.269***	0.412	0.881*	0.331
Black upper middle	1.378***	0.707	1.482***	0.573
Black upper	1.962***	-0.147	1.877***	0.143
	Nonmarital	Marital	Nonmarital	Marital
	B	SE	B	SE
Predicting likelihood of motherhood before age eighteen				
	N=4,763		N=3,678	
White lower	1.102***	0.299	0.889**	0.312
White lower middle	0.745*	0.381	0.387	0.402
White upper middle	0.049	0.354	-0.224	0.368
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-3.208**	1.110	-3.194**	1.120
Asian lower middle	-0.545	0.922	-0.413	0.951
Asian upper middle	-2.291***	0.592	-2.460**	0.885
Asian upper	-3.023***	0.728	-2.803***	0.708

Table C.1 (continued)

	Full Sample		Reduced Sample	
	B	SE	B	SE
Hispanic lower	1.009*	0.436	0.813	0.521
Hispanic lower middle	1.377*	0.542	1.255*	0.540
Hispanic upper middle	0.638	0.508	0.157	0.618
Hispanic upper	0.897+	0.536	-0.010	0.904
Black lower	1.404***	0.179	1.379***	0.349
Black lower middle	1.129**	0.382	1.175***	0.379
Black upper middle	1.364**	0.428	1.323**	0.458
Black upper	1.171*	.572	1.125+	0.613
Chapter 5				
Predicting regular smoking				
	N=8,981		N=7,169	
White lower	0.387***	0.109	0.327**	0.122
White lower middle	0.334***	0.100	0.312**	0.110
White upper middle	0.147	0.092	0.175	0.096
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-0.644	0.468	-0.793	0.525
Asian lower middle	-0.709+	0.394	-0.585	0.420
Asian upper middle	-0.435	0.350	-0.262	0.382
Asian upper	-0.671***	0.241	-0.613*	0.249
Hispanic lower	-0.562***	0.155	-0.738***	0.181
Hispanic lower middle	-0.546*	0.215	-0.440+	0.237
Hispanic upper middle	-0.281	0.233	-0.247	0.233
Hispanic upper	-0.290	0.293	-0.277	0.302
Black lower	-0.933***	0.170	-0.877***	0.155
Black lower middle	-0.644**	0.239	-0.526+	0.292
Black upper middle	-1.435***	0.221	-1.443***	0.226
Black upper	-0.816**	0.281	-0.829**	0.327
Predicting age when regular smoking began				
	N=3,378		N=2,670	
White lower	-0.890***	0.167	-0.985***	0.184
White lower middle	-0.509***	0.138	-0.464**	0.151
White upper middle	-0.248	0.158	-0.128	0.165
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-0.698	0.867	-0.964	1.135
Asian lower middle	0.387	0.520	0.485	0.609

Table C.1 (continued)

	Full Sample		Reduced Sample	
	B	SE	B	SE
Asian upper middle	1.297***	0.367	1.230***	0.371
Asian upper	1.092***	0.319	0.898**	0.350
Hispanic lower	0.272	0.269	0.539+	0.282
Hispanic lower middle	-0.840*	0.392	-1.004*	0.404
Hispanic upper middle	0.516	0.510	0.780	0.517
Hispanic upper	-0.164	0.339	-0.497	0.449
Black lower	0.493+	0.268	0.399	0.328
Black lower middle	0.784*	0.362	0.847*	0.423
Black upper middle	1.161**	0.385	1.434***	0.450
Black upper	0.736*	0.295	0.432	0.483
Predicting alcohol abuse				
	N=8,949		N=7,146	
White lower	-1.024***	0.122	-1.047***	0.144
White lower middle	-0.578***	0.105	-0.601***	0.119
White upper middle	-0.509***	0.111	-0.482***	0.112
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-1.746***	0.476	-1.977***	0.539
Asian lower middle	-1.441***	0.296	-1.061***	0.325
Asian upper middle	-1.167***	0.289	-1.143***	0.329
Asian upper	-1.131***	0.227	-1.109***	0.258
Hispanic lower	-1.294***	0.141	-1.284***	0.169
Hispanic lower middle	-1.199***	0.151	-1.311***	0.187
Hispanic upper middle	-0.790***	0.188	-0.884***	0.200
Hispanic upper	-0.727**	0.232	-0.487*	0.229
Black lower	-2.034***	0.165	-1.999***	0.162
Black lower middle	-1.376***	0.152	-1.466***	0.191
Black upper middle	-1.749***	0.187	-1.666***	0.191
Black upper	-1.418***	0.175	-1.543***	0.206
Predicting hard drug use				
	N=8,996		N=7,147	
White lower	-0.375***	0.136	-0.314*	0.159
White lower middle	-0.218+	0.129	-0.201	0.146
White upper middle	-0.298*	0.126	-0.284*	0.127
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-1.068*	0.540	-1.194*	0.590
Asian lower middle	-0.796+	0.484	-0.474	0.544

Table C.1 (continued)

	Full Sample		Reduced Sample	
	B	SE	B	SE
Asian upper middle	-0.474	0.373	-0.280	0.369
Asian upper	-0.795*	0.348	-0.891*	0.418
Hispanic lower	-0.507**	0.174	-0.393+	0.208
Hispanic lower middle	-0.546*	0.227	-0.479*	0.244
Hispanic upper middle	-0.479+	0.246	-0.560*	0.259
Hispanic upper	-0.162	0.301	0.032	0.317
Black lower	-2.049***	0.259	-1.884***	0.276
Black lower middle	-1.174***	0.268	-1.058***	0.308
Black upper middle	-2.288***	0.479	-2.279***	0.571
Black upper	-1.770***	0.339	-1.606***	0.357
Predicting consumption of fast food				
	N=8,995		N=7,173	
White lower	0.581***	0.144	0.551***	0.164
White lower middle	0.408***	0.112	0.465***	0.131
White upper middle	0.197	0.121	0.161	0.130
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	0.129	0.418	-0.095	0.436
Asian lower middle	0.272	0.390	0.698	0.401
Asian upper middle	-0.245	0.360	-0.193	0.379
Asian upper	-0.117	0.266	-0.226	0.323
Hispanic lower	0.734***	0.221	0.847***	0.240
Hispanic lower middle	0.876***	0.217	0.951***	0.286
Hispanic upper middle	0.383	0.276	0.321	0.299
Hispanic upper	0.103	0.295	0.214	0.305
Black lower	0.568***	0.164	0.863***	0.214
Black lower middle	0.636**	0.210	0.954***	0.263
Black upper middle	0.790**	0.260	1.019***	0.244
Black upper	0.711+	0.416	0.891*	0.429
Predicting engaging in risky sex (paid or with drug dealer)				
	N=8,969		N=7,164	
White lower	0.577***	0.255	0.372	0.311
White lower middle	0.608**	0.236	0.550*	0.268
White upper middle	0.399	0.112	0.387	0.287
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-3.228***	0.985	-3.060**	0.994
Asian lower middle	1.183*	0.584	1.477*	0.624

Table C.1 (continued)

	Full Sample		Reduced Sample	
	B	SE	B	SE
Asian upper middle	-0.953	0.937	-0.844	0.929
Asian upper	0.388	0.524	0.600	0.528
Hispanic lower	0.659+	0.363	0.595	0.471
Hispanic lower middle	0.930**	0.339	0.924**	0.341
Hispanic upper middle	0.876*	0.418	0.647	0.439
Hispanic upper	0.415	0.499	0.529	0.429
Black lower	1.608***	0.219	1.425***	0.261
Black lower middle	1.265***	0.350	1.352***	0.373
Black upper middle	1.463***	0.284	1.267***	0.328
Black upper	1.043*	0.410	1.021*	0.482
Predicting limitation on physical activity				
	N=8,831		N=6,844	
White lower	0.447***	0.101	0.431**	0.140
White lower middle	0.226*	0.123	0.330*	0.136
White upper middle	0.193+	0.107	0.195	0.121
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	0.869*	0.366	1.100**	0.400
Asian lower middle	0.046	0.315	0.382	0.370
Asian upper middle	-0.296	0.252	-0.524+	0.290
Asian upper	0.169	0.207	0.325	0.263
Hispanic lower	0.200	0.165	0.419*	0.183
Hispanic lower middle	-0.043	0.195	0.097	0.214
Hispanic upper middle	0.214	0.263	0.068	0.276
Hispanic upper	-0.148	0.369	-0.235	0.329
Black lower	0.101	0.168	0.080	0.189
Black lower middle	-0.064	0.207	0.025	0.264
Black upper middle	-0.152	0.225	-0.398	0.257
Black upper	-0.002	0.249	-0.041	0.245
Predicting body mass index				
	N=8,575		N=7,226	
White lower	1.246**	0.397	1.100*	0.461
White lower middle	1.146***	0.199	1.209***	0.326
White upper middle	0.101	0.326	0.096	0.347
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-1.969*	0.937	-2.992***	0.834
Asian lower middle	0.076	0.883	0.677	0.952

Table C.1 (continued)

	Full Sample		Reduced Sample	
	B	SE	B	SE
Asian upper middle	0.211	1.019	0.140	1.001
Asian upper	-1.694*	0.649	-1.506*	0.701
Hispanic lower	1.253**	0.424	1.377**	0.501
Hispanic lower middle	2.493***	0.549	2.395***	0.750
Hispanic upper middle	0.810	0.567	1.138+	0.648
Hispanic upper	1.446*	0.736	1.693*	0.856
Black lower	1.623***	0.443	1.498**	0.481
Black lower middle	2.362***	0.671	2.352**	0.856
Black upper middle	2.212***	0.679	2.158**	0.671
Black upper	1.712	1.137	1.849	1.162
Predicting obesity				
	N=8,575		N=7,686	
White lower	0.459**	0.147	0.536***	0.165
White lower middle	0.421***	0.112	0.450***	0.128
White upper middle	0.137	0.140	0.185	0.140
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-0.652	0.679	-1.105	0.984
Asian lower middle	0.290	0.380	0.396	0.385
Asian upper middle	0.086	0.395	0.093	0.445
Asian upper	-0.385	0.312	-0.339	0.375
Hispanic lower	0.393*	0.182	0.446*	0.225
Hispanic lower middle	0.632**	0.201	0.592*	0.245
Hispanic upper middle	0.238	0.246	0.424+	0.256
Hispanic upper	0.492+	0.273	0.580*	0.292
Black lower	0.618***	0.146	0.653***	0.169
Black lower middle	0.581**	0.225	0.594*	0.274
Black upper middle	0.733***	0.220	0.722***	0.220
Black upper	0.645+	0.353	0.726*	0.351
Predicting STD diagnosis				
	N=8,947		N=6,954	
White lower	-0.094	0.189	-0.095	0.225
White lower middle	-0.320	0.199	-0.454*	0.226
White upper middle	-0.040	0.175	-0.160	0.194
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-0.309	1.007	-0.103	1.015
Asian lower middle	-2.031**	0.698	-1.777**	0.674

Table C.1 (continued)

	Full Sample		Reduced Sample	
	B	SE	B	SE
Asian upper middle	-0.089	0.544	0.058	0.577
Asian upper	-0.642	0.556	-0.777	0.629
Hispanic lower	0.147	0.245	0.151	0.327
Hispanic lower middle	0.206	0.276	0.020	0.371
Hispanic upper middle	-0.559	0.443	-0.464	0.499
Hispanic upper	-0.583	0.432	-1.127*	0.519
Black lower	0.710***	0.191	0.789***	0.199
Black lower middle	1.168***	0.229	1.240***	0.275
Black upper middle	0.920***	0.240	1.091***	0.281
Black upper	1.237***	0.224	1.298***	0.258
Predicting poor overall health				
	N=8,996		N=6,549	
White lower	0.192***	0.043	0.186***	0.054
White lower middle	0.134**	0.048	0.153**	0.060
White upper middle	0.051	0.034	0.054	0.040
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	0.532*	0.216	0.523*	0.258
Asian lower middle	0.393*	0.142	0.580**	0.183
Asian upper middle	0.194*	0.087	0.220*	0.094
Asian upper	0.058	0.087	0.012	0.080
Hispanic lower	0.158*	0.065	0.164+	0.086
Hispanic lower middle	0.120+	0.067	0.115+	0.071
Hispanic upper middle	0.032	0.088	-0.030	0.087
Hispanic upper	0.139	0.116	0.109	0.088
Black lower	0.068	0.060	0.079	0.062
Black lower middle	0.154*	0.070	0.188*	0.095
Black upper middle	-0.049	0.065	0.016	0.076
Black upper	0.123	0.104	0.060	0.110
Predicting depression score				
	N=8,936		N=6,525	
White lower	0.646**	0.227	0.734**	0.243
White lower middle	0.329	0.221	0.506*	0.243
White upper middle	0.240	0.208	0.330	0.221
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	1.861**	0.707	2.653***	0.799
Asian lower middle	0.698	0.562	1.395*	0.658

Table C.1 (continued)

	Full Sample		Reduced Sample	
	B	SE	B	SE
Asian upper middle	0.707+	0.376	1.034*	0.429
Asian upper	1.624**	0.555	1.290*	0.460
Hispanic lower	1.559***	0.332	1.601***	0.394
Hispanic lower middle	0.621	0.387	0.916*	0.435
Hispanic upper middle	2.234***	0.447	2.007**	0.659
Hispanic upper	-0.207	0.418	-0.115	0.479
Black lower	1.298***	0.307	1.402***	0.332
Black lower middle	1.374***	0.377	1.337***	0.404
Black upper middle	0.823+	0.427	0.856+	0.493
Black upper	0.808*	0.372	0.873+	0.475
Predicting perceived likelihood of early death				
	N=8,996		N=6,550	
White lower	0.512***	0.114	0.433***	0.133
White lower middle	0.339**	0.117	0.453***	0.125
White upper middle	0.222*	0.101	0.207*	0.098
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	0.560+	0.347	0.529+	0.319
Asian lower middle	0.850*	0.382	1.238**	0.422
Asian upper middle	0.370	0.310	0.388	0.275
Asian upper	0.124	0.262	0.114	0.325
Hispanic lower	1.732***	0.230	1.867***	0.220
Hispanic lower middle	0.895***	0.238	0.890***	0.243
Hispanic upper middle	0.912***	0.251	0.923***	0.274
Hispanic upper	0.680*	0.279	0.882**	0.303
Black lower	1.422***	0.143	1.597***	0.173
Black lower middle	1.318***	0.184	1.414***	0.252
Black upper middle	0.855***	0.203	0.889***	0.253
Black upper	0.935***	0.236	0.840**	0.288
Chapter 6				
Predicting ADHD score				
	N=8,996		N=7,138	
White lower	2.031***	0.564	1.894**	0.681
White lower middle	1.383**	0.501	1.445*	0.493
White upper middle	0.278	0.527	0.365	0.602
White upper	—	—	—	—

Table C.1 (continued)

	Full Sample		Reduced Sample	
	B	SE	B	SE
Asian lower	-0.342	1.108	-0.011	1.273
Asian lower middle	-1.929	1.207	-1.326	1.221
Asian upper middle	-2.274**	0.856	-1.846+	0.973
Asian upper	-0.582	0.839	-0.463	0.787
Hispanic lower	1.861*	0.959	0.630	0.718
Hispanic lower middle	-0.832	0.811	-0.897	0.808
Hispanic upper middle	-1.706*	0.799	-1.486+	0.912
Hispanic upper	1.416	1.707	-0.535	0.941
Black lower	0.762	0.969	-0.478	0.647
Black lower middle	0.029	0.946	-0.421	0.784
Black upper middle	-1.439+	0.721	-2.606***	0.729
Black upper	-1.652*	0.721	-1.485*	0.738
Predicting Picture Vocabulary Score				
	N=8,669		N=6,897	
White lower	-5.337***	0.831	-5.384***	0.886
White lower middle	-3.644***	0.696	-3.547***	0.761
White upper middle	-2.109***	0.509	-1.789***	0.510
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-12.656***	2.621	-13.284***	2.991
Asian lower middle	-12.588**	4.103	-11.183**	4.111
Asian upper middle	-2.107	1.990	-2.525	2.098
Asian upper	-5.090**	1.864	-4.869*	2.055
Hispanic lower	-13.759***	1.335	-14.285***	1.353
Hispanic lower middle	-10.607***	1.329	-10.514***	1.324
Hispanic upper middle	-9.191***	1.846	-9.554***	2.186
Hispanic upper	-4.418**	1.508	-2.976*	1.374
Black lower	-20.163***	1.712	-19.104***	1.571
Black lower middle	-13.493***	1.458	-11.683***	1.215
Black upper middle	-13.493***	1.458	-11.494***	1.459
Black upper	-8.645***	1.929	-8.519***	2.111
Predicting high school GPA				
	N=7,277		N=5,653	
White lower	-0.504***	0.056	-0.558***	0.063
White lower middle	-0.341***	0.047	-0.319***	0.055
White upper middle	-0.124**	0.041	-0.123**	0.044
White upper	—	—	—	—

Table C.1 (continued)

	Full Sample		Reduced Sample	
	B	SE	B	SE
Asian lower	-0.099	0.203	-0.097	0.212
Asian lower middle	-0.109	0.180	-0.074	0.127
Asian upper middle	0.015	0.110	-0.029	0.121
Asian upper	0.180*	0.081	0.159+	0.087
Hispanic lower	-0.678***	0.097	-0.690***	0.106
Hispanic lower middle	-0.697***	0.088	-0.751***	0.089
Hispanic upper middle	-0.524***	0.088	-0.580***	0.094
Hispanic upper	-0.287***	0.073	-0.259***	0.077
Black lower	-0.922***	0.089	-0.943***	0.113
Black lower middle	-0.795***	0.097	-0.755***	0.113
Black upper middle	-0.536***	0.106	-0.464***	0.108
Black upper	-0.437***	0.131	-0.445**	0.143
Predicting proportion of courses failed				
	N=7,277		N=5,653	
White lower	0.071***	0.011	0.078***	0.012
White lower middle	0.050***	0.009	0.046***	0.009
White upper middle	0.013*	0.006	0.011	0.007
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	0.008	0.024	0.019	0.028
Asian lower middle	0.040	0.040	0.014	0.019
Asian upper middle	0.014	0.015	0.022	0.017
Asian upper	-0.003	0.013	-0.003	0.015
Hispanic lower	0.121***	0.021	0.118***	0.020
Hispanic lower middle	0.125***	0.024	0.130***	0.026
Hispanic upper middle	0.081***	0.022	0.085***	0.024
Hispanic upper	0.026*	0.013	0.019	0.014
Black lower	0.137***	0.019	0.138***	0.025
Black lower middle	0.121***	0.021	0.108***	0.023
Black upper middle	0.071*	0.028	0.056*	0.024
Black upper	0.051+	0.029	0.048	0.031
Predicting high school graduation				
	N=8,990		N=5,652	
White lower	-1.556***	0.167	-1.627***	0.232
White lower middle	-1.122***	0.158	-1.196***	0.230
White upper middle	-0.584***	0.165	-0.579*	0.245
White upper	—	—	—	—

Table C.1 (continued)

	Full Sample		Reduced Sample	
	B	SE	B	SE
Asian lower	-0.100	0.673	-0.670	0.824
Asian lower middle	-0.432	0.536	-0.904	0.574
Asian upper middle	0.064	0.560	-0.820	0.559
Asian upper	0.500	0.494	0.109	0.743
Hispanic lower	-1.858***	0.188	-2.041***	0.251
Hispanic lower middle	-1.506***	0.202	-0.182***	0.272
Hispanic upper middle	-1.009***	0.263	-1.264***	0.352
Hispanic upper	-0.815*	0.376	0.047	0.520
Black lower	-1.380***	0.190	-1.636***	0.287
Black lower middle	-1.206***	0.221	-1.228***	0.308
Black upper middle	-0.693**	0.264	-0.910*	0.401
Black upper	-0.361	0.388	-0.353	0.581
Chapter 7				
Predicting involvement in nonviolent crime				
	N=8,837		N=5,597	
White lower	-0.333***	0.082	-0.353**	0.109
White lower middle	-0.150	0.097	-0.172	0.116
White upper middle	-0.170*	0.087	-0.190+	0.112
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-0.566***	0.104	-0.670***	0.105
Asian lower middle	-0.477***	0.105	-0.543***	0.126
Asian upper middle	-0.205	0.188	-0.310+	0.181
Asian upper	-0.330**	0.106	-0.376**	0.128
Hispanic lower	-0.162	0.104	-0.176	0.149
Hispanic lower middle	-0.253*	0.121	-0.287*	0.119
Hispanic upper middle	-0.092	0.164	-0.321*	0.161
Hispanic upper	-0.268*	0.115	-0.208	0.165
Black lower	0.227*	0.097	-0.280*	0.120
Black lower middle	0.076	0.234	0.175	0.425
Black upper middle	-0.157	0.133	-0.159	0.181
Black upper	0.020	0.165	-0.113	0.240
Predicting involvement in violent crime				
	N=8,767		N=5,578	
White lower	-0.052	0.078	-0.022	0.095
White lower middle	-0.080	0.072	-0.091	0.082
White upper middle	-0.107	0.069	-0.126+	0.072
White upper	—	—	—	—

Table C.1 (continued)

	Full Sample		Reduced Sample	
	B	SE	B	SE
Asian lower	0.034	0.480	0.109	0.595
Asian lower middle	-0.347**	0.111	-0.363**	0.141
Asian upper middle	-0.277*	0.181	-0.253+	0.135
Asian upper	-0.327***	0.084	-0.314*	0.125
Hispanic lower	0.024	0.079	-0.085	0.084
Hispanic lower middle	-0.157+	0.092	-0.133	0.104
Hispanic upper middle	0.215	0.205	0.039	0.239
Hispanic upper	-0.032	0.163	-0.055	0.207
Black lower	0.263+	0.141	0.202	0.169
Black lower middle	0.481*	0.209	0.540	0.335
Black upper middle	0.035	0.129	0.092	0.197
Black upper	0.202	0.203	0.130	0.275
Predicting criminal justice involvement				
	N=8,827		N=5,488	
White lower	-0.034	0.064	-0.103	0.096
White lower middle	0.007	0.065	-0.100	0.074
White upper middle	-0.080	0.059	-0.114	0.073
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-0.270*	0.134	-0.403***	0.101
Asian lower middle	-0.173	0.146	-0.100	0.196
Asian upper middle	0.021	0.144	0.131	0.198
Asian upper	-0.002	0.139	0.178	0.204
Hispanic lower	-0.055	0.100	-0.132	0.111
Hispanic lower middle	-0.130	0.084	-0.046	0.120
Hispanic upper middle	0.137	0.178	-0.131	0.146
Hispanic upper	0.080	0.148	-0.076	0.153
Black lower	-0.032	0.086	-0.205	0.092
Black lower middle	0.193	0.165	0.275	0.293
Black upper middle	-0.142	0.094	-0.053	0.157
Black upper	-0.028	0.104	-0.018	0.145
Chapter 8				
Predicting receipt of college degree by Wave 4				
	N=7,851		N=5,013	
White lower	-1.901***	0.178	-1.679***	0.184
White lower middle	-1.235***	0.112	-1.150***	0.126
White upper middle	-0.724***	0.114	-0.697***	0.121
White upper	—	—	—	—

Table C.1 (continued)

	Full Sample		Reduced Sample	
	B	SE	B	SE
Asian lower	-1.393*	1.269	-1.279*	0.607
Asian lower middle	-0.453	0.344	-0.531+	0.392
Asian upper middle	0.407	0.301	0.080	0.399
Asian upper	0.394	0.273	0.427	0.309
Hispanic lower	-1.648***	0.238	-1.534***	0.284
Hispanic lower middle	-2.019***	0.282	-1.753***	0.300
Hispanic upper middle	-0.722**	0.228	-0.551*	0.252
Hispanic upper	-1.097***	0.259	-0.650*	0.284
Black lower	-1.812***	0.247	-1.709***	0.243
Black lower middle	-1.432***	0.232	-1.176***	0.273
Black upper middle	-0.856***	0.243	-0.738**	0.684
Black upper	-0.432	0.311	-0.421	0.331
Predicting receipt of graduate degree by Wave 4				
	N=7,851		N=5,013	
White lower	-1.827***	0.318	-1.477***	0.362
White lower middle	-0.877***	0.168	-0.733***	0.201
White upper middle	-0.772***	0.180	-0.675***	0.186
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-0.996	0.955	-0.889	0.976
Asian lower middle	-0.135	0.387	-0.566	0.505
Asian upper middle	-0.294	0.422	-0.279	0.451
Asian upper	0.128	0.433	-0.120	0.476
Hispanic lower	-1.334***	0.390	-1.252**	0.468
Hispanic lower middle	-1.729***	0.518	-1.245*	0.525
Hispanic upper middle	-1.127**	0.417	-0.908*	0.459
Hispanic upper	-0.855	0.545	-0.399	0.568
Black lower	-1.627***	0.378	-1.392***	0.392
Black lower middle	-1.473***	0.328	-1.206**	0.429
Black upper middle	-0.683*	0.340	-0.413	0.369
Black upper	-0.150	0.404	-0.224	0.480
Predicting part-time employment at Wave 4				
	N=7,821		N=5,005	
White lower	-0.565***	0.276	-0.564*	0.279
White lower middle	-0.184	0.193	-0.106	0.232
White upper middle	-0.945	0.198	-0.060	0.234
White upper	—	—	—	—

Table C.1 (continued)

	Full Sample		Reduced Sample	
	B	SE	B	SE
Asian lower	-0.718	1.111	-0.461	1.345
Asian lower middle	0.097	0.604	-0.082	0.617
Asian upper middle	0.394	0.504	0.417	0.589
Asian upper	-0.177	0.462	0.136	1.001
Hispanic lower	-1.189***	0.316	-1.066***	0.319
Hispanic lower middle	-0.550+	0.331	-0.015	0.423
Hispanic upper middle	0.625	0.565	0.037	0.726
Hispanic upper	-0.472	0.513	-0.413	0.700
Black lower	-0.548*	0.264	-0.599+	0.348
Black lower middle	-0.128	0.302	0.157	0.407
Black upper middle	-0.265	0.471	0.068	0.433
Black upper	-0.818**	0.325	-0.586	0.472
Predicting full-time employment at Wave 4				
	N=7,821		N=5,005	
White lower	-0.792***	0.189	-0.804***	0.245
White lower middle	-0.363*	0.154	-0.341*	0.169
White upper middle	-0.143	0.146	-0.196	0.200
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-0.301	0.754	-0.262	0.995
Asian lower middle	-0.168	0.410	-0.466	0.466
Asian upper middle	-0.382	0.410	-0.848	0.501
Asian upper	-0.031	0.426	0.383	0.841
Hispanic lower	-0.439	0.279	-0.662**	0.252
Hispanic lower middle	-0.370	0.224	-0.303	0.366
Hispanic upper middle	0.594	0.414	0.411	0.457
Hispanic upper	-0.458	0.396	-0.076	0.437
Black lower	-0.875***	0.205	-0.890***	0.224
Black lower middle	-0.476+	0.258	-0.786**	0.306
Black upper middle	-0.499	0.413	-0.193	0.350
Black upper	-0.757**	0.262	-0.813*	0.340
Predicting annual earnings at Wave 4				
	N=6,093		N=4,020	
White lower	-0.425***	0.079	-0.309***	0.067
White lower middle	-0.268***	0.046	-0.234***	0.049
White upper middle	-0.146**	0.045	-0.162***	0.048

Table C.1 (continued)

	Full Sample		Reduced Sample	
	B	SE	B	SE
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	-0.306	0.234	-0.331	0.271
Asian lower middle	0.080	0.127	0.138	0.138
Asian upper middle	-0.132	0.228	-0.019	0.123
Asian upper	0.223*	0.093	0.224	0.078
Hispanic lower	-0.213**	0.067	-0.304***	0.082
Hispanic lower middle	-0.242*	0.117	-0.257*	0.104
Hispanic upper middle	-0.056	0.082	0.057	0.090
Hispanic upper	-0.031	0.107	0.039	0.125
Black lower	-0.577***	0.081	-0.611***	0.117
Black lower middle	-0.424***	0.082	-0.351***	0.088
Black upper middle	-0.341***	0.079	-0.225**	0.084
Black upper	-0.109	0.106	-0.130	0.111
Predicting TANF receipt at Wave 4				
	N=7,839		N=5,001	
White lower	1.466***	0.150	1.304***	0.182
White lower middle	0.700***	0.134	0.612***	0.162
White upper middle	0.264+	0.142	0.192	0.171
White upper	—	—	—	—
Asian lower	0.324	0.783	0.062	1.044
Asian lower middle	-0.390	0.726	-0.107	0.771
Asian upper middle	-0.979+	0.535	-1.234	0.881
Asian upper	-0.886+	0.504	-1.027+	0.592
Hispanic lower	0.800***	0.217	0.873***	0.253
Hispanic lower middle	0.825***	0.239	0.948**	0.328
Hispanic upper middle	0.696**	0.258	0.604+	0.325
Hispanic upper	0.162	0.300	-0.170	0.390
Black lower	1.802***	0.170	1.907***	0.552
Black lower middle	1.111***	0.247	0.891**	0.329
Black upper middle	1.371***	0.268	1.106***	0.278
Black upper	0.756**	0.277	0.575+	0.330

Source: Authors' calculations based on data set described in Harris and Udry (2008).