
TABLE 2.1

The Commission on Party Structure and Delegate Selection

George S. McGovern, chairman, U.S. senator from South Dakota
Harold E. Hughes, vice chairman, U.S. senator from Iowa
I. W. Abel, president, United Steelworkers of America
Birch E. Bayh, Jr., U.S. senator from Indiana
Samuel H. Beer, professor of government, Harvard University
Bert L. Bennett, partner, Quality Oil Company, Winston-Salem, North Carolina
Warren M. Christopher, attorney, O'Melveny and Myers, Los Angeles, California
Leroy Collins, defeated candidate for U.S. senator from Florida
Will D. Davis, attorney, Heath, Davis and McCalla, Austin, Texas
William Dodds, director of community action, United Auto Workers
Frederick G. Dutton, attorney, Dutton, Gwirtzman, Zumas, Wise and Frey, San Francisco,
California
John F. English, national committeeman from New York
Donald M. Fraser, congressman from the Fifth District of Minnesota
Peter Garcia, deputy director of community action program, Tulare County, California
Earl G. Graves, president, Earl Graves Associates, New York, New York
Aaron E. Henry, president, N.A.A.C.P. of Mississippi
John J. Hooker, president, Minnie Pearl International, Nashville, Tennessee
Patti J. Knox, vice chairman, Democratic party of Michigan
Louis E. Martin, publisher, Chicago Daily Defender
Oscar H. Mauzy, state senator from Dallas, Texas
George J. Mitchell, national committeeman from Maine
David Mixner, co-director, Vietnam Moratorium Committee
Katherine G. Peden, defeated candidate for U.S. senator from Kentucky
Albert A. Pena, county commissioner from Bexar County, Texas
Calvin L. Rampton, governor of Utah
J. Austin Ranney, professor of political science, University of Wisconsin
Adlai E. Stevenson, III, state treasurer from Illinois

Carmen H. Warschaw, national committeewoman from California



The Institutionalized Bias of the Commission: Eli Segal's Perspective




FIG. 3.2
The Institutionalized Bias of the Commission:
Al Barkan's Interpretation






FIG. 10.1 The Distribution of Organized and Volunteer Democratic State Parties in 1970


(a) State Party Characteristics and Movement Toward Reform



(b) State Party Characteristics and Levels of Reform Activity



The State Parties and Compliance: March-April 1970









FIG. 11.2

Compliance Prospects Among States with New Democratic Governors

(a) Change by December 1971 in States Holding Elections







NOTE: The numbers exclude the three states which were in full, certified compliance by the time of the general election of 1970.




FIG. 13.1

Compliance Status of the State Parties: January 7, 1971






FIG. 15.1
The State Parties and Compliance: July 16, 1971



The Status of State Party Compliance: October 13, 1971



^{*}NOTE: N is the total number of members of the the National Committee present and voting in each category of party type and compliance status.


FIG. 16.2
State Party Characteristics, Compliance Progress,

and the Vote on Harris-Hughes

TABLE 16.1 Presidential Contenders and the Harris-Hughes Conflict

	Campaign Status o ly Acknowledged (,
Already Withdrawn	Announced and Active	Yet to Announce
Bayh Hughes	Harris McGovern	Chisholm Humphrey Jackson Kennedy Lindsay McCarthy Muskie Wallace Yorty
Within	Reputed Influence 1 the National Co	
None	Home State	Extended Influence
Harris Lindsay McCarthy Wallace Yorty	Bayh Chisholm Hughes Jackson McGovern	Humphrey Kennedy Muskie
	Strategic Response	es .
Remain Detached	Endorse Hughes	Endorse Harris
Bayh Humphrey Jackson Lindsay Wallace Yorty	Chisholm Harris (Hughes) Kennedy McCarthy McGovern Muskie	_

(a) By December 15, 1971



(b) By March 9, 1972




FIG. 18.1
Final Progress Toward State Party Compliance